# CCQM-K159 Free Amino Acids in Plasma

# Key Comparison Track A

# Draft B Report September 2024

Emily Whyte and Tabatha Hambidge, Steve Ellison, Simon Cowen and Chris Hopley LGC Teddington, Middlesex, TW11 0LY, England

With contributions from:

Mark Lewin National Measurement Institute Australia (NMIA), Australia

Tomoya Kinumi National Metrology Institute of Japan (NMIJ / AIST), Japan

Qinde Liu, Hong Liu, Cheng Yang Ng and Tang Lin Teo Health Sciences Authority (HSA), Singapore

Eliane Rego, Fernando Violante, Bruno Garrido, Wagner Wollinger, Jane Fernandes and Waldemar Souza Instituto Nacional de Metrologia, Qualidade e Tecnologia (INMETRO), Brazil

Simay Gündüz, Hasibe Yılmaz, İlker Ün, Gökhan Bilsel and Mine Bilsel National Metrology Institute of Türkiye/TÜBITAK Ulusal Metroloji Enstitüsü (UME), Turkey

Anatoliy Krylov, Alena Mikheeva, Elena Lopushanskaya and Vadim Smirnov D.I. Mendeleyev Research Institute for Metrology (VNIIM), Russian Federation

Jintana Nammoonnoy National Institute of Metrology Thailand (NMIT), Thailand Ha-Jeong Kwon, Ji-Seon Jeong Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS), Republic of Korea

Mareike Renger, Andre Henrion and Gavin O'Connor Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Germany

Charalampos Alexopoulos, Panagiota Giannikopoulou and Elias Kakoulides National Laboratory of Chemical Metrology/General Chemistry State Laboratories - Hellenic Institute of Metrology (EXHM/GCSL-EIM), Greece

## SUMMARY

Inherited metabolic disorders affect approximately 1 in 1000 babies; amino acid disorders are less frequent, at approximately 1 in 6000. Babies diagnosed with amino acid disorders require constant monitoring of amino acid blood concentration, especially for disease states such as phenylketonuria. In addition, amino acid measurement may aid in the evaluation of several other disorders such as neurological and nutritional disorders. Free amino acids in healthy patients are typically in the low mg/kg range but, for example, elevated phenylalanine indicates phenylketonuria disease state. Evidence of successful participation in formal, relevant international comparisons is needed to document measurement capability claims (CMCs) made by national metrology institutes (NMIs) and designated institutes (DIs).

This Track A study is being used to assess the core competencies of the National Metrology Institutes/Designated Institutes (NMIs/DIs) for provision of measurement services. The aim of this study is to assess the performance of the NMIs/DIs for a matrix material for clinical analytes. This follows and builds on the successful K109 study on Urea and Uric Acid in Human Serum.

Eleven NMIs participated in the Track A Key Comparison CCQM-K159 Free Amino Acids in Plasma. NMIs/DIs were given the option of taking part in a parallel pilot study P202, however all participants opted for the Track A Key Comparison and there were no participants for CCQM-P202. Participants were requested to evaluate the mass fractions, expressed in mg/kg, of DL-phenylalanine and DL-leucine in pooled frozen human plasma with lithium heparin added as an anticoagulant.

Successful participation in CCQM-K159 demonstrates the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARYiii
LIST OF TABLES v
LIST OF FIGURES v
ACRONYMS vi
SYMBOLSvii
INTRODUCTION
TIMELINE
MEASURANDS
STUDY MATERIALS
Homogeneity Assessment of Study Material 10
Stability Assessment of Study Material
PARTICIPANTS, INSTRUCTIONS AND SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION
RESULTS
Calibration Materials Used by Participants
Methods Used by Participants
Participant Results for DL-phenylalanine
DL-PHENYLALANINE KEY COMPARISON REFERENCE VALUE (KCRV)
DL- PHENYLALANINE DEGREES OF EQUIVALENCE (DoE)
USE OF CCQM-K159 IN SUPPORT OF CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT
How Far the Light Shines
Core Competency Statements and CMC support 32
CONCLUSIONS
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 33
APPENDIX A: Call for Participation 34
APPENDIX B: Protocol 35
APPENDIX C: Registration Form 44
APPENDIX D: Reporting Form 45
APPENDIX E: Core Competency Tables
APPENDIX F: Summary of Participants' Analytical Information
APPENDIX G: Summary of Participants' Uncertainty Estimation Approaches 80
APPENDIX H: Participants' Quantitative Results as Reported

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Timeline for CCQM-K159	9
Table 2. Results of the homogeneity assessment for leucine and phenylalanine in plasma	10
Table 3. Results of the LTS data analysed as homogeneity data in comparison to the original	
homogeneity assessment data for free DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine in frozen human plass	ma
	14
Table 4. Institutes registered for CCQM-K159	15
Table 5. Certified Reference Materials available for use	18
Table 6. Metrological traceability of participants' results	19
Table 7. Summary of the methods used by participants in CCQM-K159	21
Table 8. Reported results for DL-phenylalanine	23
Table 9. Candidate KCRVs for DL-phenylalanine, including both weighted and unweighted	
methods. Robust estimates are included for comparison purposes but are not considered optimu	um
as there are no outliers in the dataset. For the Huber estimate, a tuning constant of 1.345 was	
used to obtain 95% efficiency	25
Table 10. Estimates and degrees of equivalence with respect to the recommended KCRV	29

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Structures of analytes	9
Figure 2. Homogeneity evaluation for leucine	10
Figure 3. Homogeneity evaluation for phenylalanine	11
Figure 4. Short term stability evaluation for leucine	12
Figure 5. Short term stability evaluation for phenylalanine	12
Figure 6. Long term stability evaluation for leucine	13
Figure 7. Long term stability evaluation for phenylalanine	13
Figure 8. Illustrated reported results for phenylalanine.	24
Figure 9. The candidate KCRV based on the arithmetic mean	26
Figure 10. The candidate KCRV based on the weighted mean.	26
Figure 11. The candidate KCRV based on the median	27
Figure 12. The candidate KCRV based on the Huber Proposal 2.	28
Figure 13. Displays the absolute DoE for the Weighted Mean candidate KCRV for	
phenylalanine	30
Figure 14. Displays the relative DoE as percent for the Weighted Mean candidate KCRVs for	•
phenylalanine.	31

# ACRONYMS

Consultative Committee for Amount of Substance: Metrology in Chemistry and					
Biology					
calibration and measurement capability					
certified reference material					
coefficient of variation, expressed in %: $CV = 100 \cdot s/\bar{x}$					
designated institute					
degrees of equivalence					
gas chromatography with high-resolution mass spectrometry detection					
gas chromatography with ion trap mass spectrometry detection					
gas chromatography with mass spectrometry detection					
gas chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection					
gas chromatography with time-of-flight mass spectrometry detection					
liquid chromatography with high-resolution mass spectrometry detection					
liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection					
isotope dilution					
isotope dilution mass spectrometry					
Joint Committee for Traceability in Laboratory Medicine					
key comparison					
key comparison reference value					
liquid chromatography					
median absolute deviation from the median (MAD)-based estimate of s:					
MADe = $1.4826 \cdot MAD$ , where MAD = median( $ x_i$ -median( $x_i$ ) )					
multiple reaction monitoring					
national metrology institute					
nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy					
Organic Analysis Working Group					
logarithm of the octanol-water partition coefficient					
pressurized solvent extraction					
quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy					
"quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, safe" liquid/solid extraction					
reference measurement procedure					
selected ion monitoring					
solid phase extraction					
selected reaction monitoring					

# SYMBOLS

di	degree of equivalence: x <sub>i</sub> - KCRV
$\%d_i$	percent relative degree of equivalence: 100·d <sub>i</sub> /KCRV
k	coverage factor: $U(x) = k \cdot u(x)$
n	number of quantity values in a series of quantity values
S	standard deviation of a series of quantity values: $s = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / (n-1)}$
$t_s$	student's <i>t</i> -distribution expansion factor
$u(x_i)$	standard uncertainty of quantity value $x_i$
$\overline{u}(x)$	pooled uncertainty: $\bar{u}(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} u^2(x_i)/n}$
U(x)	expanded uncertainty
$U_{95}(x)$	expanded uncertainty defined such that $x \pm U_{95}(x)$ is asserted to include the true
	value of the quantity with an approximate 95 % level of confidence
$U_{k=2}(x)$	expanded uncertainty defined as $U_{k=2}(x) = 2 \cdot u(x)$
x	a quantity value
$x_i$	the $i^{\text{th}}$ member of a series of quantity values
$\bar{x}$	mean of a series of quantity values: $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i / n$

## **INTRODUCTION**

Inherited metabolic disorders affect approximately 1 in 1000 babies; amino acid disorders are less frequent at approximately 1 in 6000. Babies diagnosed with amino acid disorders require regular monitoring of free amino acid concentrations in blood, especially for a disease such as phenylketonuria. In addition, amino acid measurement may aid in the evaluation of several other disorders such as neurological and nutritional disorders. Free amino acids in healthy patients are typically in the low mg/kg range but, for example, elevated phenylalanine indicates phenylketonuria disease state. Evidence of successful participation in formal, relevant international comparisons is needed to document measurement capability claims (CMCs) made by national metrology institutes (NMIs) and designated institutes (DIs).

Extraction, clean-up, separation, and quantification of targets in complex biological matrices are competencies demonstrated in this study. Determination of mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine are core challenges for reference material producers and providers of calibration services.

In October 2019, the Consultative Committee for Amount of Substance: Metrology in Chemistry and Biology (CCQM) approved the Key Comparison (KC) CCQM-K159 Free Amino Acids in Plasma. NMIs/DIs were given the option of taking part in a parallel pilot study P202, however all participants opted for the Track A Key Comparison and there were no participants for CCQM-P202. CCQM-K159 was designed to assess participants' capabilities for value assignment of a matrix material for clinical analytes. This follows and builds on the successful K109 study on Urea and Uric Acid in Human Serum.<sup>1</sup>

The following sections of this report document the timeline of CCQM-K159, the measurands, study material, participants, results, and the measurement capability claims that participation in CCQM-K159 can support. The Appendices reproduce the official communication materials and summaries of information about the results provided by the participants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Liu, Q., Liu, H., Chen, Y., Yong, S., Teo, H.L., Wong, L., Teo, T.L., Vamathevan, V., Rego, E.C.P. do, Wollinger, W., Fernandes, J.L.N., Monteiro, T.M., Garrido, B.C., Violante, F.M., Shi, L.H., He, H.H., Quan, C., Xu, B., Li, H.M. and Dai, X.H. (2019). High polarity analytes in biological matrix: determination of urea and uric acid in human serum (CCQM-K109). *Metrologia*, [online] 56(1A), p.08006. doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/56/1a/08006.

#### TIMELINE

Date	Action				
March 2019	Proposed to CCQM				
April 2019	Draft protocol presented to OAWG as potential Track A Key Comparison				
October 2019 OAWG authorized CCQM-K159 as a Track A Key Comparison; prot					
	approved				
February 2020	Call for participation to OAWG members				
August 2020	Study samples were shipped to participants				
March 2021	Results due to coordinating laboratory				
November 2023	Draft A report distributed to OAWG				
October 2024	Draft B report distributed to OAWG				
TBD	Final report approved by OAWG				

#### **MEASURANDS**

The measurands for this study were the free amino acids, DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine within the mass fraction range of 1-1000 mg/kg. The matrix was a frozen pooled human plasma (anticoagulant - lithium heparin). Figure 1 below displays the molecular structure of these compounds.



DL-leucine Leu pK<sub>OW</sub> -1.743 ОН ОН

DL-phenylalanine Phe pK<sub>OW</sub> -1.496

Figure 1. Structures of analytes

## **STUDY MATERIALS**

The study materials were sourced from LGC Maine Standards (Maine, USA). A pooled frozen human plasma with lithium heparin added as an anticoagulant, was supplied as 1000 units of 1.2 mL, prepared from a homogenized material. The units were supplied in screw-capped cryo safe vials, in vial boxes that were stored at -80 °C on arrival and until distribution for the study.

#### Homogeneity Assessment of Study Material

Homogeneity was assessed by analysis of 250 mg aliquots of 15 units in triplicate, analysed in three separate batches. Statistical analysis of this data is summarized in Table 2, and plots of the individual results are shown for DL-leucine in Figure 2, and DL-phenylalanine in Figure 3.

Within unit and between unit variance was assessed with a one-way ANOVA and found to be acceptable. Typical measurement uncertainty for individual measurements was <1 %.

Table 2. Results of the homogeneity assessment	nt for leucine and phenylalanine in plasma.
--	---

ANOVA Estimate	Leucine	Phenylalanine
Within-unit, CV <sub>wth</sub> :	0.39 %	0.39 %
Between-unit, CV <sub>btw</sub> :	0.56 %	0.52 %
Total analytical variability, CV:	0.76 %	0.84 %



Figure 2. Homogeneity evaulation for leucine



Figure 3. Homogeneity evaluation for phenylalanine

#### **Stability Assessment of Study Material**

Short term stability was assessed by analysis of two units in duplicate which had been stored under four conditions, namely nominal temperatures of -80 °C, -20 °C, 4 °C and 18 °C. Time points of T0, 1 week, 2 weeks and 4 weeks were analysed as the materials became available i.e. non-isochronously. Results for DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine are shown in Figures 4 and 5 respectively. Some instability was observed at 4 °C and 18 °C, however at -20 °C and -80 °C no significant change was observed.

Long term stability was assessed also by non-isochronous analysis after 3-, 6- and 9-months storage at -20 °C and -80 °C. Results of -80 °C storage are shown in Figures 6 and 7. No significant change in concentration was found over the time period.







Figure 5. Short term stability evaluation for phenylalanine









The long term stability (LTS) data were subject to the same statistical analysis as the homogeneity data set for comparison, Table 3 shows the results from this analysis in comparison to the original homogeneity data set.

Analyte	Study	Between-unit SD	Within-unit SD
Leucine	Homogeneity	0.56 %	0.39 %
	LTS as homogeneity	0.69 %	0.34 %
Phenylalanine	Homogeneity	0.53 %	0.39 %
	LTS as homogeneity	0.76 %	0.44 %

 Table 3. Results of the LTS data analysed as homogeneity data in comparison to the original homogeneity assessment data for free DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine in frozen human plasma

# PARTICIPANTS, INSTRUCTIONS AND SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION

The call for participation in the study was issued in February 2020 with the intent to distribute samples in August 2020, receive results in February 2021, and discuss results at the online OAWG meeting in April 2021. See Table 4 for a list of the institutes that registered for CCQM-K159. Appendix A reproduces the Call for Participation; Appendix B reproduces the study Protocol.

NMI or DI	Code	Country	Contact
National Measurement	ΝΙΜΙΑ	Australia	Mark Lewin
Institute Australia	INIVITA	Australia	
National Metrology Institute	NMIJ /	Japan	Tomoya Kinumi
of Japan	AIST		
Health Sciences Authority	HSA	Singapore	Qinde Liu
Instituto Nacional de		Brazil	Eliane C. P. Rego / Wagner Wollinger
Metrologia, Qualidade e	INMETRO		
Tecnologia			
National Metrology Institute			Mine Bilsel
of Türkiye/TÜBITAK Ulusal	UME	Türkiye	
Metroloji Enstitüsü			
D.I. Mendeleyev Research	WNIIM	Russian	Anatoliy Krylov / Alena Mikheeva
Institute for Metrology	V INIIIVI	Federation	
National Institute of	NMIT	Thailand	Jintana Nammoonnoy
Metrology Thailand			
Koraa Pasaarch Instituta of		Korea	Ha-Jeong Kwon, Ji-Seon Jeong
Standards and Science	KRISS	(Republic	
Standards and Science		of)	
Physikalisch-Technische	DTB	Germany	Andre Henrion
Bundesanstalt	IID		
National Laboratory of			Elias Kakoulides
Chemical Metrology/General	EXHM/GC	Greece	
Chemistry State Laboratories -	SL-EIM		
Hellenic Institute of Metrology			
	LGC	United	Emily Whyte
LOC Liu.		Kingdom	
National Institute of		China	Can Quan
Metrology	NIM		
National Metrology Institute		South Africa	Desiree Prevoo-Franzsen
of South Africa	NMISA		

Table 4. Institutes registered for CCQM-K159

Call for participation was completed in February 2020. The intention was to ship samples within five weeks of the call for participation ending, however sample distribution was severely delayed due to the SARS-CoV-2 global pandemic. Samples were eventually shipped during August and September 2020. Samples were shipped on dry ice with temperature monitors inside the packages to confirm all packages remained frozen (< 0 °C) upon arrival at their destinations.

Each participant received five units of material, selected at random and packed into individual boxes. The recommended minimum sample amount for analysis was 250 mg and measurement results were to be reported on an as-received basis.

Participants requested a longer time period to report results due to procurement of standards and staffing issues caused by the SARS-CoV-2 global pandemic; therefore, the results submission deadline was extended to the 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2021. The preliminary results were presented at the online OAWG video conference meeting on the 5<sup>th</sup> May 2021.

The National Metrology Institute of South Africa (NMISA) withdrew from the study in May 2020 due to the requirement to prioritise many other projects that were delayed due to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. The National Institute of Metrology (NIM) withdrew in January 2021 after failure to obtain customs approval for receipt of the samples due to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. The samples were not sent to these participants.

Participants were requested to report a single estimate of the mass fraction in units of mg/kg for DL-phenylalanine, and to report individual unit measurements for both DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine. The reported mass fraction for DL-phenylalanine was to be the overall mean from measurements from three separate units with the standard uncertainty, expanded uncertainty at 95 % level of confidence and the coverage factor.

In addition to the quantitative results, participants were requested to describe their analytical methods, including details of the calibrants and their traceability, internal standards, any QC materials, calculation of results, and uncertainty budgets, as well as the Core Competencies they felt were demonstrated in this study.

## RESULTS

Alongside the request for estimates of the mass fraction (mg/kg) for both DL-leucine and DLphenylalanine, participants were asked to provide their analytical methods, approach to uncertainty estimation, and the Core Competencies that were demonstrated. Appendices C, D, and E reproduce the relevant report forms. CCQM-K159 results were received from all of the 11 institutions that received samples.

Participants were initially requested to report a single estimate of the mass fraction (mg/kg) for both DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine. However, it came to light through LGC's own participation in K159 of a potential issue with a subset of units. The samples may have degraded between the initial evaluation and shipment to the participants, affecting the values of DL-leucine. Participants were asked to continue to analyse the materials but asked that they only report results for each of the three individual units for DL-leucine, and for each of the three units and a combined value for DL-phenylalanine. Participants were informed of the change to reporting by email in March 2021 prior to the reporting deadline. Consequently, results discussed in this report are only associated with DL-phenylalanine measurements, including the KCRV estimations.

#### **Calibration Materials Used by Participants**

Participants established the metrological traceability of their results using certified reference materials (CRMs) with stated traceability and/or commercially available high purity materials for which they had determined the purity in house. Table 5 lists the CRMs that were available for use. Table 6 lists how participants established traceability. If through their own measurements, Table 6 also lists the material, its assigned purity, the method used for purity evaluation, and how the participant had demonstrated their competence in the use of the method(s).

CRM	Provider	Analyte	Mass Fraction <sup>a</sup> Delivered, mg/g	Mass Fraction <sup>a</sup> Source Material, mg/g	In-house Purity Methods Used to Value-Assign Source Material
CRM 6012-a	NMIJ	Leu	N/A	$999 \pm 2$	Titration, LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer
CRM 6014-a	NMIJ	Phe	N/A	$999 \pm 2$	Titration, LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer
CRM 2389a	NIST	Leu Phe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.319 \pm 0.014 \\ 0.421 \pm 0.014 \end{array}$	N/A	Gravimetric and LC- MS/MS
HRM 1008A	HSA	Leu	N/A	996.9 ± 3.3	LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer
HRM 1014A	HSA	Phe	N/A	997.5 ± 3.6	LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer

Table 5. Certified Reference Materials available for use

*a* Stated as Value  $\pm U_{95}$ (Value)

NMI/DI	Analyte	Source of	Material	Mass Fraction <sup>a</sup> Purity		Evidence of		
	1 mary te	Traceability		Purity, %	Techniques <sup>b</sup>	Competence		
NMIA	Phe Leu	CRM 6014-a CRM 6012-a			N/A			
NMIJ	Phe Leu	CRM 6014-a CRM 6012-a	N/A					
HSA	Phe Leu	HRM-1014A HRM-1008A			N/A			
INMETRO	Phe Leu	INMETRO	Sigma Aldrich Sigma Aldrich	$\begin{array}{c} 99.902 \pm 0.095 \\ 99.86 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	qNMR	INMETRO has broad CMC for purity assessment "Mass fraction purity of organic compounds of high polarity (pKOW > -2) with molar mass below 500 g/mol". K55d DoE (0,7%) used combination of mass balance and qNMR.		
UME	Phe Leu	UME	Acros Organics Acros Organics	$\begin{array}{c} 99.70 \pm 0.23 \\ 99.63 \pm 0.23 \end{array}$	qNMR	The capability is underpinned by participating in CCQM-K55b-d, CCQM- K104 and CCQM-K148.a comparisons.		
VNIIM	Phe Leu	VNIIM	Sigma Aldrich Sigma Aldrich	$99.62 \pm 0.03$ $99.75 \pm 0.03$	Mass balance LC/MS, LC/LS, GC/MS, LC-DAD, GC/FID, ICP/MS, TGA, KF titration	CMC (High purity chemicals - phenylalanine, leucine) approved 2019- 10-23.		
NMIT	Phe Leu	CRM 6014-a CRM 6012-a			N/A			

Table 6. Metrological traceability of participants' results

	Analyta	Source of	Matarial	Mass Fraction <sup>a</sup>	Purity	Evidence of
	Analyte	Traceability	wrateriai	Purity, %	Techniques <sup>b</sup>	Competence
KRISS	Phe Leu	CRM 6014-a CRM 6012-a			N/A	
РТВ	Phe Leu	CRM 6014-a CRM 6012-a			N/A	
EXHM/GC SL-EIM	Phe Leu	EXHM/GCSL -EIM	Commercially available amino acids in solid form (purity determined in- house with qNMR against NMIJ CRM 4601a)	$99.91 \pm 0.22$ $99.77 \pm 0.21$	qNMR The gravimetrically assigned values of the calibration solutions were also assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389a	EXHM has participated in the following CCQM purity comparisons: P150, P150b, K148a, K148b, P117c and CCQM-K104. EXHM has also participated in CCQM- K154a, b and d on the preparation and value assignment of mycotoxin solutions. According to their HFTLS statements, these comparisons provide evidence for purity value assignment when the participants have used with their our own calibrants, and not the solutions provided by BIPM, which is the case for EXHM.
LGC	Phe Leu	CRM 6014-a CRM 6012-a			N/A	

*a* Stated as Value  $\pm U_{95}$ (Value)

*b* DSC: Differential scanning calorimetry

GC-FID: Gas chromatography with flame ionization detection

HPLC-DAD: High performance liquid chromatography with diode-array detection

MB: Mass balance

qNMR: Quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance

# Methods Used by Participants

NMI/DI	Approximate sample size used (g)	Phenylalanine Internal Standard Labelling	Extraction	Instrument Technique	Calibration Strategy	Calibration method
NMIA	0.200	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation, dansyl chloride derivatisation	2D LC-MS/MS	DEM-IDMS	single point calibration, bracketed
NMIJ/	0.250	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation, N- butylnicotinic acid N- hydroxysuccini mide ester derivatisation	LC-MS/MS	IDMS	5-point calibration curve
HSA	0.250	13C6	Protein precipitation, N- tert- butyldimethylsil yl-N-methyl- trifluoroacetami de derivatisation for GC method	LC-MS/MS and GC-MS	IDMS	4-point calibration curve
INMETRO	0.300	D5	Protein precipitation	LC-MS/MS	IDMS	5-point internal calibration curve

Table 7. Summary of the methods used by participants in CCQM-K159

UME	0.250	D5	Liquid/liquid extraction, propyl chloroformate derivatisation	LC-Orbitrap	IDMS	5-point calibration curve
VNIIM	0.250	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation	LC-MS/MS	IDMS	single point calibration by 3 calibration solutions
NMIT	0.250	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation	LC-MS/MS	IDMS	single point calibration
KRISS	0.500	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation	LC-qTOF	IDMS	exact matched single point calibration
РТВ	0.250	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation, Liquid/liquid extraction	LC-MS/MS	IDMS	single point calibration
EXHM/GCSL- EIM	0.250	15N	Protein precipitation	LC-MS/MS	IDMS	exact matched single point calibration
LGC	0.250	13C9, 15N	Protein precipitation, MTBSTFA derivatisation	GC-MS/MS	DEM-IDMS	exact matched single point calibration, bracketed

Full descriptions of the analytical methods used by the participants, including sample preparation, analytical technique, and quantification approach are summarized in Appendix F. The participants' approaches to estimating uncertainty are provided in Appendix G.

#### Participant Results for DL-phenylalanine

The results for CCQM-K159 for the determination of DL-phenylalanine are detailed in Table 8 and presented graphically in Figure 8.

	T	Γ	DL-Phen	ylalani	ne mg/kg	5
NMI	x	u(x)	<i>u</i> ( <i>x</i> ) %	k	U(x)	U(x)
						%
NMIA	61.40	0.88	1.4	2.13	1.90	3.1
NMIJ / AIST	60.10	0.32	0.5	2.00	0.64	1.1
HSA	60.81	0.83	1.4	2.00	1.66	2.7
INMETRO	60.70	1.30	2.1	2.00	2.60	4.3
UME	57.65	2.06	3.6	2.00	4.12	7.1
VNIIM	58.50	1.70	2.9	2.00	3.40	5.8
NMIT	60.30	1.20	2.0	2.00	2.40	4.0
KRISS	61.48	0.70	1.1	2.20	1.53	2.5
РТВ	60.45	0.67	1.1	2.00	1.30	2.2
EXHM/GCSL-EIM	59.74	2.16	3.6	2.00	4.32	7.2
LGC	59.59	0.72	1.2	2.00	1.45	2.4
n	11					
$\overline{x}$	60.07					
S	1.16					
CV %	1.93					

Table 8. Reported results for DL-phenylalanine

*n* = number of results included in summary statistics;  $\bar{x}$  = mean; *s* = standard deviation; CV =  $100 \cdot s/\bar{x}$ 



Figure 8. Illustrated reported results for phenylalanine.

Results are sorted by increasing reported value. Data points represent the reported values, error bars represent  $\pm$  their expanded uncertainties, U(x). The thin horizontal gridlines are provided for visual guidance.

Overall, the results show good agreement between the institutes for the measurement of DLphenylalanine in plasma, with the reported expanded uncertainties of all institutes overlapping with each other.

# DL-PHENYLALANINE KEY COMPARISON REFERENCE VALUE (KCRV)

The KCRV was computed using the institute means and standard uncertainties using a number of different methods. Degrees of equivalence,  $d_i$ , were determined as:

$$d_i = \bar{x}_i - \hat{\mu}$$

Equation 1.

where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the selected KCRV. The uncertainty in  $d_i$  is:

$$u^{2}(d_{i}) = u^{2}(\bar{x}_{i}) + u^{2}(\hat{\mu}) - 2cov(\bar{x}_{i},\hat{\mu})$$

Equation 2.

Selected candidate KCRVs and their standard uncertainties are listed in Table 9. Only those estimators which do not require additional variance components are included. Both weighted and unweighted estimates have been calculated, as there is no reason to conclude that the institute uncertainties vary in their reliability. The results of all estimators are very similar to each other.

Table 9. Candidate KCRVs for DL-phenylalanine, including both weighted and unweighted methods. Robust estimates are included for comparison purposes but are not considered optimum as there are no outliers in the dataset. For the Huber estimate, a tuning constant of 1.345 was used to obtain 95% efficiency.

	KCRV (mg/kg)	<i>u</i> (mg/kg)	n	k	U (mg/kg)
Arithmetic mean	60.065	0.351	11	2.228	0.782
Weighted mean	60.322	0.219	11	2.228	0.489
Median	60.300	0.314	11	2.228	0.699
Huber Proposal 2	60.165	0.363	11	2.228	0.810

These candidate KCRVs are shown graphically in Figures 9, 10, 11 and 12.



Figure 9. The candidate KCRV based on the arithmetic mean.

The results are sorted by increasing reported value. Data points represent the reported mean values, x; error bars denote the standard uncertainties, u(x). The blue horizontal line denotes the candidate KCRV. The bracketing red lines denote the standard uncertainty of the candidate KCRV.



Figure 10. The candidate KCRV based on the weighted mean.

The results are sorted by increasing reported value. Data points represent the reported mean values, x; error bars denote the standard uncertainties, u(x). The blue horizontal line denotes the candidate KCRV. The bracketing red lines denote the standard uncertainty of the candidate KCRV.



Figure 11. The candidate KCRV based on the median.

The results are sorted by increasing reported value. Data points represent the reported mean values, x; error bars denote the standard uncertainties, u(x). The blue horizontal line denotes the candidate KCRV. The bracketing red lines denote the standard uncertainty of the candidate KCRV.



Figure 12. The candidate KCRV based on the Huber Proposal 2.

The results are sorted by increasing reported value. Data points represent the reported mean values, x; error bars denote the standard uncertainties, u(x). The blue horizontal line denotes the candidate KCRV. The bracketing red lines denote the standard uncertainty of the candidate KCRV.

In a Key Comparison study, the usual choice for the KCRV is the estimate in the set of appropriate estimators which has minimum variance. In this case the minimum-variance estimate is the uncertainty-weighted mean, where the weights, *wi*, are the inverse squared standard uncertainties  $\frac{1}{u_i^2}$  and the standard uncertainty in  $\hat{\mu}$  is:

$$u^{2}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{u^{2}(\bar{x}_{i})}}$$

Equation 3.

It has been demonstrated that the submitted uncertainties are consistent with their respective estimates, and the weighted mean is recommended for use as the KCRV.

## DL- PHENYLALANINE DEGREES OF EQUIVALENCE (DoE)

The absolute degrees of equivalence for the participants in CCQM-K159 are estimated as the signed difference between the combined value and the KCRV:

$$d_i = x_i - \text{KCRV}.$$

#### Equation 4.

Table 10 shows the results with degrees of equivalence calculated using the recommended KCRV (weighted mean) of 60.32 mg/kg. For a consistent dataset, the absolute value of the ratio of the degree of equivalence and its expanded uncertainty with k = 2 is expected to be below 1 in 95% of cases.

Institute	Estimate	u	Weight	uKCRV	Covariance	DoE	uDoE	DoE/
								UDoE
TUBITAK								
UME	57.65	2.06	0.236	0.219	0.204	-2.672	1.971	-0.68
VNIIM	58.50	1.70	0.346	0.219	0.139	-1.822	1.631	-0.56
LGC	59.59	0.72	1.929	0.219	0.025	-0.732	0.719	-0.51
EXHM	59.74	2.16	0.214	0.219	0.224	-0.582	2.065	-0.14
NMIJ	60.10	0.32	9.766	0.219	0.005	-0.222	0.375	-0.30
NMIT	60.30	1.20	0.694	0.219	0.069	-0.022	1.162	-0.01
PTB	60.45	0.67	2.228	0.219	0.022	0.128	0.674	0.10
INMETRO	60.70	1.30	0.592	0.219	0.081	0.378	1.255	0.15
HSA	60.81	0.83	1.452	0.219	0.033	0.488	0.819	0.30
NMIA	61.40	0.88	1.291	0.219	0.037	1.078	0.865	0.62
KRISS	61.48	0.70	2.041	0.219	0.024	1.158	0.701	0.83

Table 10. Estimates and degrees of equivalence with respect to the recommended KCRV.



Figure 13. Displays the absolute DoE for the Weighted Mean candidate KCRV for phenylalanine.

The results are sorted by increasing reported value. The axis to the left edge displays the absolute DoE, d, in mg/kg. Data points represent d, and the bars their approximate 95 % expanded uncertainties, U95(d). The red horizontal line denotes a very good agreement with the candidate KCRV.



Figure 14. Displays the relative DoE as percent for the Weighted Mean candidate KCRVs for phenylalanine.

The results are sorted by increasing reported value. The axis to the left edge displays the relative DoE,  $100 \cdot d/KCRV$ , as percent. Data points represent d, and the bars their approximate 95 % expanded uncertainties, U95(d). The red horizontal line denotes a very good agreement with the candidate KCRV.

# USE OF CCQM-K159 IN SUPPORT OF CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY (CMC) CLAIMS

#### How Far the Light Shines

Successful participation in CCQM-K159 demonstrates the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.

This may include demonstration of measurement capabilities such as: (1) value assignment of primary reference standards; (2) value assignment of calibration solutions; (3) extraction of analyte of interest from the matrix; (4) clean-up and separation of the analyte of interest from other interfering matrix or extract components; (5) separation and quantification using techniques such as GC/MS, GC-HRMS, HPLC-FLD or LC-MS.

#### **Core Competency Statements and CMC support**

Appendix E lists the Core Competencies claimed by the participants in CCQM-K159. The information in these Tables is as provided by the participants. Details of the analytical methods used by each participant in this study are provided in Appendix F.

As all participants' DoE overlap with the candidate KCRV, everyone has demonstrated their competency within their level of uncertainty. Therefore, CMCs that align with the HFTLS, and uncertainties that align with the DoE are supported.

## CONCLUSIONS

All participants demonstrated their capability of determining DL-phenylalanine in a biological matrix at mass fraction within 1-1000 mg/kg.

The DL-phenylalanine mass fraction KCRVs (wKCRV) for CCQM-K159 spanned a mass fraction range of 60.065 mg/kg to 60.322 mg/kg. The relative expanded uncertainties U(wKCRV) ranged from 0.81 % to 1.35 %.

Inspection of the degree of equivalence plots (Figures 13 and 14) for the DL-phenylalanine mass fraction assignments in CCQM-K159 indicated that there was an excellent agreement between results for all participants.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study coordinators thank the participating laboratories for providing the requested information used in this study.

## **APPENDIX A: Call for Participation**

From: Mackay, Lindsey
Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2020 9:26 AM
To:
Subject: Call for participation in CCQM-K159 Amino acids in plasma and BIPM Visiting
Scientist Opportunities [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Dear OAWG Colleagues
This is the formal call for participation in our next Track A comparison for amino acids in plasma. The key comparison CCQM-K159 is being run in parallel with the pilot study CCQM-P202 so you have both options, but if you would like to submit CMCs related to the scope of

this comparison in the future then you will need to participate in the key comparison.

LGC have added in the long term stability data to the protocol and the deadline for submission of results has been shifted to 30 June 2020 due to the delay in getting to this point. Could you please register with LGC using the attached form by 14 February 2020 as they will be aiming to distribute samples by the end of February.

Robert Wielgosz from BIPM has passed on to me the current information on visiting scientist opportunities at BIPM for quarter 4 2020 and for 2021. The document is attached and is also available from the following link:

https://www.bipm.org/cc/CCQM/Allowed/26/CCQM20-04\_BIPM\_Chemistry\_2020-2021.pdf

Anyone interested, or with colleagues who are interested, are invited to contact Robert for further information (email:).

Best regards Lindsey

## **APPENDIX B: Protocol**

# CCQM-K159/CCQM-P202 Free Amino Acids in Plasma

Key Comparison Track A

# Study Protocol October 2019

Emily Whyte and Chris Hopley LGC Teddington, Middlesex, TW11 0LY, England

#### INTRODUCTION

This Track A study is being used to assess the core competencies of the National Metrology Institutes/Designated Institutes (NMIs/DIs) for provision of measurement services. The aim of this study is to assess the performance of the NMIs/DIs for a matrix material for clinical analytes. This follows and builds on the successful K109 study on Urea and Uric Acid in Human Serum. Several options were presented to the OAWG, including metanephrines and aldosterone, before it was agreed to progress the study on amino acids in human plasma.

Inherited metabolic disorders affect approximately 1 in 1000 babies, amino acid disorders are less frequent, and approximately 1 in 6000. Babies diagnosed with amino acid disorders require constant monitoring of amino acid levels, especially for disease states such as phenylketonuria. In addition, amino acid measurement may aid in the evaluation of several other disorders such as neurological and nutritional disorders.

Participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine. These are core challenges for reference material producers and providers of calibration services. Evidence of successful participation in formal, relevant international comparisons is needed to document measurement capability claims (CMCs) made by national metrology institutes (NMIs) and designated institutes (DIs).

CCQM-K159 is being co-ordinated in parallel with pilot study CCQM-P202. This protocol also covers the parallel pilot study.

## TIMELINE

Table 1 lists the timeline for the proposed study.

Date	Action
November 2018	Sample Preparation
March – November 2019	Homogeneity and Stability Testing
January 2020	Call for participation to OAWG members
July/August 2020	Sample Distribution
February 2021	Deadline for Submission of Results
April 2021	Preliminary Discussion of Results

## Table 1: Timeline of CCQM-K159/CCQM-P202
#### MEASURANDS

The measurands for this study are the free amino acids, DL-Leucine and DL-Phenylalanine which are within the concentration range 1-1000 mg/kg. The matrix is frozen pooled human plasma (lithium heparin) which has been screened, the units are 1.2 mL aliquots in screw capped vials and are stored at -80°C. Data is to be reported as received, mass fractions, mg/kg on the combined value of three units.



Figure 1: Structures of target analytes

# **STUDY MATERIALS**

Frozen pooled human plasma (lithium heparin), 1000 units of 1.2 mL aliquots prepared from homogenised material. These are in screw capped cryo safe vials that have been stored at -80C.

Each participant will receive 5 units of 1.2 mL aliquots of frozen pooled human plasma. Measurement results were to be reported on an as-received basis.

## **Recommended Minimum Sample Amount**

The recommended minimum sample amount for analysis is at least 250  $\mu$ L.

## Homogeneity Assessment of Study Material

Homogeneity was assessed by analyzing  $250 \ \mu$ L aliquots of 15 units in triplicate across 3 batches. This data is summarized in Table 2, and plots of the individual results are shown for Leucine in Figure 2, and Phenylalanine in Figure 3. The data was assessed with a one-way ANOVA and found to be acceptable.

Table 2.	Results of the hom	ogeneity assessm	ent for free DL-leuc	ine and DL-phenylalanine	in frozen human plasma
----------	--------------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

ANOVA Estimate	Leucine	Phenylalanine
Within-packet, CV <sub>wth</sub> :	0.39 %	0.39 %
Between-packet, CV <sub>btw</sub> :	0.56 %	0.52 %
Total analytical variability, CV:	0.76 %	0.84 %



Figure 2. Homogeneity evaluation for Leucine



Figure 3. Homogeneity evaluation for Phenylalanine

# Stability Assessment of Study Material

Short term stability assessment of 2 units in duplicate at four storage conditions,  $-80^{\circ}$ C,  $-20^{\circ}$ C,  $4^{\circ}$ C and  $18^{\circ}$ C. Short term stability was assessed at T0, 1 week, 2 weeks and 4 weeks (non-isochronously). Results for Leucine and Phenylalanine are shown in Figures 4 and 5 respectively, some instability is observed at  $4^{\circ}$ C and  $18^{\circ}$ C, but at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and  $80^{\circ}$ C no significant change is observed. Long term stability (3 months and 6 months) results at  $-80^{\circ}$ C are shown in Figures 6 and 7. No significant change in concentration is found over the time period.





Figure 4 Short term stability evaluation for Leucine

Figure 5 Short term stability evaluation for Phenylalanine





Figure 6 Long term stability evaluation for Leucine at -80°C

Figure 7 Long term stability evaluation for Phenylalanine at -80°C



As a final check, the long-term stability (LTS) data was analysed as a homogeneity data set for comparison, Table 3 shows the data from this analysis in comparison to the original homogeneity data set.

# Table 3. Results of the Long-Term Stability data analysed as homogeneity data in comparison to the original homogeneity assessment data for free DL-leucine and DL-phenylalanine in frozen human plasma

Analyte	Study	Between-unit SD	Within-unit SD
Leucine	Homogeneity	0.56%	0.39%
	LTS as homogeneity	0.69%	0.34%
Phenylalanine	Homogeneity	0.53%	0.39%
	LTS as homogeneity	0.76%	0.44%

The data shows a slightly higher variance but this can be explained by the use of fresh analytical standards for each of the long term stability runs.

## INSTRUCTIONS AND SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION

Samples will be shipped on dry ice and should be stored at -20°C until analysis, individual units are single use at point of measurement.

## RESULTS

Participants will be requested to report a single estimate of the mass fraction in units of mg/kg for both DL-Leucine and DL-Phenylalanine. The reported mass fraction will be the overall mean from measurements from three separate units, reporting will include the values for the individual units in addition to the overall mean.

In addition to the quantitative results, participants will be instructed to describe their analytical methods, approach to uncertainty estimation, and the Core Competencies they felt were demonstrated in this study.

### **Available Calibration Materials**

Participants may establish the metrological traceability of their results using certified reference materials (CRMs) with stated traceability and/or commercially available high purity materials for which they determined the purity. Table 4 lists the CRMs that are available for use for this study.

			Mass Fraction <sup>a</sup>	Mass Fraction <sup>a</sup> Source Material,	In-house Purity Methods Used to Value-Assign
CRM	Provider	Analyte	Delivered, mg/g	mg/g	Source Material
CRM 6012-a	NMIJ	Leu	N/A	999 ± 2	Titration, LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer
CRM 6014-a	NMIJ	Phe	N/A	999 ± 2	Titration, LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer
CRM 2389a	NIST	Leu Phe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.319 \pm 0.014 \\ 0.421 \pm 0.014 \end{array}$	N/A	Gravimetric and LC- MS/MS
HRM 1008A	HSA	Leu	N/A	996.9 ± 3.3	LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer
HRM 1014A	HSA	Phe	N/A	$997.5\pm3.6$	LC-MS, TGA, Karl Fischer

Table 4: Certified Reference Materials Available for Use

*a* Stated as Value  $\pm U_{95}$ (Value)

Stable isotope internal standards for both leucine and phenylalanine are widely available.

## USE OF CCQM-K159 IN SUPPORT OF CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY (CMC) CLAIMS

#### How Far the Light Shines

Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.

## Core Competency Statements and CMC support

Details of potential core competencies are listed in Appendix B.

# **APPENDIX C: Registration Form**

CCQM - K159 / P202

**Amino Acids in Plasma** 

**Registration Form** 



My Institute/Laboratory would like to participate in Amino Acids in Plasma:

□ Key Comparison K159 □ Pilot Study P202

Name of Institute	
Name of Department/ Laboratory	
Name of Contact Person	
Email Address	
Telephone Number	
Shipping Address	

Date

Do you require a permit/licence to receive samples?

 $\Box$  No  $\Box$  Yes (If yes, please send further details)

Please note that any import taxes or charges imposed on the comparison samples during transportation shall be borne by the participating laboratory.

The samples will be shipped on dry ice.

Please fill out this form using the Fill & Sign function in PDF and save it. Return the completed form to Chris Hopley and Tabatha Hambidge by **31/1/2019**.

If you do not receive an acknowledgement from us within 4 working days, please send us an email.

# **APPENDIX D: Reporting Form**

Report of Results CCQM-K159 and CCQM-P202 Free Amino Acids in Plasma

Please complete electronically

# Participating Laboratory Submission Information

Participating Institute	
Reporting Date	
Submitter	
Position	
E-Mail	

## **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number		
Result (mg/kg)		
Overall Mean (mg/kg)		
Combined Standard		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)		
Coverage Factor, k		
(95% confidence		
interval)		
Expanded uncertainty		
at 95% confidence		
interval (mg/kg)		

# Plasma Results Phenylalanine

Bottle Number		
Result (mg/kg)		
Overall Mean (mg/kg)		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)		
Coverage Factor, k (95% confidence interval)		
Expanded uncertainty at 95% confidence interval (mg/kg)		

## **Technical information**

#### Calibrants

	Leucine	Phenylalanine
Calibrant Source		
		A
Purity (units)		
Expanded Uncertainty		
(units)		
Traceability		
Characterisation		
Technique(s)		Č,
Purity Assay (if conducted)		

# Internal Standards

	Leucine	Phenylalanine
Source		
Purity		
Labelling		

## **Measurement Procedure**

	Leucine	Phenylalanine
Sample size used		
Sample preparation details		
(e.g. equilibration,		
extraction, clean-up		
procedures, derivatisation)		
Analysis Method (e.g.		
instruments/conditions,		
separation conditions,		
detection – wavelength,		
Sittis)		
Calibration strategy (e.g.		
IDMS, External calibration)		
Calibration method (e.g.		
single point, bracketing,		
multi-level)		
Calibration equation		

# **Uncertainty Budget**

	Leucine	Phenylalanine
List and describe		
components of the		
uncertainty budget and		
contribution		
		•

## **Additional Information**

	Leucine	Phenylalanine
Any other relevant dditional information		
	<b>Y</b>	

Please return results via email to

Gill Holcombe, Head of Reference Material Production, LGC,

Gill.Holcombe@lgcgroup.com

# **APPENDIX E: Core Competency Tables**

# **CCQM OAWG:** Competency Template for Analyte(s) in Matrix

<b>CCQM-K159</b>	NMIA		Free amino acids in plasma	
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol having high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.				
Competenc	ÿ	ü,û, or N/A	Specific Information as Provided by National Measurement Institute, Australia (NMIA)	
C	ompetencies	for Va	lue-Assignment of Calibrant	
Calibrant: Did you use a "h substance" or calibration sol Identity verification of analy	ighly-pure ution? rte(s) in		Leucine: NMIJ CRM 6012-a Phenylalanine: NMIJ CRM 6014-a	
calibration material.		N/A	N/A	
For calibrants which are a hi substance: Value-Assignme Assessment method(s).	ghly-pure nt / Purity	N/A	N/A	
For calibrants which are a ca solution: Value-assignment	alibration method(s).	N/A	N/A	
Sample Analysis Competencies				
Identification of analyte(s) in	n sample	ü	Retention times on three UHPLC columns LC-MSMS MRM ratios of four MRMs each for two chromatographic conditions	
Extraction of analyte(s) of in matrix	nterest from	ü	Protein precipitation with acetonitrile.	
Cleanup - separation of anal interest from other interferin components (if used)	yte(s) of g matrix	û	N/A	
Transformation - conversion of interest to detectable/mea (if used)	of analyte(s) surable form	ü	Derivatisation with dansyl chloride	
Analytical system		ü	2D-LC-MSMS	
Calibration approach for val assignment of analyte(s) in r	ue- natrix	ü	Exact matching double isotope dilution mass spectrometry using a single point calibration with bracketing.	
Verification method(s) for v assignment of analyte(s) in s used)	alue- sample (if	ü	Two complimentary 2D-LC separations were used to verify lack of interferences. NIST 1950 CRM used as quality control	
Other		û	N/A	

# **CCQM-K159**

## **NMIJ/AIST**

#### Free amino acids in plasma

**Scope of Measurement:** Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to midpolarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.

### Competency

□,□, or N/A

# Specific Information as Provided by NMIJ/AIST

#### **Competencies for Value-Assignment of Calibrant**

Calibrant: Did you use a "highly-pure substance" or calibration solution?

Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material. For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity Assessment method(s).

For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Valueassignment method(s).

#### **Sample Analysis Competencies**

Identification of analyte(s) in sample

Extraction of analyte(s) of interest from matrix Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used) Transformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used) Analytical system Calibration approach for valueassignment of analyte(s) in matrix

Verification method(s) for valueassignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used) Other CRMs were used for calibrant, Leu: NMIJ CRM 6012-a Phe: NMIJ CRM 6014-a

Indicate method(s) you used to identify analyte(s) Indicate how you established analyte mass fraction/purity (i.e., mass balance (list techniques used), qNMR, other) Indicate how you established analyte mass fraction in calibration solution

> We confirmed the retention time, and roughly checked spike and recovery using calibrant. Protein precipitation by adding same volume of acetonitrile. *Indicate cleanup technique(s) used, if any (i.e., SPE, LC fractionation, other)*

The analyte was derivatized with *N*-Butylnicotinic acid *N*hydroxysuccinimide ester. LC-MS/MS quantification mode, IDMS calibration mode, 5-point calibration curve NIST SRM 1950 was used for confirmation of the validity.

CCQM-K159	HSA	Free amino acids in plasma

**Scope of Measurement:** Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, plasma and urine.

Competency	ü,û, or N/A	Specific Information as Provided by <i>HSA</i>
Competencies	for Val	ue-Assignment of Calibrant
Calibrant: Did you use a "highly-pure substance" or calibration solution?	Ö	High purity L-leucine and L-phenylalanine from HSA were used as the calibrants.
Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.	Ö	<i>LC-MS/MS</i> was used to verify the $[M+H]^+$ ion and the corresponding daughter ions.
For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity Assessment method(s).	N/A	L-leucine and L-phenylalanine CRMs certified using mass balance method were used as calibrants.
For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).	N/A	
Samj	ple Anal	ysis Competencies
Identification of analyte(s) in sample	Ö	The analytes in the samples were identified against pure L- leucine and L-phenylalanine CRMs (HRM-1008A and HRM- 1014A)) by comparing their MRM transitions and retention times on the LC-MS/MS.
Extraction of analyte(s) of interest from matrix	N/A	C V
Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)	Ö	Protein precipitation was used for clean-up. The details are as follows: After spiking the isotope labelled internal standard solution, the sample was vortexed, and allowed to equilibrate at ambient temperature for 2 h. Methanol (4-folds of aqueous volume) was then added for protein precipitation. The sample was vortexed vigorously and centrifuged for 10 min at 14000 g. The supernatant was filtered through 0.22 µm syringe filter. For LC-MS/MS analysis, the filtrate was diluted to approximately 100 ng/g with acetonitrile.
Transformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)	Ö	One-step derivatisation was used for GC-MS measurement. The details are as follows: After centrifugation and filtration through 0.22 $\mu$ m syringe filter, 50 $\mu$ L of the filtrate 50 $\mu$ L of pyridine and 50 $\mu$ L of N- tert-Butyldimethylsilyl-N-methyl-trifuoroacetamide were added. The solution was vortexed vigorously for 1 min and was heated at 60 °C for 1 hour. The solution was then centrifuged at 4000 g for 5 min, and the supernatant was taken for GC-MS analysis.
Analytical system	Ö	<i>LC-MS/MS and GC-MS were used. The averages of results obtained from LC-MS/MS and GC-MS were reported.</i>
Calibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrix	Ö	Four-point calibration curve IDMS method was used.
Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)	Ö	Human plasma CRM for leucine and phenylalanine from NIST (SRM 1950) was used as quality control materials, which was measured in parallel with the comparison samples. The obtained values agreed well within the uncertainties of the reference values of the CRM. Human plasma CRMs for

		leucine and phenylalanine from KRISS (111-01-019) (two concentration levels) were also measured to further verify our IDMS method. The obtained values were also found to be within the uncertainties of reference values of the CRMs.
Other	N/A	

J.

CCQM-K159	INMET	RO	Free amino acids in plasma		
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, havin high to mid-polarity pKow $>$ -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as huma plasma, serum and urine.					
Competen	Competency,û, or N/ASpecific Information as Provided by INMETRO				
(	Competencies	for Valu	ue-Assignment of Calibrant		
Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solu	shly-pure tion?	ü	We used the following highly-pure substance as calibrants: L- Leucine, L8000 (sigma-aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and L- Phenilalanine, P2126 (sigma-aldrich, St. Louis, USA.		
Identity verification of analyt calibration material.	e(s) in	ü	The identity verification of the analyte was performed by ${}^{1}H$ NMR		
For calibrants which are a hig substance: Value-Assignmen Assessment method(s).	hly-pure t / Purity	ü	Purity assessment method was <sup>1</sup> H NMR for both analytes.		
For calibrants which are a cal solution: Value-assignment m	ibration nethod(s).	N/A	Not applicable		
	Samj	ple Anal	ysis Competencies		
Identification of analyte(s) in	sample	ü	Analytes were identified in the sample by its retention time and by the use of an additional MS/MS transition for confirmation.		
Extraction of analyte(s) of int matrix	erest from	ü	Sample preparations prior to LC-MS/MS analysis was conducted by the following steps: Protein precipitation using acetonitrile, evaporation ( $N_2$ stream) to the dryness, reconstitution with 0.1 mol/L HCl solution.		
Cleanup - separation of analy from other interfering matrix used)	te(s) of interest components (if	ü	Protein precipitation was used to cleanup the sample.		
Transformation - conversion interest to detectable/measura used)	of analyte(s) of ble form (if	N/A	Not applicable – no transformation was performed.		
Analytical system		ü	LC-MS/MS		
Calibration approach for valu	e-assignment of	ü	a) quantification mode used was IDMS b) calibration mode used was 5 point calibration curve		
Verification method(s) for va of analyte(s) in sample (if use	lue-assignment d)	ü	A different calibrant was used to confirm the value- assignment of analytes in the sample. The reported results were obtained from high-purity substances (sigma-aldrich) used as calibrants (identity verification and purity assessment performed by <sup>1</sup> H NMR). The results were compared to that obtained by the use of NIST SRM 2389a (Amino Acids in 0.1 mol/L Hydrochloric Acid) as calibrant.		

Other	ü	NIST SRM 1950 (metabolites in human plasma) was used as control sample in order to check the measurement accuracy.
-------	---	--

CCQM-K159	LGC	1	Free amino acids in plasma	
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measuremen capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow $>$ -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.				
Competency		ü,û <b>, or</b> N/A	Specific Information as Provided by <i>LGC</i>	
(	Competencies	for Valu	ue-Assignment of Calibrant	
Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solu	hly-pure tion?		NMIJ pure materials L-Phenylalanine CRM 6014-a and L-Leucine CRM 6012-a	
Identity verification of analyticalibration material.	e(s) in	N/A		
For calibrants which are a hig substance: Value-Assignmen Assessment method(s).	hly-pure t / Purity	N/A		
For calibrants which are a cal solution: Value-assignment m	ibration hethod(s).	N/A		
Sample Analysis Competencies				
Identification of analyte(s) in	sample	ü	2 MRM transitions, retention time, peak shape	
Extraction of analyte(s) of int matrix	erest from	ü	Protein precipitation - 250µl plasma sample with 1 ml acetonitrile	
Cleanup - separation of analy from other interfering matrix used)	te(s) of interest components (if	N/A		
Transformation - conversion of interest to detectable/measura used)	of analyte(s) of ble form (if	ü	Derivatization of dried sample with 100µl MTBSTFA + 1% TBDMCS	
Analytical system		ü	GC-MS/MS	
Calibration approach for valu analyte(s) in matrix	e-assignment of	ü	Bracketed single point double exact matching IDMS.	
Verification method(s) for va of analyte(s) in sample (if use	lue-assignment d)	ü	NIST SRM 1950 - Metabolites in Frozen Human Plasma	
Other		N/A		

Scope of Measurement:       Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow>-4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.         Competency       ü, ů, or N/A       Specific Information as Provided by EXHM         Calibrant:       Did you use a "highly-pure gather of calibrant       in house leucine and phenylalanine calibration and calibration solution.         Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.       in a house leucine and phenylalanine calibration and calibration solution.         For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance:       u anyline of analyte(s) in a gravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NMIJ CRM 4601         Assessment method(s).       u gravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389a         Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) in sample       u Retention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratios         After the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.         Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from matrix       u contribution of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.         Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from matrix       u Contribution approach for value-assignment	CCQM-K159	EXHN	И	Free amino acids in plasma
Competency         ü,ů, or NA         Specific Information as Provided by EXHM           Competencies for Value-Assignment of Calibrant         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment of Calibrant           Calibrant: Did you use a "highly-pure substance" or calibration solution?         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment of Calibrant           Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment / Purity           For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment / Purity           Assessment method(s).         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment / Purity         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment / Purity           Calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment / Purity         Image: Competencies for Value-Assignment / Purity           Identification of analyte(s) in sample         Image: Retention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratios         After the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.           Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)         Image: Competencies for N/A           Claibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrix         Image: Competencies for N/A           Calibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrix         Image: Competencies for N/A <t< td=""><td colspan="4"><b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow&gt; -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as huma plasma, serum and urine.</td></t<>	<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow> -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as huma plasma, serum and urine.			
Competencies for Value-Assignment of CalibrantCalibrant: Did you use a "highly-pure substance" or calibration solution?in house leucine and phenylalanine calibrant and calibration solution.Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.iiaNMR, ID- LC-MS/MSFor calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / PurityiiaNMR against NMIJ CRM 4601Assessment method(s).iigravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389aFor calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).iiRetention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratiosHentification of analyte(s) of interest from matrixiiRetention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratiosCleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)iiiCentrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/A-Analytical systemiiLC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixiiIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration, matrix matched, single-point calibration, - standard additionsCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)iiIDMS, exact matching matrix matched calibration, analyte(s) in sample (if used)	Competency		ü,û <b>, or</b> N/A	Specific Information as Provided by <u>EXHM</u>
Calibrant: Did you use a "highly-pure substance" or calibration solution?       ii       in house leucine and phenylalanine calibrant and calibration solution.         Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.       ii       qNMR, ID- LC-MS/MS         For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity Assessment method(s).       ii       qNMR against NMIJ CRM 4601         For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).       ii       gravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389a         Identification of analyte(s) in sample       ii       Retention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratios         Identification of analyte(s) of interest from matrix       ii       Retention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratios         Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)       ii       Centrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilution         Transformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)       N/A       LC-MS/MS         Analytical system       ii       LC-MS/MS       IDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration, - matrix matched, single-point calibration, - matrix matched, single-point calibration, - matrix matched, single-point calibration, - matrix matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additions	(	Competencies	for Valu	ue-Assignment of Calibrant
Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.iiqNMR, ID- LC-MS/MSFor calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity Assessment method(s).iiqNMR against NMIJ CRM 4601For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).iigravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389aIdentification of analyte(s) in sampleiiRetention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratiosIdentification of analyte(s) of interest from matrixiiRetention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratiosCleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)iiCentrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/AILC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixiiLC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)iiIDMS, exact matching matrix matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additions	Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solu	ghly-pure tion?	ü	in house leucine and phenylalanine calibrant and calibration solution.
For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity Assessment method(s).ii <i>qNMR against NMIJ CRM 4601</i> For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).ii <i>gravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by</i> <i>LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389a</i> <b>Sample Analytical system</b> Identification of analyte(s) in sampleii <i>Retention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratios</i> After the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)ii <i>Centrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold</i> dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)ii <i>LC-MS/MS</i> Analytical systemii <i>LC-MS/MS</i> Calibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixii <i>IDMS, exact matching</i> matrix matched, single-point calibration, • matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 • standard additionsOtherN/A.	Identity verification of analyticalibration material.	e(s) in	ü	qNMR, ID- LC-MS/MS
For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).gravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389aIdentification of analyte(s) in sampleüRetention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratiosExtraction of analyte(s) of interest from matrixüAfter the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)Centrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/AILC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixiiIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration, verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)ii- matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	For calibrants which are a hig substance: Value-Assignmen Assessment method(s).	shly-pure t / Purity	ü	qNMR against NMIJ CRM 4601
Sample Substrate S	For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).		ü	gravimetrically prepared calibration solutions assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389a
Identification of analyte(s) in sampleüRetention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratiosExtraction of analyte(s) of interest from matrixüAfter the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)Centrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/ALC-MS/MSAnalytical systemüLC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixüIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration, - matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	Sample Analysis Competencies			
Extraction of analyte(s) of interest from matrixAfter the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)Image: Centrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/ACentrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionAnalytical systemImage: Centrifugation approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixImage: Centrifugation approach for value-assignment matrix matched, single-point calibration, matrix matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	Identification of analyte(s) in	sample	ü	Retention time, MRMs, mass spec ion ratios
Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of interest from other interfering matrix components (if used)iCentrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilutionTransformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/AN/AAnalytical systemiiLC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixiiIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration,Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)ii- matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	Extraction of analyte(s) of int matrix	erest from	ü	After the addition of the internal standard solution, the analytes were extracted in cold methanol/ethanol by vortexing and simultaneous protein precipitation.
Transformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)N/AN/AAnalytical systemüLC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixüIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration,Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)ü- matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	Cleanup - separation of analy from other interfering matrix used)	te(s) of interest components (if	ü	<i>Centrifugation, evaporation, reconstitution and 20-fold dilution</i>
Analytical systemüLC-MS/MSCalibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixüIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration,Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)ü- matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	Transformation - conversion of interest to detectable/measura used)	of analyte(s) of ble form (if	N/A	
Calibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrixiiIDMS, exact matching matrix matched, single-point calibration,Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)ii- matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 	Analytical system		ü	LC-MS/MS
analyte(s) in matrixmatrix matched, single-point calibration,Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)ü- matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314 - standard additionsOtherN/A.	Calibration approach for valu	Calibration approach for value-assignment of		IDMS, exact matching
verification method(s) for value-assignment       u       - matrix-matched calibration against UME CRM 1314         of analyte(s) in sample (if used)       - standard additions         Other       N/A	analyte(s) in matrix			matrix matched, single-point calibration,
Other N/A .	Verification method(s) for value-assignment of analyte(s) in sample (if used)		u	- matrix-matchea calibration against UME CKM 1314 - standard additions
	Other		N/A	

CCQM-K159	KRIS	S	Free amino acids in plasma	
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measuremen capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human plasma, serum and urine.				
Competency		ü,û, or N/A	Specific Information as Provided by KRISS	
(	Competencies	for Valu	ue-Assignment of Calibrant	
Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solut	hly-pure tion?		High purity CRM of L-leucine (6012-a) and L-phenylalanine (6014-a), which of purities were certified by NMIJ.	
calibration material.	e(s) in	N/A		
For calibrants which are a high substance: Value-Assignment Assessment method(s).	hly-pure t / Purity	N/A	Certified values assigned by NMIJ was used	
For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).		N/A		
	Samj	ple Anal	ysis Competencies	
Identification of analyte(s) in	sample	ü	Retention time and mass spec ion ratios by ID-LC-MS/MS	
Extraction of analyte(s) of inte matrix	erest from	ü	Same amount of isotope solution (in DW) was added to plasma, then, gently mixed for 1 hour on a shaker.	
Cleanup - separation of analyt from other interfering matrix of used)	e(s) of interest components (if	ü	Isotope-added samples were deproteinized with 15 %(v/v) 5- sulfosalicylic acid. After centrifuged, supernatants were filtered (0.2 $\mu$ m), and diluted ten times with distilled water before applying LC-MS system	
Transformation - conversion of interest to detectable/measural used)	of analyte(s) of ble form (if	N/A		
Analytical system		ü	LC-MS/MS	
Calibration approach for value analyte(s) in matrix	e-assignment of	ü	<ul><li>a) Quantification mode : IDMS</li><li>b) Calibration mode: exact-matched single-point calibration</li></ul>	
Verification method(s) for val of analyte(s) in sample (if use	ue-assignment d)	ü	Analytical method was verified using KRISS CRMs 111-01- 019 (amino acids in plasma)	
Other		N/A		
		-	·	

CCQM-K159	NIMI	Γ	Free amino acids in plasma
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> capabilities in determining m high to mid-polarity pKow > plasma, serum and urine.	Successful partic ass fraction of or -4, in mass fractio	ipation in ganic com n range fr	CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement pounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having om 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human
Competency		ü,û, or N/A	Specific Information as Provided by National Institute of Metrology (Thailand) NIMT
	Competencies	for Val	ue-Assignment of Calibrant
Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solu	ghly-pure ttion?		Highly-pure substances CRM, NMLJ CRM 6012-a L-Leucine and NMLJ CRM 6014-a L-Phenylalanine were used
Identity verification of analyt calibration material.	e(s) in	ü	LC-MS/MS
For calibrants which are a hig substance: Value-Assignmen Assessment method(s).	ghly-pure at / Purity	N/A	
For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).		N/A	
Sample Analysis Competencies			
Identification of analyte(s) in	sample	ü	The analytes in the samples were identified against NMIJ CRM 6012-a L-Leucine and NMIJ CRM 6014-a L-Phenylalanine standards by comparing their retention times, MS/MS spectra of both analytes
Extraction of analyte(s) of int matrix	erest from	ü	Protein precipitation using an equal amount of 30% 5- sulfosalicylic acid and centrifugation at 14000 rpm for 10 min
Cleanup - separation of analy from other interfering matrix used)	te(s) of interest components (if	N/A	
Transformation - conversion of interest to detectable/measura used)	of analyte(s) of ble form (if	N/A	
Analytical system		ü	LC-MS/MS
Calibration approach for valu analyte(s) in matrix	e-assignment of	ü	a) Exact-match IDMS b) Single-point bracketing calibration
Verification method(s) for va of analyte(s) in sample (if use	lue-assignment ed)	ü	Spiked recovery
Other		N/A	

CCQM-K159	РТВ		Free amino acids in plasma
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measuremer capabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, havin high to mid-polarity pKow $>$ -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as huma plasma, serum and urine.			
Competency		ü,û <b>, or</b> N/A	Specific Information as Provided by <b>PTB</b>
(	Competencies	for Valu	ue-Assignment of Calibrant
Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solut	hly-pure ion?	ü	Pure material from NMIJ: CRM 6012-a L-Leucine Pure material from NRC: APHE-1 L-Phenylalanine
Identity verification of analyte calibration material.	e(s) in	N/A	
For calibrants which are a high substance: Value-Assignment Assessment method(s).	hly-pure t / Purity	N/A	
For calibrants which are a cali solution: Value-assignment m	bration ethod(s).	N/A	
Sample Analysis Competencies			
Identification of analyte(s) in a	sample	ü	Retention time, mass spec ion ratios
Extraction of analyte(s) of inte matrix	erest from	ü	Liquid/liquid
Cleanup - separation of analyt from other interfering matrix oused)	e(s) of interest components (if	ü	Protein precipitation
Transformation - conversion of interest to detectable/measural used)	of analyte(s) of ole form (if	N/A	
Analytical system		ü	LC-MS/MS
Calibration approach for value	e-assignment of		a) IDMS
analyte(s) in matrix			b) single-point calibration
Verification method(s) for val	ue-assignment	N/A	
Other	u)	NI/A	
ouler		IN/A	

CCQM-K159 TUBIT	AK UME	Free amino acids in plasma						
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> Successful p capabilities in determining mass fraction high to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass f plasma, serum and urine.	<b>cope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement apabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having igh to mid-polarity pKow $>$ -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human lasma, serum and urine.							
Competency	ü,û, or N/A	Specific Information as Provided by TUBITAK UME						
Competen	cies for Valu	ue-Assignment of Calibrant						
Calibrant: Did you use a "highly-pure substance" or calibration solution?		Highly pure substance, Leucine- Acros Organics, Cat no: 17213 Phenylalanine- Acros Organics, Cat no: 13031						
Identity verification of analyte(s) in calibration material.		IDMS						
For calibrants which are a highly-pure substance: Value-Assignment / Purity Assessment method(s).		qNMR						
For calibrants which are a calibration solution: Value-assignment method(s).	N/A	-						
S	Sample Anal	ysis Competencies						
Identification of analyte(s) in sample		Ion ratios						
Extraction of analyte(s) of interest from matrix		Liquid/liquid extraction						
Cleanup - separation of analyte(s) of inter from other interfering matrix components used)	rest (if û	-						
Transformation - conversion of analyte(s) interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)	) of	Derivatization with propyl chloroformate						
Analytical system		LC-HRMS						
Calibration approach for value-assignmer analyte(s) in matrix	nt of	<ul> <li>a) IDMS</li> <li>b) Calibration curve (5 point for Leucine; 6 point for Phenylalanine)</li> </ul>						
Verification method(s) for value-assignm of analyte(s) in sample (if used)	ent N/A	-						
Other	N/A	-						

CCQM-K159	VNIIN	1	Free amino acids in plasma					
<b>Scope of Measurement:</b> capabilities in determining m high to mid-polarity pKow > - plasma, serum and urine.	<b>cope of Measurement:</b> Successful participation in CCQM-K159 will demonstrate the following measurement apabilities in determining mass fraction of organic compounds, with molecular mass of 50 g/mol to 500 g/mol, having igh to mid-polarity pKow > -4, in mass fraction range from 1 mg/kg to 1000 mg/kg in a biological matrix such as human lasma, serum and urine.							
Competen	cy	ü,û, or N/A	Specific Information as Provided by <i>VNIIM</i>					
Competencies for Value-Assignment of Calibrant								
Calibrant: Did you use a "hig substance" or calibration solu	shly-pure tion?		Commercially available highly-pure substances from Sigma- Aldrich Leucine # 61819 (lot#BCBV1129) Phenylalanine # 78019 (lot#BCBV5213)					
Identity verification of analyt calibration material.	e(s) in	$\checkmark$	Retention time, MRM					
For calibrants which are a hig substance: Value-Assignmen Assessment method(s).	hly-pure t / Purity	$\checkmark$	The purity of highly-pure substances was determined in-house by mass balance approach. Structurally related organics: LC/MS, LC/LS, LC/DAD, GC/MS Moisture: Karl Fisher Titration VOC: GC/FID, GC/MS Non-volatiles: ICP/MS; TGA					
For calibrants which are a cal solution: Value-assignment m	ibration nethod(s).	N/A	Indicate how you established analyte mass fraction in calibration solution					
	Samp	ole Anal	ysis Competencies					
Identification of analyte(s) in	sample		Retention time, MRM					
Extraction of analyte(s) of int matrix	erest from	$\checkmark$	Protein precipitation by organic solvent (methanol), centrifugation					
Cleanup - separation of analy from other interfering matrix used)	te(s) of interest components (if	$\checkmark$	Protein precipitation by organic solvent (methanol), centrifugation					
Transformation - conversion of analyte(s) of interest to detectable/measurable form (if used)		N/A	Indicate chemical transformation method(s), if any, (i.e., hydrolysis, derivatization, other)					
Analytical system			HPLC-MS/MS Agilent 6460 Triple Quad					
Calibration approach for value-assignment of analyte(s) in matrix		$\checkmark$	IDMS Single point calibration					
Verification method(s) for va of analyte(s) in sample (if use	lue-assignment d)		Verification by using Matrix Reference Material UME CRM 1314 Verification by using SRM NIST 2389a					
Other		N/A	Indicate any other competencies demonstrated.					

# **APPENDIX F: Summary of Participants' Analytical Information**

The following Tables summarize the detailed information about the analytical procedures each participant provided in their "Analytical Information" worksheets. The presentation of the information in many entries has been consolidated and standardized.

The participant's measurement uncertainty statements are provided verbatim in Appendix G.

	Annewingto	
	Approximate	
NMI/DI	sample size	Extraction
	used (g)	
NMIA	0.200 uL 0.203 g	50 $\mu$ L of a mixed leucine/phenylalanine internal standard solution was gravimetrically added to 200 $\mu$ L of sample in a 2 mL Eppendorf tube and vortexed to mix. 750 $\mu$ L of acetonitrile was added and the sample vortexed for 30 s and allowed to stand for 30 s before centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The resulting extract was decanted and a 20 $\mu$ L aliquot was placed in a 1 mL glass vial. 100 $\mu$ L of dansyl chloride solution (2 mg/mL in acetone) and 100 $\mu$ L of 0.2 M sodium carbonate solution were added and the vial was capped and vortexed for 20 s then left to stand for 1 hour at room temperature protected from light. 100 $\mu$ L of 0.2% formic acid in 1:1 acetonitrile:water was then added and the vial vortexed for 30 s. The derivatised extract was then analysed by LC-MSMS.
NMIJ	0.252 g 0.253 g 0.256 g	Isotopically labelled amino acids (Leu and Phe) were spiked into plasma sample. The mixture was equilibrated by gently shaking over night at 10 °C. The same volume (500 $\mu$ L) of acetonitrile was added to the equilibrated mixture, and centrifuged. The supernatant was dried and reconstituted with borate buffer. The supernatant after centrifugation was derivatized with <i>N</i> -Butylnicotinic acid <i>N</i> -hydroxysuccinimide ester.
HSA	0.250 μL	The sample $(250 \ \mu\text{L})$ was weighed into a 2 mL plastic centrifuge tube, and was spiked with an appropriate amount of the internal standard. The mixture was vortexed vigorously for 1 min, and was allowed to equilibrate at room temperature for 2 hours. Methanol (4-folds of total aqueous solution) was then added to precipitate the protein. The mixture was vortexed for 1 min before being centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 min. The mixture was then filtered through 0.22 $\mu$ m syringe filter. The obtained clear solution was diluted with water to about 100 ng/g for LC-MS/MS analysis. For GC-MS analysis, an appropriate amount of the obtained clear solution was dried under nitrogen at 50 °C before 50 $\mu$ L of pyridine and 50 $\mu$ L of N-tert- butyldimethylsilyl-N-methyl-trifluoroacetamide were added. The solution was vortexed vigorously for 1 min and was heated at 60 °C for 1 hour. The solution was then centrifuged at 4,000 g for 5 min, and the supernatant was taken for GC-MS analysis, the concentration was about 4 $\mu$ g/g.

# Table F-1: Summary of Sample Size and Extraction for CCQM-K159

INMET RO	0.300 g	Samples were spiked with 0.5 g of IS solution, at 0.036 mg/g, in acetonitrile. After that, 1 g of acetonitrile was added and the mixture was vortex mixed for 10 s, and centrifuged (5000 rpm, 10 min, 4 °C). The liquid phase was evaporated under nitrogen gas stream, at 40 °C until dryness. The residue was reconstituted in 0.1 mol/L HCl solution and filtered using a 0.22 $\mu$ m PVDF syringe filter.				
UME	0.250 μL	The analytes were derivatized with propyl chloroformate.				
VNIIM	0.250 μL	Temperature stabilization – 1 hour Taking aliquot and adding Internal Standards (55 µl solution of 0.1M HCl) Adding 0,75 ml of cold (-18 °C) methanol Shaking by Vortex - 450 rpm, 20 minutes Centrifugation - 14600 rpm, 15 minutes Transferring the supernatant with a plastic Pasteur pipette into a 1.5 ml glass autosampler vial				
NMIT	0.250 g	<ul> <li>Protein precipitation- Dilute the plasma sample with water to 10-fold</li> <li>- Add 30 % 5-sulfosalicylic acid in an equal volume of plasma sample</li> <li>- incubate at 4°C for 10 min prior to centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 10 min.</li> </ul>				
KRISS	0.500 g	Plasma and internal standard were gravimetrically mixed, then deproteinized with 15 %(v/v) 5- sulfosalicylic acid. After centrifuged, supernatants were filtered (0.2 $\mu$ m), and diluted ten times with distilled water before applying LC-MS system				
РТВ	0.250 g	<ul> <li>Protein precipitation with cold Ethanol</li> <li>Ultrafiltration with 3 kDa Ultra Centrifugal Filter</li> <li>Extraction with tert-butyl methyl ether (TBME)</li> </ul>				
EXHM/ GCSL- EIM	0.250 g	0.25 g of plasma sample (originally stored at -80°C and then -20°C), were thawed at room temperature for 30 min and vortexed for 30 sec. 0.25 g of 15N-labelled internal standard solution was added, vortexed and left for equilibration for 30 min at 4 °C. Then the sample was diluted with 1 mL of methanol (or ethanol) was vortexed for 30 sec and left at -20 °C for 30 min. The mix was allowed to thaw for 1 min, was vortexed for 30 sec and then centrifuged at 16000 x g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was evaporated to dryness at RT under vacuum. The residue was resuspended (by vortexing) in 1 mL of formic acid 0.1 %, then diluted 1:20 with acetonitrile:water 82:18 and analysed with LC-MS/MS.				
LGC	0.250 g	1 hour equilibration of plasma with labelled analogue solution, protein precipitation with 1 mL MeCN, 100 $\mu$ L supernatant dried before derivatisation with 100 $\mu$ L MTBSTFA				

	I	I	<del></del>															
	Analytical	Chromatographic	Ch	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry						ioi	n/MRN	[						
Institute	Technique	Column		Conditions					monitored									
NMIA	2D LC- MS/MS	Method 1: D1 column - Waters CSH FluoroPhenyl $1.7 \mu m, 2.1 \times 100$ mm D2 column - Restek Pinnacle DB BiPh $1.9 um, 2.1 \times 100$ mm Method 2: D1 column - Waters CSH FluoroPhenyl $1.7 \mu m, 2.1 \times 100$ mm D2 column - Waters BEH C18 $1.7 \mu m,$ $2.1 \times 100$ mm	Mobile Phases A B C C D Gradient Start (min) 0 1 1.1 7.92 7.95 3.38 3.48 5.88 5.88 16.59 Gradient Start (min) 0 1 1.1 7.92 7.95 3.88 5.88 5.88 5.88 5.88 5.88 5.88 5.8	Water           Acetonitrile           Methanol           2% formic acid           D1 Pumo           Flow           (m/min)           0.3	544 554 652 652 455 455 455 455 455 455 455 455 455 4	500 States of the second secon	5c         9           10         27.8           41.4         41.5           43.2         43.4           43.4         43.4           99         10           5cc         5           10         37           27.8         43.4           43.4         44           99         10           5cc         5           10         37           20         30           21         32           22         30           23         32           24         34.4           43.4         44.4           43.4         44.3           43.4         44.4           43.4         44.4           43.4         44.4           43.4         44.4           30         300 V           4         30           30         300 V           4         30           30         30           30         30           30         30           30         30           30         30           30         30	Image: light of the sector with  sector with the sector with the sector with the sector	D2 Pump Flow 11 (mL/min) 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	%A         0           0         0           87.5         100           100         100           53.9         53.1           29.7         0           6         6           100         100           85         6           100         100           85         6           4.1         42.8           0         0	568 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	%C         %D           99         1           99         1           10         2.5           0         0           0         0           10         2.5           67.8         2.5           99         1           0         1           0         1           0         1           0         1           0         1           0         1           0         1           0         5           0         5           0         5           0         5           0         1		SRM Table Analyte Leucine 13C6 15N Leucine Phenylalanine 33C9 15N Phenylalanin	Parent Mass 365 365 365 372.1 372.1 372.1 372.1 372.1 379.1 399.1 399.1 399.1 399.1 409 409 409 409	Product Mass 115.1 155.1 157.1 157.1 155.1 157.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1 155.1	Collision Energy         56         40         25         20         41         28         20         115.1         156.1         157.1         156.1         157.1         156.1         157.1         156.1         157.1         150.1         157.1         157.1         157.1         157.1         157.1	S-Lens 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 99 39 25 20 56 39 25 22
	(																	

# Table F-2: Summary of Analytical Techniques for CCQM-K159

	Analytical	Chromatographic	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry	ion/MRM
Institute	Technique	Column	Conditions	monitored
NMIJ / AIST	LC-MS/MS	Develosil C30-UG-5, 5 μm, 2.0 mm i.d. ×250 mm (Nomura Chemical, Japan)	Solvent A: 0.05 % TFA, 0.5 % Formic acid/water, B: 0.05 % TFA, 0.5 % Formic acid/MeCN, 5 % B (0→5min) 5→20 %B (5→15min), 20→55 %B (15→25min) Flow rate 0.2 mL/min, Column temperature 40 °C MS/MS conditions: operated under selected reaction monitoring	Leu: $293.19 \rightarrow 247.18$ , Phe: $327.17 \rightarrow 281.17$ 13C615N-Leu: $300.20 \rightarrow 253.20$ , 13C915N-Phe: $337.20 \rightarrow 290.19$
HSA	LC-MS/MS	ZORBAX ECLIPSE AAA, 4.6 x 75 mm, 3.5 μm	Mobile phase A: 0.1 % TFA in water. Mobile phase B: 0.1 % TFA in acetonitrile. Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min. Gradient: 10 – 60 % B. Injection volume: 10 µL	MRM transitions: 132/86 (quantifier) and 132/44 (qualifier) for leucine; 138/91 (quantifier) and 138/46 (qualifier) for 13C6-leucine. MRM transitions: 166/120 (quantifier) and 166/103 (qualifier) for phenylalanine; 172/126 (quantifier) and 172/109 (qualifier) for ring-13C6- phenylalanine.
HSA	GC-MS	DB5-MS, 15 m × 0.25 µm × 0.25 mm	Oven condition: 100 °C, 30 °C/min to 200 °C, 10 °C/min to 250 °C, 40 °C/min to 300 °C, post run 300 °C for 1 min.	Mass monitored: 200.2 (quantifier) and 274.2 (qualifier) for leucine; 205.2 (quantifier) and 279.2 (qualifier) for 13C6-leucine. Mass monitored: 234.2 (quantifier) and 336.2 (qualifier) for phenylalanine; 240.2 (quantifier) and 342.2 (qualifier) for ring-13C6-phenylalanine.

	Analytical	Chromatographic	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry	ion/MRM
Institute	Technique	Column	Conditions	monitored
INMETR O	HPLC- MS/MS	C18 column (150 mm x 4.6 mm x 4 μm)	NST (Nano Separation Technologies, SP, Brazil) Flow rate of 0.6 mL/min and gradient mode with solvent A (water with 20 mM ammonium formate buffer, at pH 3.0), and solvent B (acetonitrile-water, 90:10 v/v, with 20 mM ammonium formate buffer, at pH 3.0). The elution program was: initial to 5 min, 10 % B; 9 min, 80 % B; 12 min, 80 % B; 14 min, 10 % B; 20 min, 10 % B. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ESI mode	monitored m/z 132>86 (10 V collision energy) for quantification and m/z 132>43 (20 V collision energy) for confirmation. For the IS, the transitions monitored were m/z 135>89 (10 V collision energy) and m/z 135>46 (22 V collision energy). The ESI conditions were: Capillary voltage: 3.0 V, cone voltage: 20 V, m/z 166>120 (13 V collision energy) for quantification and m/z 166>103 (25 V collision energy) for confirmation. For the IS, the transitions monitored were m/z 171>125 (15 V collision energy) and m/z 171>106 (30 V collision energy). The ESI conditions were: Capillary voltage: 3.0 V, cone

	Analytical	Chromatographic	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry	ion/MRM
Institute	Technique	Column	Conditions	monitored
UME	Thermo Scientific Q Exactive, Orbitrap LC/MS system	Troyasil C18 3 μm, 250x2.1 mm	The flow rate of the mobile phase was 0.250 mL/min, and the column temperature was set to 40 °C. Injection volume was 2 $\mu$ L. The run time: 22.0 min. The mobile phase was composed of (A: MeOH: H2O (1:1)(%0.1 formic acid) (1:1), B: MeOH (%0.1 formic acid) Retention time (min) Flow (mL/min) A % B % 0.00 0.250 62 38 0.00 0.250 62 38 1.00 0.250 62 38 12.00 0.250 5 95 14.00 0.250 5 95 14.01 0.250 5 95 14.01 0.250 62 38	

	Analytical	Chromatographic	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry	<u>_</u>	ion/MF	RM		
Institute	Technique	Column	Conditions		monito	red		
			•	Compound Name	Leu	Leu C13	Phe	Ph C1
VNIIM		YMC Hydrosphere C18 100*4,6 mm*3 µm	Eluent A: water + 0.1 % HFBA Eluent B: acetonitrile + 0.1 % HFBA	PrecursorI on	132,1	139,2	166,1	176
	HPLC- MS/MS Agilent 6460 Triple Quad			ProductIon	86,2	92,1	120,1	129
			Program: 0 min – 0 % B; 15 minutes – 15 % B; 17	Dwell	200	200	200	200
			minutes – 16 % B; 18 min – 0 % B% 25 min - 0% B. Eluent flow: 0,8 μl/min	Fragmento r	88	104	80	90
				Collision Energy	9	9	13	13
				CellAcceler	4	4		
				ator Voltage	4	4	4	4
				Polarity	Positive	Positive	Positive	Posit

	Analytical	Chromatographic	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry	ion/MRM
Institute	Technique	Column	Conditions	monitored
NMIT	LC-MS/MS	Intrada Amino Acid, 3 μm, 150 × 3 mm.	Mobile Phase A: ACN/THF/25mM ammonium formate /formic acid, 9:75:16:0.3 (v/v/v/v) Mobile Phase B: ACN/ 100 mM Ammonium formate, 20:80 (v/v) Gradient elution: 0% B (0-2 min), 0-30 % B (2-6.5 min), 100% B (6.5-10 min), 100 %B (10-12 min), 0 %B (12- 13min) Run time: 15 min Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min Column oven: 35 °C Injection volume: 1 $\mu$ L API 4000 QTRAP, AB SCIEX Ionization: ESI positive mode	132.17 > 86.06 for leucine 139.15> 92.07 for Isotope labeled leucine 166.12 > 120.07 for Phenylalanine 176.20>129.12 for Isotope labeled phenylalanine
KRISS	LC-MS/MS	Capcell Pak ADME (2.1 X 150 mm, 2.7 μm)	Mobile phase : Isocratic elution of 5% TFA at 0.25 mL/min Injected amount : 3 μL Column temperature : 30 °C SCIEX qTOF 5600 ESI positive mode GS1 – 50 psi, GS2-50 psi, CUR - 30 psi, Temp. 500 °C DP – 80 eV,	<leu> m/z 132.1 <math>\rightarrow</math> 86.1 (unlabeled) m/z 139.1 <math>\rightarrow</math> 92.1 (labeled) CE - 15 eV <phe> m/z 166.1 <math>\rightarrow</math> 120.1 (unlabeled) m/z 176.1 <math>\rightarrow</math> 129.1 (labeled) CE - 17 eV</phe></leu>
	Analytical	Chromatographic	Chromatographic and Mass Spectrometry	ion/MRM
-------------------	------------	--	--	--
Institute	Technique	Column	Conditions	monitored
РТВ	LC-MS/MS	ZIC®-HILIC, 3,5 μm, 200Å, 150 x 2.1 mm SeQuant	4000 QTrap Sciex Scan Type: Q1 Selected ion monitoring Polarity: positive Chromatographic Conditions: Isocratic elution 20:80 (v/v) 5 mmol/l ammonium acetate / acetonitrile, Flow Rate: 0.1 ml/min Column Oven: 25°C Injection Volume: 2 μ1	m/z: 132,1 Leu m/z: 138,1 Spike Leu m/z: 166,1 Phe m/z: 176,1 Spike Phe
EXHM/G CSL-EIM	LC-MS/MS	Sequant ZIC-HILIC (150 x 2.1 mm, 5 μm, 200 Å)	isocratic - 82.5 % acetonitrile / 17.5 % 20 mM acetic acid 10 mM ammonium acetate	detection-SRM: LEU (132 to 86q and 43) - 15N -LEU (133 to 87q and 43) PHE (166 to 120q and 103) - <sup>15</sup> N- PHE (167 to 121q and 103)
LGC	GC-MS/MS	Restek Rxi-5HT 30m x 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm	Split injection, GC temperature ramp separation MS/MS detection	MRM transitions: 302>274 and 200>88 MRM transitions: 336>308 and 336>204

## Table F-3: Summary of Calibrants and Standards for CCQM-K159

Institute	Type of Calibration	Calibrants	Internal Standards
NMIA	Exact matching double IDMS, Single point bracketing	CRM 6014-a 0.999 ± 0.002 CRM 6012-a 0.999 ± 0.002	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6, 15N
NMIJ	IDMS, 5-point calibration	CRM 6014-a 0.999 ± 0.002 CRM 6012-a 0.999 ± 0.002	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6, 15N
HSA	IDMS, Multi-level (4-point calibration curve)	HRM-1014A 0.9975 ± 0.0033 HRM-1008A 0.9969 ± 0.0036	Ring-13C6-L-Phenylalanine 13C6-L-Leucine
INMETR O	IDMS, 5-level internal calibration curve, using labelled analogue compounds as internal standards	<ul> <li>L-Phenylalanine, P2126, Sigma-Aldrich, purity assigned by qNMR at INMETRO 99.902 ± 0.095 g/100g</li> <li>L-Leucine, L8000, Sigma-Aldrich, purity assigned by qNMR at INMETRO 99.86 ± 0.1 g/100g</li> </ul>	L-Phenyl-d5-alanine L-Leucine-5,5,5-d3
UME	Calibration curve (5 point leucine, 6 point phenylalanine)	<ul> <li>L-Phenylalanine, Agros Organics, purity assigned by qNMR at UME 99.70 % ± 0.23 %</li> <li>L-Leucine, Agros Organics, purity assigned by qNMR at UME, 99.63 % ± 0.23 %</li> </ul>	Leucine 1-13C Phenylalanine Ring-D5

Institute	Type of Calibration	Calibrants	Internal Standards
VNIIM	IDMS Single point calibration by 3 calibration solutions	<ul> <li>L-Phenylalanine, Sigma 78019, purity assigned by mass balance at VNIIM 999.62 ± 0.3 mg/g</li> <li>L-Leucine, Sigma 61819, purity assigned by mass balance at VNIIM, 997.5 ± 0.3 mg/g</li> </ul>	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6, 15N
NMIT	IDMS Single point calibration	CRM 6014-a 0.999 ± 0.002 CRM 6012-a 0.999 ± 0.002	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6, 15N
KRISS	IDMS exact-matched single-point calibration	CRM 6014-a 0.999 ± 0.002 CRM 6012-a 0.999 ± 0.002	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6, 15N
РТВ	IDMS Single point calibration	CRM 6014-a 0.999 ± 0.002 CRM 6012-a 0.999 ± 0.002	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6
EXHM/G CSL-EIM	IDMS single point at exact matching Also verified by additional experiments a) matrix matched standards at exact matching (with the use of UME CRM 1314). standard additions	L-Phenylalanine, purity assigned by qNMR at EXHM, 999.1 ± 2.2 mg/g L-Leucine, purity assigned by qNMR at EXHM, 997.7 ± 2.1 mg/g	Phenylalanine 15N Leucine 15N
LGC	Double Exact Matching Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry (DEM-IDMS)	CRM 6014-a 0.999 ± 0.002 CRM 6012-a 0.999 ± 0.002	Phenylalanine 13C9, 15N Leucine 13C6, 15N

r	1	
Institute	Purity Assessment	Result Verification
INMETRO	1H qNMR	Use of CRM (Maleic acid, INMETRO, 8792.0001) as internal standard in qNMR purity analysis, associated with the
		gravimetric preparation of the solutions (primary techniques) for the NMR analysis.
UME	qNMR	
VNIIM	Purity of high purity chemicals was determined in- house by mass balance approach LC/MS, LC/LS, GC/MS, LC/DAD, GC/FID, ICP/MS, TGA, KF titration	
EXHM	Purity was determined with the 1H-qNMR method against NMIJ CRM 4601-a	The gravimetrically assigned value of the calibration solutions was assessed by LC-IDMS against NIST SRM 2389a

## Table F-4 Assessment and Verification Methods for CCQM-K159

)

Institute	A	lditional Comments	
	Leucine	Phenylalanine	
	Bottle 240 also analysed but	Bottle 240 also analysed and	
	not included as it is an outlier	included in the overall mean	
	(20.91 mg/kg).	and estimate of uncertainty,	
		with a mass fraction of 61.7	
	Bottle 787 analysed to	mg/kg.	
	determine indicative values		•
NMIA	only (IDMS with multipoint	Bottle 787 analysed to	
	calibration curve), with a	determine indicative values	
	mass fraction of 18.9 mg/kg	only (IDMS with multipoint	
		calibration curve).	
	NIST 1950 used as quality		
	control	NIST 1950 used as quality	
		control	
		NT/A	
NMIJ/AIST		N/A	
	Leucine	Phenylalanine	
	Human plasma CRM for	Human plasma CRM for	
	leucine from NIST (SRM	phenylalanine from NIST	
	1950) was used as quality	(SRM 1950) were used as	
	control materials, which was	quality control materials,	
	measured in parallel with the	which was measured in	
	comparison samples. The	parallel with the comparison	
	obtained value agreed well	samples. The obtained value	
	within the uncertainty of the	agreed well within the	
HSA	reference values of the CRM.	uncertainty of the reference	
	Human plasma CRMs for	values of the CRM. Human	
	leucine from KRISS (111-01-	plasma CRMs for	
	019) (two concentration	phenylalanine from KRISS	
	levels) were also measured to	(111-01-019) (two	
	further verify our IDMS	concentration levels) were	
	method. The obtained values	also measured to further	
	were also found to be within	verify our IDMS method. The	
	the uncertainties of reference	obtained values were also	
	values of the CRMs.	found to be within the	

 Table F-5:
 Additional Comments for CCQM-K159

	unc valu	ertainties of reference ues of the CRMs.			
INMETRO		N/A			
	Loucino	Phonylalanina			
	The leucine value in #805 was	Measured value of phenylalanine was			
	excluded, due to lower measured	homogenous between all vials. Same as			
	value (19.91 mg/kg) that cause $U_{exp}$	Leucine, the results were estimated from			
	up to 10%. (*Sub-sampling result	KC 329,851, and 861 samples.			
	was 19.67 mg/kg). It seems				
	necessary to reconfirm the				
	homogeneity. The results were				
	estimated with KC 329,851, and				
	861 samples, except #805.				
		64			
KRISS	24				
		60 KC329 KC805 KC851 KC861			
	KC329 KC805 KC851 KC861				
	In the vials of #805 and #851, a vellow-white clot was found. It floated in				
	plasma and did not dissolved by vortex mixing. Samples were taken by				
	avoiding the clot. However, we do not conclude that this clot affected the				
	measurement results.				
	(The clot	attached at vial cap in #851)			
	Leucine	Phenylalanine			
ртр	White / yellow jelly-like precipitat	e in each vial see			
ГIБ	picture.				
	L				

	Leucine	Phenylalanine	
	Single point ID-MS method	Single point ID-MS method	
	was also applied.	was also applied.	
UME	The results of Leu for 3 units	The average result of Phe for	
	are: 19.23 mg/kg, 19.17 mg/kg,	3 units is: 57.63 mg/kg.	
	20.97 mg/kg.		
	Louging	Dhonylolonir	
	Some kind of protein aggregation	a was found in	
	the each of the Samples (please.	see the figure	
	on the right).		
	Aliquots were taken in such a wa	y to avoid the	
	taking of this aggregation.		
	The measurements of 2 Samples		Contraction of the local division of the loc
VNIIM	(#LGC8280/001/0874, #LGC828	80/001/0621)	and a
	were carried out by alternative w	ay - Internal	
	Standards were added to the who	le Sample	
	and after that the aliquots were ta	iken and	
	analyzed by the same procedure.		
	Leucine $= 24.2 \text{ mg/kg}$		
	Phenylalanine $= 62.5 \text{ mg/kg}$		
	1 nonytataline 02.5 mg/kg		

## APPENDIX G: Summary of Participants' Uncertainty Estimation Approaches

The following are text excerpts and/or pictures of the uncertainty-related information provided by the participants in the reporting form. Information is grouped by participant and presented in alphabetized acronym order.

#### Uncertainty Information from EXHM/GCSL-EIM

. .

L-leucine

		sensitivity	standrard	relative		
uncertainty component-Leucine	value	coefficient	uncertainty	uncertainty	$C_1 \times U_1$	$(C_1 \times U_2)^2$
method precision	19,97	1,000	0,33	0,0165	0,3300	0,1089
mass fraction of L-leucine in the calibration solution, (mg/kg )	19,97	1,000	0,40	0,0200	0,4000	0,1600
recovery (%)	100,00	-0,200	3,50	0,0350	-0,6980	0,4871
mass of Leu-N13 solution added to sample blend, (g )	0,25000	79,880	0,00003	0,0001	0,0024	0,0000
mass of test material in sample blend, $(g)$	0,25000	-79,880	0,00003	0,0001	-0,0024	0,0000
mass of Leu solution added to calibration blend, (g)	0,25000	79,880	0,00003	0,0001	0,0024	0,0000
mass of Leu-N <sub>15</sub> solution added to calibration blend, (g)	0,25000	-79,880	0,00003	0,0001	-0,0024	0,0000
measured peak area ratio of the selected ions in the sample blend	0,665	30,030	consi	dered to be in	dluded in th	ne
measured peak area ratio of the selected ions in the calibration blend	0,005	-30,030	estin	nation of meth	od precisio	in
result (mg/kg)	19,97					
combined standard uncertainty (mg/kg)	0,87					
relative standard uncertainty (%)	4,35					
coverage factor	2,00					
expanded uncertainty (mg/kg)	1.74					

#### L-phenylalanine

		sensitivity	standrard	relative		
uncertainty component - Phenylalanine	value	coefficient	uncertainty	uncertainty	$C_1 \times U_1$	$(C_i \times U_i)^2$
method precision	59,74	1,000	0,18	0,0030	0,1500	0,0324
mass fraction of L-phenylalanine in the calibration solution, $(mg/kg)$	59,74	1,000	0,83	0,0139	0,8315	0,6914
recovery (%)	100,00	-0,597	3,32	0,0332	-1,9834	3,9337
mass of Phe-N <sub>15</sub> solution added to sample blend, $(g)$	0,25000	238,960	0,00003	0,0001	0,0072	0,0001
mass of test material in sample blend, (g)	0,25000	-238,960	0,00003	0,0001	-0,0072	0,0001
mass of Phe solution added to calibration blend, $(g)$	0,25000	238,960	0,00003	0,0001	0,0072	0,0001
mass of Phe-N <sub>15</sub> solution added to calibration blend, (g )	0,25000	-238,960	0,00003	0,0001	-0,0072	0,0001
measured peak area ratio of the selected ions in the sample blend	0,896	66,674	consi	dered to be inc	luded in th	ne
measured peak area ratio of the selected ions in the calibration blend	0,896	-66,674	estin	nation of meth	od precisio	n
result (mg/kg)	59,74					
combined standard uncertainty (mg/kg)	2,16					
relative standard uncertainty (%)	3,61					
coverage factor	2,00					
expanded uncertainty (mg/kg)	4,32					

## **Uncertainty Information from HSA**

Leucine	Phenylalanine
Not applicable.	1. Mass of plasma sample (Type B)
As instructed by the coordinating institute, the	Value: 0.2515 g
overall mean and combined uncertainty for leucine	Standard uncertainty: 0.00078 g
were not reported.	Relative uncertainty: 0.031 %
	Sensitivity coefficient: 241.85
	Contribution: 0.05 %
	2. Mass of internal standard solution (Type B)
	Value: 0.0492 g
	Standard uncertainty: 0.00078 g
	Relative uncertainty: 0.158 %
	Sensitivity coefficient: 1236.32
	Contribution: 1.35 %
	3. Concentration of calibration solution (Type B)
	Value: 5337.9 mg/kg
	Standard uncertainty: 18.2 mg/kg
	Relative uncertainty: 0.340 %
	Sensitivity coefficient: 0.011
	Contribution: 6.26 %
	4. Linear regression of the calibration curve (Type
	A)
	Value: 1.0085
	Standard uncertainty: 0.00698
	<ul> <li>Relative uncertainty: 0.158 %</li> <li>Sensitivity coefficient: 1236.32</li> <li>Contribution: 1.35 %</li> <li>3. Concentration of calibration solution (Type B) Value: 5337.9 mg/kg</li> <li>Standard uncertainty: 18.2 mg/kg</li> <li>Relative uncertainty: 0.340 %</li> <li>Sensitivity coefficient: 0.011</li> <li>Contribution: 6.26 %</li> <li>4. Linear regression of the calibration curve (Type A)</li> <li>Value: 1.0085</li> <li>Standard uncertainty: 0.692 %</li> <li>Sensitivity coefficient: 60.30</li> <li>Contribution: 25.84 %</li> <li>5. Method precision including both LC-MS/MS and GC-MS methods (Type A)</li> <li>Value: 60.81 mg/kg</li> </ul>
	Sensitivity coefficient: 60.30
	Contribution: 25.84 %
	5. Method precision including both LC-MS/MS and
	GC-MS methods (Type A)
	Value: 60.81 mg/kg
	Standard uncertainty: 0.636 mg/kg
	Relative uncertainty: 1.05 %
	Sensitivity coefficient: 1
	Contribution: 59.12 %
	6. Variation between quantifying and qualifying ion
	pairs (Type A)
	Value: 60.82 mg/kg



	Leucine		Phenylalanine			
Source	Uncertainty (mg/kg)	Contribution (%)	Source	Uncertainty (mg/kg)	Contribution (%)	
Instrument repeatability	0.141	1.600	Instrument repeatability	0.593	20.116	
Sample mass	0.005	0.002	Sample mass	0.014	0.011	
Mass of IS solution added	0.003	0.001	Mass of IS solution added	0.008	0.004	
Calibration curve	0.062	0.312	Calibration curve	0.561	17.994	
Purity	0.011	0.010	Purity	0.029	0.048	
Between sample variation	0.895	64.258	Between sample variation	0.402	9.232	
Bias	0.649	33.818	Bias	0.959	52.595	
Combined standard uncertainty	1.1	100	Combined standard uncertainty	1.3	100	

## Uncertainty Information from INMETRO

# Uncertainty Information from KRISS

Leu	cine Pheny	Phenylalanine		
		•		
Category	Factor	Leu	Phe	
Systematic u	Uncertainty of purity of primary reference material	0.20%	0.20%	
$(u_{std})$	Uncertainty of gravimetric preparation for standard solutions	0.40%	0.53%	
	Uncertainty of gravimetric mixing for calibration isotope standard mixtures	0.72%	0.72%	
$\bigcirc$	Area ratio of native/isotope for the calibration standard mixture, observed by LC-MS	0.26%	0.60%	
Random u ( <i>u</i> sam)	Measurement of sample solutions including homogeneity $(s^2/n)$	0.86%	0.29%	
$u_{comb}$	$\sqrt{(u_{std}^2 + u_{sam}^2)}$	1.24%	1.13%	
V <sub>eff</sub>	Welch-Satterthwaite formula	8	11	
k(>95%)	t-table	2.31	2.20	
$U_{exp}$	$k \ge u_{comb}$	2.85%	2.49%	

Leucine	Phenylalanine
RSB/RCB (bracketed) –	RSB/RCB (bracketed) –
uncertainty associated with	uncertainty associated with
replicate instrument	replicate instrument
measurements - 95 %	measurements – 95 %
Wz – Uncertainty of the	Wz – Uncertainty of the
balances used to prepare	balances used to prepare
calibration standards and	calibration standards and
purity of pure standards –	purity of pure standards – 🗡
3.5 %	3.5 %
mx myc mz and my –	mx myc mz and my –
uncertainty of balance used to	uncertainty of balance used to
menore blands 15 %	menone blands 15 %
prepare biends – 1.5 %	prepare biends – 1.5 %

## Uncertainty Information from LGC

Uncertainty	Information	from	NMIA
-------------	-------------	------	------

Leucine Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty							
Equation	$W_x = V$	$W_z . \frac{m_y}{m_x} . \frac{m_{zc}}{m_{yc}} . \frac{R'}{R'_H}$	b. P. F <sub>MRM</sub> .F <sub>Bias</sub>				
Uncertainty Compo	nents						
Factor	Value	u(x)	u(x)/x	Veff	dW/dx	$(c.u(x))^{2}$	(c.u(x)) <sup>4</sup> /Veff
Р	1	0.001048282	0.105%	2	0.01903326	3.98091E-10	7.92383E-20
mx	0.20324	4.08248E-05	0.020%	400	-0.093649183	1.46169E-11	5.34138E-25
my	0.04954	4.08248E-05	0.082%	400	0.384161066	2.45966E-10	1.51248E-22
mzc	0.04948	4.08248E-05	0.082%	400	0.384626857	2.46563E-10	1.51983E-22
myc	0.04989	4.08248E-05	0.082%	400	-0.381466279	2.42528E-10	1.47049E-22
Wz	0.080	0.000402312	0.504%	3.78	0.238246735	9.18711E-09	2.23416E-17
R'b	0.960	Considered as	part of precision				
R'bc	0.969						
FMRM	1	0.004734505	0.473%	14	0.01903326	8.12036E-09	4.71002E-18
FBias	1	0.01	1.000%	20.00	0.01903326	3.62265E-08	6.5618E-17

## Phenylalanine Estimation of Measurement Uncertainty

	$m_{\cdot,\cdot}$ $m_{\cdot}$ $R'_{\cdot,\cdot}$
Equation	$W_x = W_z \cdot \frac{m_y}{m} \cdot \frac{m_{zc}}{m} \cdot \frac{m_b}{p'} \cdot P \cdot F_{MRM} \cdot F_{Bias}$
	$m_x m_{yc} n_{bc}$

Uncertainty Compo	onents						
Factor	Value	u(x)	u(x)/x	Veff	dW/dx	(c.u(x)) <sup>2</sup>	(c.u(x)) <sup>4</sup> /Veff
Р	1	0.00900834	0.901%	3	0.061369841	3.05632E-07	3.11371E-14
mx	0.20324	4.08248E-05	0.020%	400	-0.301957494	1.51964E-10	5.77326E-23
my	0.04954	4.08248E-05	0.082%	400	1.238668708	2.55717E-09	1.63478E-20
mzc	0.04948	4.08248E-05	0.082%	400	1.24017058	2.56337E-09	1.64272E-20
myc	0.04989	4.08248E-05	0.082%	400	-1.22997978	2.52142E-09	1.58939E-20
Wz	0.249	0.000651276	0.262%	6.95	0.246740456	2.58232E-08	9.60063E-17
R'b	1.009	Considered as	part of precision				
R'bc	1.000						
FMRM	1	0.004128526	0.413%	19	0.061369841	6.41948E-08	2.16894E-16
FBias	1	0.01	1.000%	20.00	0.061369841	3.76626E-07	7.09235E-15

Leucine	Phenylalanine			
Uncertainty components (rel, %)		Leu		Phe
	0 6 4 0		0.000	
Precision between vials	0.649		0.000	
Precision between measurements		0.280		0.491
Weighing of solution		0.088		0.069
Purity of amino acid standard		0.100		0.100
Calibration curve		0.232		0.141
Combined uncertainty (%)		0.755		0.525
Combined uncertainty (mg/kg)	0.15		0.32	
Expanded uncertainty (mg/kg), k=2		0.30		0.64

## Uncertainty Information from NMIJ / AIST

Leucine					Pher	ylalani	ne	
Wx = 19.28 mg//kg				T	Wx = 60.3 mg//kg			
u(x) = 0.44  mg//kg					u(x) = 1.2 mg//kg			
u(x)/x = 2.26	%	-			u(x)/x = 1.939	6	-	
Veff (total) = $95.93$	8				Veff (total) = $61.94$	.6		
k= 1.99	) (@9	5 % level	)		k= 2.00	(@9	95 % level	)
U(x) = 0.87	,				U(x) = 2.4			
%U(x) = 4.48	%				%U(x) = 3.86 °	%		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							•	
	Value	Uncert	ainties			Value	Uncertai	nties
Eastar	s					s		
Factor	х	u(x)	u(x)/(x		Factor	x	u(x)	u(x)/(x
			)					)
Method Precision	19.28	0.2019	1.047		Method Precision	60.28	0.5074	0.842
	26	42	%			89	10	%
m <sub>zc</sub>	0.043	0.0000	0.1916		m <sub>zc</sub>	0.043	0.0000	0.1916
	33	83	%			33	83	%
m <sub>y</sub>	0.045	0.0000	0.1813		my	0.045	0.0000	0.1813
	78	83	%			78	83	%
m <sub>yc</sub>	0.046	0.0000	0.1781		m <sub>yc</sub>	0.046	0.0000	0.1781
	62	83	%			62	83	%
m <sub>x</sub>	0.098	0.0000	0.0841		m <sub>x</sub>	0.098	0.0000	0.0841
	72	83	%			72	83	%
Wz	19.80	0.2350	1.1867		Wz	68.44	0.5305	0.7750
	43	27	%			82	07	%
R'b	0.939	0.0086	0.9198		R'b	1.104	0.0095	0.8647
	6	42	%			7	52	%
R'bc	0.938	0.0067	0.7224		R'bc	1.137	0.0080	0.7113
	6	80	%			9	94	%
Sample preparation	1.000	0.0100	1.000		Sample preparation	1.000	0.0100	1.000
effect			%		effect			%
Recovery	0.998	0.0032	0.322		Recovery	1.000	0.0023	0.226
		18	%					%

## Uncertainty Information from NMIT

Leucine	Phenylalanine		
$w_{Sample}^{exp} = Mass fraction of$	$w_{Sample}^{exp} = Mass fraction of$		
Leucine in solution per	Phenylalanin in solution per		
Aliquot, mean of 9 single	Aliquot, mean of 9 single		
observations à 11.5 %	observations à 11.0 %		
$P_{\text{Leucin}} = Purity \text{ of the}$	$P_{Phenyalanin} = Purity of the$		
Telefence material a 1.2 %			
K <sub>W</sub> = Uncertainty of	$K_W = Uncertainty of$		
weighing à 0.0 %	weighing à 0.0 %		
$S_{ys} = Estimated factor for$	S <sub>ys</sub> = Estimated factor for		
unidentified systematic error	unidentified systematic error		
à 87.3 %	à 81.1 %		
The uncertainty budget was	The uncertainty budget was		
calculated using the GUM	calculated using the GUM		
workbench and is attached in	workbench and is attached in		
the appendix.	the appendix.		

## **Uncertainty Information from PTB**

Leucine							
Uncertainty budget of Leucine							
	Value	u(x)	u(x)/x				
Weighing of sample (mg)	25	4.29E-06	1.72E-07				
Weighing of IS (mg)	25	1.29E-08	5.17E-10				
Standard stock solution (mg/kg)	5000	1.13E+01	2.26E-03				
Internal stock solution (mg/kg)	5000	1.52E+01	3.03E-03				
Recovery	1	3.57E-02	3.57E-02				
Repeatability	100	8.77E-02	8.77E-04				
Calibration graph	1.5	5.87E-02	3.91E-02 🗡				
			5.31E-02				
Result (mg/kg) 19.73							
Combined uncertainty	1.05						
Expanded uncertainty	2.10						
% Relative uncertainty	10.62						
% Relative standard uncertainty	5.31						
	Pheny	ylalanine					
Uncertainty bud	lget of Pl	nenylalanine					
	Value	u(x)	u(x)/x				
Weighing of sample (mg)	25	4.29E-06	1.72E-07				
Weighing of IS (mg)	25	1.29E-08	5.17E-10				
Standard stock solution (mg/kg)	5000	1.13E+01	2.26E-03				
Internal stock solution (mg/kg)	5000	1.53E+01	3.06E-03				
Recovery	1	3.52E-02	3.52E-02				
Repeatability	100	2.60E-01	2.60E-03				
Calibration graph	0.68	2.87E-03	4.23E-03				
			3.57E-02				
Result (mg/kg) 57.65							
Combined uncertainty	2.06						
Expanded uncertainty	4.12						
% Relative uncertainty	7.14						
% Relative standard uncertainty	3.57						

## **Uncertainty Information from UME**

## Uncertainty Information from VNIIM

Leucine Phenylalanine								
$U(x) = 2 \cdot u(x) \qquad \qquad \frac{u_{(x)}}{x} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_{(cal)}}{w_{cal}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{(w_x)}}{w_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{(blank)}}{w_{blank}}\right)^2}$								
$\frac{u_{(cal)}}{w_{cal}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_{(m_{IS})}}{m_{IS}}\right)^2 + \sum \left(\frac{u_{(m_{nat})}}{m_{nat}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{(w_{pur})}}{w_{pur}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{(RF)}}{RF}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{(RFav)}}{RFav}\right)^2}$								
$\frac{u_{(w_{\chi})}}{w_{\chi}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_{(m_{IS})}}{m_{IS}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u_{(m_{\chi})}}{m_{\chi}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u_{(w_{alq})}}{w_{alq}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u_{(w_{smpl})}}{w_{smpl}}\right)^{2}}$								
$u_{(cab)}$ - the standard uncertainty of the calibration:								
$u_{(cu)}$ – the standard uncertainty of the mass fraction of analyte in the Sample								
$u_{(w_x)}$ - the standard uncertainty of the mass fraction of analyte in the Blank								
$u_{(m,r)}$ - the standard uncertainty of the mass (preparation of the Internal Standard solution)								
$u_{(m_1s)}$ – the standard uncertainty of the mass (preparation of the native stock solution)								
$u_{(m_{nat})}$ — the standard uncertainty of the purity of aminoacids								
$u_{(mpr)}$ - the standard uncertainty of determination of average Response Factor (RF)								
$u_{(RF_{av})}$ - the standard uncertainty of adjustments of RF								
$u_{(RF)}$ the standard uncertainty of the mass (addition the Internal Standard solution into the Sample)								
$u_{(m_{IS})}$ the standard uncertainty of the mass of the Sample (weighing the Sample)								
$u_{(m_{\chi})}$ the standard uncertainty of the mass of the Sample (weighing the Sample)								
$u_{(w_{alq})}^{(w_{alq})}$ the standard uncertainty of determination average mass fraction of annioacids between anquots								
$u_{(w_{smpl})}$ - the standard uncertainty of determination average mass fraction of aminoacids between viais								
Type Leu Phe								
'' m, mg u(m), mg u, % m, mg u(m), mg u, %								
$u_{(m/5)}$ B 129.5 0.009 0.007% 79.58 0.015 0.019%								
3899.8 0.032 0.001% 3846.16 0.032 0.001%								
0.06%								
15.42 0.007 0.045% 17.34 0.007 0.040%								
1004 0.016 0.002% 1006.51 0.016 0.002%								
<sup><i>u</i>(<i>m<sub>nat</sub></i>)</sup> B 19.67 0.007 0.036% 19.87 0.007 0.035%								
985.56 0.012 0.001% 987.72 0.012 0.001%								
0.06% 0.05%								
$\frac{u_{(w_{pur})}}{u_{r}}$ B 0.30% 0.30%								
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +								
$u_{(m_{12})}$ B 18 0.007 0.020% 25 0.007 0.010%								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								

## **APPENDIX H: Participants' Quantitative Results as Reported**

The following are text excerpts and/or pictures of the quantitative results as provided by the participants in the reporting form. Information is grouped by participant and presented in alphabetized acronym order.

### Quantitative Results from EXHM/GCSL-EIM

#### Plasma Results Leucine

Bottle Number	8280/001/0026	8280/001/0291	8280/001/0522
Result (mg/kg)	20.11	19.93	19.88
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	19.97		
Combined Standard	0.87		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	1.74		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

Bottle Number	8280/001/0026	8280/001/0291	8280/001/0522
Result (mg/kg)	59.63	59.44	60.15
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	59.74		
<b>Combined Standard</b>	2.16		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	4.32		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Quantitative Results from HSA

#### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	0376	0776	0483
Result (mg/kg)	21.37	19.06	22.06
Overall Mean (mg/kg)			
Combined Standard			
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k			
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty			
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

## Plasma Results Phenylalanine

Bottle Number	0376	0776	0483
Result (mg/kg)	61.16	60.00	61.29
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	60.81		
Combined Standard	0.83		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	1.66		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Quantitative Results from INMETRO

#### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	499	491	063
Result (mg/kg)	21.53	20.91	18.59
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	20.3		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	1.1		
Coverage Factor, k (95 % confidence interval)	2		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	2.2		

## Plasma Results Phenylalanine

Bottle Number	499	491	063
Result (mg/kg)	61.36	60.77	59.98
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	60.7		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	1.3		
Coverage Factor, <i>k</i> (95 % confidence interval)	2		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	2.6		

#### Quantitative Results from KRISS

Bottle Number	#329	#851	#861
Result (mg/kg)	21.76	22.28	22.38
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	22.14		
Combined Standard	0.27		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2.31		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	0.63		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Plasma Results Leucine

Bottle Number	#329	#851	#861
Result (mg/kg)	61.35	61.83	61.25
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	61.48		
Combined Standard	0.70		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2.20		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	1.53		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Quantitative Results from LGC

### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	716	421	45
Result (mg/kg)	19.58 ± 0.19	$21.84 \pm 0.31$	19.22 ± 0.20
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	N/A		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	N/A		
Coverage Factor, k (95 % confidence interval)	N/A		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	N/A		

Bottle Number	716	421	45
Result (mg/kg)	59.26	60.57	58.93
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	59.59		
Combined Standard	0.72		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	1.45		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Quantitative Results from NMIA

Bottle Number	264	825	307
Result (mg/kg)	19.04	19.06	19.00
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	Not reported as		
	requested		
Combined Standard	0.23		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2.04		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	0.48		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	264	825	307
Result (mg/kg)	62.7	60.0	61.1
<b>Overall Mean</b> (mg/kg)	61.4		
Combined Standard	0.88		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2.13		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	1.9		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Quantitative Results from NMIJ / AIST

Bottle Number	0297	0535	0977
Result (mg/kg)	19.1	19.2	19.0
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	19.1		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	0.15		
Coverage Factor, k (95 % confidence interval)	2		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	0.30		

### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	0297	0535	0977
Result (mg/kg)	60.2	60.2	60.0
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	60.1		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	0.32		
Coverage Factor, k (95 % confidence	2		
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	0.64		
at 95 % confidence	0.04		
interval (mg/kg)			

## Quantitative Results from NMIT

#### Plasma Results Leucine

Bottle Number	LGC8280/001/0953	LGC8280/001/0552	LGC8280/001/0907
Result (mg/kg)	19.34	19.28	19.28
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	19.28		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	0.44		
Coverage Factor, k (95 % confidence interval)	1.99		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	0.87		

Bottle Number	LGC8280/001/0953	LGC8280/001/0552	LGC8280/001/0907
Result (mg/kg)	60.0	60.4	60.3
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	60.3		
	Y		
<b>Combined Standard</b>	1.2		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2.00		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	2.4		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### **Quantitative Results from PTB**

#### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	LGC 8280/011/0751	LGC 8280/011/0938	LGC 8280/011/0810
Result (mg/kg)	19.48 mg/kg	19.42 mg/kg	19.37 mg/kg
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	19.42 mg/kg		
Combined Standard	0.21 mg/kg		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	0.42 mg/kg		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

Bottle Number	LGC 8280/011/0751	LGC 8280/011/0938	LGC 8280/011/0810
Result (mg/kg)	60.77 mg/kg	60.95 mg/kg	59.62 mg/kg
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	60.45 mg/kg		
Combined Standard	0.67 mg/kg		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95% confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	1.3 mg/kg		
at 95% confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

### Quantitative Results from UME

I lasina results Deacine	Plasma	<b>Results</b>	Leucine
--------------------------	--------	----------------	---------

Bottle Number	986	558	364
Result (mg/kg)	19.73	19.67	21.58
Overall Mean (mg/kg)			
<b>Combined Standard</b>	1.05	1.04	1.15
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2	2	2
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	2.10	2.09	2.29
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

Bottle Number	986	558	364
Result (mg/kg)	57.39	57.35	58.20
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	57.65		
Combined Standard	2.06		
Uncertainty (mg/kg)			
Coverage Factor, k	2		
(95 % confidence			
interval)			
Expanded uncertainty	4.12		
at 95 % confidence			
interval (mg/kg)			

#### Quantitative Results from VNIIM

Bottle Number	LGC8280/001/0681	LGC8280/001/0760	LGC8280/001/0736
Result (mg/kg)	19.72	19.31	18.19
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	19.1		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	0.7		
Coverage Factor, k (95 % confidence interval)	2		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	1.5		

### **Plasma Results Leucine**

Bottle Number	LGC8280/001/0681	LGC8280/001/0760	LGC8280/001/0736
Result (mg/kg)	57.11	58.93	58.45
Overall Mean (mg/kg)	58.5		
Combined Standard Uncertainty (mg/kg)	1.7		
Coverage Factor, <i>k</i> (95 % confidence interval)	2		
Expanded uncertainty at 95 % confidence interval (mg/kg)	3.4		