Reference Data and Benchmarktest for Al

17-October-2024

Tobias Schäffter

Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt The National Metrology Institute





Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action

Nobel Prizes in Physics and Chemistry 2024

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2024



Ill. Niklas Elmehed © Nobel Prize Outreach John J. Hopfield Prize share: 1/2

Ill. Niklas Elmehed © Nobel Prize Outreach Geoffrey E. Hinton Prize share: 1/2

The Nobel Prize in Physics 2024 was awarded jointly to John J. Hopfield and Geoffrey E. Hinton "for foundational discoveries and inventions that enable machine learning with artificial neural networks"

MLA style: The Nobel Prize in Physics 2024. NobelPrize.org. Nobel Prize Outreach AB 2024. Thu. 10 Oct 2024. https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2024/summary/ The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2024



David Baker Prize share: 1/2

Demis Hassabis Prize share: 1/4

John M. Jumper Prize share: 1/4

The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2024 was divided, one half awarded to David Baker "for computational protein design", the other half jointly to Demis Hassabis and John M. Jumper "for protein structure prediction"

MLA style: The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2024. NobelPrize.org. Nobel Prize Outreach AB 2024. Thu. 10 Oct 2024. https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/chemistry/2024/summary/



Generative Pre-trained Transformer (GPT) - ChatGPT





ChatGPT Sprints to One Million Users

Time it took for selected online services to reach one million users



* one million backers ** one million nights booked *** one million downloads Source: Company announcements via Business Insider/Linkedin

000





Chat GPT - Productivity



Science article

ChatGPT gives an extra productivity boost to weaker writers

Abstract

We examined the productivity effects of a generative artificial intelligence (AI) technology, the assistive chatbot ChatGPT, in the context of midlevel professional writing tasks. In a preregistered online experiment, we assigned occupation-specific, incentivized writing tasks to 453 college-educated professionals and randomly exposed half of them to ChatGPT. Our results show that ChatGPT substantially raised productivity: The average time taken decreased by 40% and output quality rose by 18%. Inequality between workers decreased, and concern and excitement about AI temporarily rose. Workers exposed to ChatGPT during the experiment were 2 times as likely to report using it in their real job 2 weeks after the experiment and 1.6 times as likely 2 months after the experiment.



S | TECHNOLOGY

CAREERS

Al learns to write computer code in 'stunning' advance

DeepMind's AlphaCode outperforms many human programmers in tricky software challenges

COVID-19

8 DEC 2022 · 2:00 PM · BY MATTHEW HUTSON

COMMENTARY



Chat GPT- "Dementia"

How Is ChatGPT's Behavior Changing over Time?

Lingjiao Chen[†], Matei Zaharia[‡], James Zou[†]

[†]Stanford University [‡]UC Berkeley

GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 However, when and how March 2023 and June 20

Benchmarking

problems, 2) sensitive/dangerous questions, 5) optimion surveys, 4) mater-nop knowledge-intensive questions, 5) generating code, 6) US Medical License tests, and 7) visual reasoning. We find that the performance and behavior of both GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 can vary greatly over time. For example, GPT-4 (March 2023) was reasonable at identifying prime vs. composite numbers (84% accuracy) but GPT-4 (June 2023) was poor on these same questions (51% accuracy). This is partly explained by a drop in GPT-4's amenity to follow chain-of-thought prompting. Interestingly, GPT-3.5 was much better in June than in March in this task. GPT-4 became less willing to answer sensitive questions and opinion survey questions in June than in March. GPT-4 performed better at multi-hop questions in June than in March, while GPT-3.5's performance dropped on this task. Both GPT-4 and GPT-3.5 had more formatting mistakes in code generation in June than in March. Overall, our findings show that the behavior of the "same" LLM service can change substantially in a relatively short amount of time, highlighting the need for continuous monitoring of LLMs.

arXiv:2307.09009; Jul 2023





Is 17077 a prime number? Think step by step and then answer [Yes] or [No].

ChatGPT - "Hallucination"



CITING SOURCES, DIGITAL SCHOLARSHIP, DUKE RESEARCHERS, INSTRUCTION, LIBRARIANS, LIBRARY HACKS, LILLY LIBRARY, MUSIC LIBRARY, TECHNOLOGY, TIPS FOR STUDENTS

ChatGPT and Fake Citations

◎ MARCH 9, 2023 ▲ AARON WELBORN ♥ 3 COMMENTS



Post by Hannah Rozear, Librarian for Biological Sciences and Global Health, and Sarah Park, Librarian for Engineering and Computer Science

Misleading information due to overfitting, high model complexity and training data quality.

Article

Detecting hallucinations in large language models using semantic entropy

Humanities & Social Science Communications



Gheck for updates

Gal

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03811-x

Al hallucination: towards a comprehensive classification of distorted information in artificial ence-generated content

ngfang Sheng^{2™}, Zihan Zhou² & Yifei Wu²

ming information age, the rapid development of artificial intelligence-(AIGC) has brought forth challenges regarding information authenticity f distorted information significantly impacts users negatively. This study ally categorize distorted information within AIGC, deive into its interna d provide theoretical guidance for its management. Utilizing ChatGPT as a conducted empirical content analysis on 243 instances of distorted information collected, comprising both questions and answers. Three coders meticulously interpreted each instance of distorted information, encoding error points based on a predefined coding scheme and categorizing them according to error type. Our objective was to refine and validate the distorted information category list derived from the review through multiple rounds of pre-coding and test coding, thereby yielding a comprehensive and clearly delineated category list of distorted information in AIGC. The findings identified 8 first-level error types: "Overfitting": "Logic errors": "Reasoning errors": "Mathematical errors": "Unfounded fabrication": "Factual errors": "Text output errors": and "Other errors". further subdivided into 31 second-level error types. This classification list not only lays a solid foundation for studying risks associated with AIGC but also holds significant practical implications for helping users identify distorted information and enabling developers to enhance the quality of Al-generated tools

emini², can show ut often 'hallucinate' reliably or without the with problems including cles^b and even posing a couraging truthfulness ally successful⁸. Researchers that works even with new answer. Here we develop sed uncertainty estimators ns-which are arbitrary and one idea can be expressed ung rather than specific d tasks without a priori bustly generalizes to new to produce a confabulation, xtra care with LLMs and se prevented by their

ons by developing a quantitato cause an LLM to generate tecting confabulations allows ring questions likely to cause of the unreliability of answers d with more grounded search ritical emerging field of freeches, suited to closed vocabuk on uncertainty for LLMs has assifiers⁵⁴⁷ and regressors³⁴⁷⁹, so f LLMs relate to free-form

of machine learning originally ills, either as a deilberate stratappropriateness of the metagundue anthropomorphism²¹, be used carefully with LLMs²², hallucination reflects the fact venon. This work represents a nmore precise. obabilistic tools to define and the generations of an LLM-an go of sentences. High entropy to semantic entropy is one way nantic uncertainty, the broader dbe operationalized with other

enz Kuhri. ^{III}e-mail: sebfar@gmail.cor

Voi 630 | 20 June 2024 | 625

1

¹ Shandong Normai University Library, Jinan, Shandong, China, ² School of Management, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China, ¹⁸emait: dfsheng@sdu... edu.cn

HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES COMMUNICATIONS | (2024)711278 | https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03817-s



EU-AI Act for Trustworthy AI

Trust in "algorithms" that are not fully understood ("black box"), especially for high risk applications

The trustworthy AI strongly depends on high quality trainings data

Certification of **AI-Quality** requires:

- robustness,
- accuracy,
- security,
- Explainability.









Data Quality



Article 10: Data and Data Governance

Date of entry into force:According to:2 August 2026Article 113See here for a full implementation timeline.

SUMMARY -

This article states that high-risk AI systems must be developed using high-quality data sets for training, validation, and testing. These data sets should be managed properly, considering factors like data collection processes, data preparation, potential biases, and data gaps. The data sets should be relevant, representative, error-free, and complete as much as possible. They should also consider the specific context in which the AI system will be used. In some cases, providers may process special categories of personal data to detect and correct biases, but they must follow strict conditions to protect individuals' rights and freedoms.

Generated by CLaiRK, edited by us.

1. High-risk AI systems which make use of techniques involving the training of AI



Accuracy Robustness, Security



Article 15: Accuracy, Robustness and Cybersecurity

1. High-risk AI systems shall be designed and developed in such a way that they achieve an appropriate level of accuracy, robustness, and cybersecurity, and perform consistently in those respects throughout their lifecycle.

1a. To address the technical aspects of how to measure the appropriate levels of accuracy and robustness set out in paragraph 1 of this Article and any other relevant performance metrics, the Commission shall, in **cooperation with relevant stakeholder and organisations such as metrology and benchmarking authorities**, encourage as appropriate, **the development of benchmarks and measurement methodologies.**

2. The levels of accuracy and the relevant accuracy metrics of high-risk AI systems shall be declared in the accompanying instructions of use.

3. High-risk AI systems shall be as resilient as possible regarding errors, faults or inconsistencies that may occur within the system



Testing und Experimentation Facilities



TEFs are **specialised large-scale reference sites open to all technology providers across Europe.** Their objective is to **support AI developers to bring trustworthy and secure AI to the European market.**

Co-funding between the European Commission (through the Digital Europe Programme) and the Member States will support the TEFs for five years with budgets between EUR 40-60 million per project. TEFs will focus on four high-impact sectors:

- Agri-Food: project "agrifoodTEF"
- Healthcare: project "TEF-Health"
- Manufacturing: project "AI-MATTERS"
- Smart Cities & Communities: project "Citcom.Al"



FDA-approved Medical Products with AI

FDA's new list (Oct, 2023) with 692 devices:

- 77% are in Radiology: 531 devices
- 10% are in Cardiovascular: 71 devices
- 3% are in Neurology: 20 devices
- 2% are in Hematology: 15 devices

Additional 171 medical devices in 2024 (33% increase)



692 authorized AI-enabled devices by specialty. Image source Margaretta Colangelo; 2023

https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-aimlenabled-medical-devices



Testing und Experimentation Facility - Health

TEF - Health





WP6 & 7: Agile Certification (PTB, Fraunhofer, TÜV, LNE, KTH, Charité)



Data is the base of AI

The quality of AI strongly depends on

- Data uncertainty ("noise", "bias")
- Annotation inconsistencies ("label noise")



Standards for Data Quality



Uncertainty and Representativeness

- **Precision (Variability)** closeness of measurements to each other
- Accuracy (Bias) closeness of measurement results to a reference;
- **Representativeness** accurate conclusions about a population from sample



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Accuracy_and_precision

Koçak B. DOI:10.5152/dir.2022.211297



Data Quality relies on Metrology







Data Quality relies on Metrology







Data Quality Dimensions – METRIC Framework



Published in partnership with Seoul National University Bundang Hospital

Review article

6

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-024-01196-4

The METRIC-framework for assessing data quality for trustworthy AI in medicine: a systematic review

Check for updates

Daniel Schwabe 🖲 1 🖂, Katinka Becker 🖲 1, Martin Seyferth 🖲 1, Andreas Klaß 🗐 1 & Tobias Schaeffter 🖲 1.8.3

The adoption of machine learning (ML) and, more specifically, deep learning (DL) applications into all major areas of our lives is underway. The development of trustworthy AI is especially important in medicine due to the large implications for patients' lives. While trustworthiness concerns various aspects including ethical, transparency and safety requirements, we focus on the importance of data quality (training/test) in DL. Since data quality dictates the behaviour of ML products, evaluating data quality will play a key part in the regulatory approval of medical ML products. We perform a systematic review following PRISMA guidelines using the databases Web of Science, PubMed and ACM Digital



Schwabe D et al. NPJ Digit Med. 2024 Aug 3;7(1):203.



ECG-Reference-Dataset: PTB-XL

Application:

- Over 300 Mill. ECGs per year
- Strong application of AI for automatic analysis of ECG (arrhythmia, infarkt, hypertrophy,..)

Reference-Data

- EKG-Quality
- Defined Training-, Validation and Testdata
- Distribution within pathologies "taking representativeness into account"



In the peak a link still form the basis of the basis of the basis of the basis of the basis and are critical for cardiologists' decision processes. ECG features are available from sophisticated commercial software but are not accessible to the general public. To alleviate this issue, we add ECG features from two leading commercial algorithms and an open-source implementation supplemented by a set of automatic diagnostic statements from a commercial ECG analysis software in preprocessed format. This allows the comparison of ML models trained on clinically versus automatically generated label sets. We provide an extensive technical validation of features and diagnostic statements for ML applications. We believe this release crucially enhances the usability of the *PTB-XL* dataset as a reference dataset for ML methods in the context of ECG data.



Background & Summary

Cardiovascular diseases continue to be one of the largest burdens for the population worldwide¹. Due to its simplicity, non-invasive nature, widespread use and diagnostic value, the electrocardiogram (ECG) is one of the primary tools for the first assessment. However, it requires the analysis of a huge amount of time-series ECG-data. Therefore automatic analysis tools have become standard. The recent developments in machine learning/AI have demonstrated its potential in this direction¹⁻³. Large freely available ECG databases¹⁻² are crucial for the development and benchmarking of AI algorithms for automatic classification. Consequently, they

Figure 1: Graphical summary of the *PTB-XL* dataset in terms of diagnostic superclasses and subclasses, see Table [5] for a definition of the used acronyms.



Label Uncertainty – "the human factor"



Karimi D et al. Deep learning with noisy labels: Exploring techniques and remedies in medical image analysis. Med Image Anal. 2020

"Wherever there is judgment, there is noise,"

"And there is a lot more of it than you realize."

- Daniel Kahneman



NAUTOR DES WELTBESTSELLERS nnelles Denken, langsames Denken



EU-Project: MedalCare Synthetic Reference Data



Uncertainty of ML

scientific data

Check for updates

Meda Care

18HLT02

OPEN MedalCare-XL: 16,900 healthy DATA DESCRIPTOR and pathological synthetic 12 lead ECGs from electrophysiological simulations

> Karli Gillette^{1,2,8}, Matthias A. F. Gsell^{® 1,8}, Claudia Nagel^{3,8}, Jule Bender[®], Benjamin Winkler⁴, Steven E. Williams^{5,6}, Markus Bär⁴, Tobias Schäffter^{® 4,5,7}, Olaf Dössel^{3,8}, Gernot Plank^{® 1,2,8} ⊠ & Axel Loewe^{® 3,8} ⊠

> Mechanistic cardiac electrophysiology models allow for personalized simulations of the electrical activity in the heart and the ensuing electrocardiogram (ECG) on the body surface. As such, synthetic signals possess known ground truth labels of the underlying disease and can be employed for validation of machine learning ECG analysis tools in addition to clinical signals. Recently, synthetic ECGs were used to enrich sparse clinical data or even replace them completely during training leading to improved performance on real-world clinical test data. We thus generated a novel synthetic database comprising a total of 16,900 12 lead ECGs based on electrophysiological simulations equally distributed









EU-AI Act for Trustworthy AI

Trust in "algorithms" that are not fully understood ("black box"), especially for high risk applications

The trustworthy AI strongly depends on high quality trainings data

Certification of **AI-Quality** requires:

- robustness,
- accuracy,
- security,
- Explainability.







Al for Image Reconstruction Deep Learning Reconstruction





- complex CNN
- High number of parameter
- High amount on trainings data

Physics-informed deep learning





Robustness through physics input





PB

Kofler et al., Med Phys, 2021; Kofler et al., ISBI, 2022

Reducing "ML-Uncertainty": "Physics-Informed Learning"



Brahma et al., Med Phys, 2023



Quantitative Imaging

- Parameter-based objective diagnosis
- Comparability
- Detection of diffuse disease
- Contrast agent quantification



		in Medica	I Imaging Ingolf Sack Tobias Schaeffter Editore
Machine Learn Magnetic Reso Reconstructior		Editors 쇤 Springer	
Abstract In the last years, the design of image recon- struction methods in the field of quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging (qMRI) has experienced a paradigm shift. Often, when dealing with (quantitative) MR image recon- struction problems, one is concerned with solving one or a couple of ill-posed inverse problems that require the use of advanced reg- problems that require the use of advanced reg- ularization methods. An increasing amount of attention is nowadays put on the development of data-driven methods using Neural Networks (NNs) to learn meaningful prior information without the need to explicitly model hand- crafted priors. In addition, the available hard- ware and computational resources nowadays offer the possibility to learn regularization models in a so-called model-aware fashion, which is a unique key feature that distin- guishes these models from regularization methods learned in a more classical, model-	agnostic manner. Model-aware mu not only tailored to the considered also to the class of considered imagines and nowadays constitute the st art in image reconstruction method following chapter, we provide the r an extensive overview of methods t employed for (quantitative) MR im struction, also highlighting their a and limitations from both a theor computational point of view. 9.1 Introduction Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) the most important medical imaging nowadays clinical practice. MRI allo imaging of organs and joints, parallel ing excellent soft tissue contrast. Unf the data acquisition process in MRI is slow. In addition, opposed to othe modalities, for example, computed to (CT), most MRI scan protocols are no	tthods are 27 i data, but 28 ging prob- 29 ate-of-the- 30 ds. In the 31 eader with 32 hat can be 33 age recon- 34 dvantages 35 retical and 36 37 38 is one of 39 g tools in 40 ws for the 41 ly exhibit- 42 ortunately, 43 inherently 44 r imaging 45 mography 46 t quantita- 47	
Kofter (CS) - F. F. Zimmermann sysikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), aunschweig and Berlin, Germany nail: andreas.kofter@ptb.de; ix.zimmermann@ptb.de Papafitsoros hool of Mathematics, Queen Mary University of ndon, London, UK nadl: k.papafitsoros@qmul.ac.uk	tive, i.e., the values in the acquired ima have a physical and/or biophysical dence, which represents a challenge for parability of images between differ scanners, patients, or institutions. Q MRI (qMRI) can overcome these limit the design of data acquisition protocols	ges do not 48 correspon- 49 r the com- 50 ent scans, 51 uantitative 52 itations by 53 that allow 54	

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024 I. Sack, T. Schaffter (eds.), Quantification of Biophysical Parameters in Medical Imaging, https://doi.org/10.1007/98-3-031-61846-8_9

6

Lo e-r

171

Quantification of Biophysical Parameters



Physics-Informed Learning - Quantitative MRI





PIB

Al for Quantitative Perfusion

$$\mathbf{C}_t(\eta) := \int_0^t r_\mathrm{f}\left(\eta, t'\right) c_\mathrm{aif}(t-t') \mathrm{d}t'.$$

PIB

Benchmark-Test

- Comparison Studies
- Ranked-List of Algorithms

Metrics reloaded: recommendations for

A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper

Increasing evidence shows that flaws in machine learning (ML) algorithm

interest, and thus fail to adequately measure scientific progress and hinder

translation of ML techniques into practice. To overcome this, we created

Metrics Reloaded, a comprehensive framework guiding researchers in the

consortium in a multistage Delphi process, it is based on the novel concept

of a problem fingerprint-a structured representation of the given problem

algorithm output. On the basis of the problem fingerprint, users are guided

problem-aware selection of metrics. Developed by a large international

that captures all aspects that are relevant for metric selection, from the

domain interest to the properties of the target structure(s), dataset and

through the process of choosing and applying appropriate validation metrics while being made aware of potential pitfalls. Metrics Reloaded

validation are an underestimated global problem. In biomedical image

analysis, chosen performance metrics often do not reflect the domain

image analysis validation

<u>https://grand-challenge.org</u>

nature machine intelligence

Perspective

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-022-00559-4

Developing robust benchmarks for driving forward AI innovation in healthcare

Diana Mincu 🛛 🖂 & Subhrajit Roy 🔘

Received: 1 June 2022

Accepted: 7 October 2022

Published online: 15 November 2022

Check for updates

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-02151-z

Machine learning technologies have seen increased application to the healthcare domain. The main drivers are openly available healthcare datasets, and a general interest from the community to use its powers for knowledge discovery and technological advancements in this more conservative field. However, with this additional volume comes a range of questions and concerns – are the obtained results meaningful and conclusions accurate; how do we know we have improved state of the art; is the clinical problem well defined and does the model address it? We reflect on key aspects in the end-to-end pipeline that we believe suffer the most in this space, and suggest some good practices to avoid reproducing these issues.

BOX 1

Dataset suggestions

Necessary

- Provide a thorough description of the provenance, demographics and content of the dataset (for example, Table 1 data).
- Apply and include numerical (for example, mean, variance, min, max and correlation matrices) and/or graphical (for example, scatterplot, histogram, heatmap and dimensionality reduction) exploratory data analysis in the final work.
- Include details of how the quality of the dataset was verified by describing missing features, imbalanced data, duplicate instances, sampling bias and other dataset-specific issues.

Challenges

Here is an overview over the medical image analysis challenges that have been hosted on Grand Challenge. Please fill in this form if you would like to host your own challenge.

+ Host your own Challenge

T Filter Challenges

0 123 Article

2nd BONBID-HIE Chall...

MICCAI2020

SELMA

🛓 58 🗃 2024

5 Oct 6. 2024

MONKEY challenge: D...

LEOPARD LEARNING BIOCHEMICAL PROSTATE CANCER RECURRENCE RADU HISTORATHOLOGY SLIDES CHALLENCE

🛓 455 💇 303 🛗 2024

RADIOLOGISTS MEET AI

The LEOPARD Challenge PANORAMA vt. Algorithm submission challenge © Accepting submissions for Sanity

View Challenge

Calibration and Uncert... P Algorithm submission challenge P Algorithm submission challenge

nature methods

Received: 9 February 2023

Accepted: 12 December 2023

Published online: 12 February 2024

Check for updates

Perspective

Benchmarktests and Metrics

EMB ComSoc

IEEE JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL AND HEALTH INFORMATICS, VOL. 25, NO. 5, MAY 2021

Deep Learning for ECG Analysis: Benchmarks and Insights from PTB-XL

Nils Strodthoff[®], Patrick Wagner, Tobias Schaeffter, and Wojciech Samek[®], Member, IEEE

		all		diag.		sub-diag.		super-diag.		form		rhythm	
	Method	AUC	Fmax	AUC	Fmax	AUC	Fmax	AUC	Fmax	AUC	Fmax	AUC	Fmax
	lstm.bidir	.902(11)	.749(10)	.922(12)	.729(14)	.928(09)	.756(12)	.929(06)	.817(12)	.845(17)	.605(22)	.947(10)	.908(09)
Wetrics	lstm	.893(12)	.745(08)	.905(12)	.724(13)	.912(16)	.753(10)	.928(06)	.819(11)	.813(17)	.596(25)	.948(09)	.907(10)
	fcn.wang	.911(10)	.754(08)	.922(10)	.731(14)	.920(14)	.752(11)	.927(07)	.815(12)	.875(18)	.625(23)	.928(10)	.899(11)
	resnet1d.wang	.912(11)	.764(08)	.932(08)	.741(15)	.932(09)	.760(12)	.932(06)	.825(12)	.877(14)	.620(23)	.945(09)	.908(09)
	xresnet1d101	.920(08)	.765(08)	.935(08)	.743(13)	.927(09)	.759(10)	.931(06)	.819(11)	.885(13)	.629(20)	.957(20)	.915(08)
	Wavelet+NN	.811(14)	.678(10)	.823(19)	.627(15)	.845(17)	.654(14)	.870(10)	.731(13)	.798(21)	.526(22)	.857(52)	.866(13)
	inception1d	.919(08)	.765(07)	.929(13)	.737(12)	.932(08)	.763(10)	.930(06)	.819(11)	.885(14)	.627(20)	.957(14)	.917(09)
	ensemble	.923(09)	.767(08)	.935(07)	.740(12)	.928(11)	.764(11)	.937(06)	.827(12)	.891(12)	.638(23)	.970(08)	.916(08)
	naive	_500(00)	.557(11)	.500(00)	.440(18)	.500(00)	.440(18)	.500(00)	.448(09)	.500(00)	.365(19)	.500(00)	.797(13)

Explainability

Strodthoff et al. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics 2020.

1519

Slide Courtesy Stefan Haufe

EXACT: Digital Explainability Testplattform

Aim:

- Proof-of-Concept-Platform in "Challenge-Style" for Benchmarking of "explainable" AI Methods
- Usage of Reference-Datasets with ground truth
- Application of Performance-Metrics
- Methods for Quality Assurance

Reference XAI Datasets

Digital AI Testplatform

Slide Courtesy Maik Liebl

Conclusion

- Data is the base of AI
- Quality of training data is instrumental and can be described by 15 dimensions in 5 clusters (METRIC)
- Al-quality relies on robustness, uncertainty, explainability
- Physics-informed learning can improve robustness and reduce uncertainty
- Benchmarktests require
 - reference datasets (synthetic and real)
 - metrics (use-case specific)

