

## KCWG Report to CCQM

April 2025

### Review of Chemistry and Biology CMCs during Cycle XXV (2024)

During the Cycle XXV (2024) review of the Chemistry Biology CMCs, 551 new/revised CMC claims were received from the RMOs. The breakdown of the CMC submission in terms of CCQM Service Categories, CMCs submitted by RMO and how the CMCs are applicable to the work of the different technical working groups (WGs) of the CCQM is presented in **Tables 1 to 3**.

**Table 1: No. of new and revised Chemistry and Biology CMCs in Cycle XXV (2024)**

<b>2024</b>	<b><u>New</u></b>
1. High purity chemicals	54
2. Inorganic solutions	8
3. Organic solutions	6
4. Gases	352
5. Water	27
6. pH	10
7. Electrolytic conductivity	9
8. Metal and metal alloys	0
9. Advanced materials	6
10. Biological fluids and materials	8
11. Food	57
12. Fuel	0
13. Sediments, soils, ores, and particulates	12
14. Other materials	0
15. Surfaces, films, and engineered nanomaterials	2
<b>Sum</b>	<b>551</b>

**Table 2: No. of submitted Chemistry and Biology CMCs by RMO in Cycle XXV (2024)**

<b>2024</b>	<b><u>New</u></b>	<b><u>Revised</u></b>	<b><u>Re-instated</u></b>	<b><u>Submitted during previous cycle</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
<b>AFRIMETS</b>	1	15	0		16
<b>APMP</b>	90	9	0	60	159
<b>COOMET</b>	17	36	0		53
<b>EURAMET</b>	51	83	0	40	174
<b>GULFMET</b>	0	0	0		0
<b>SIM</b>	105	22	0	22	149
<b>Sum</b>	264	165	0	122	551

**Table 3: No. of submitted Chemistry and Biology CMCs by RMO and WG in Cycle XXV (2024)**

<b>WORKING GROUP</b>	<b><u>AFRIMETS</u></b>	<b><u>APMP</u></b>	<b><u>COOMET</u></b>	<b><u>EURAMET</u></b>	<b><u>GULFMET</u></b>	<b><u>SIM</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
<b>CAWG</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>EAWG</b>	0	4	0	9	0	7	20
<b>EAWG/IAWG</b>	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
<b>GAWG</b>	15	86	42	151	0	58	352
<b>IAWG</b>	0	36	2	9	0	36	83
<b>IRWG</b>	0	11	0	0	0	43	43
<b>NAWG</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>OAWG</b>	1	28	6	2	0	5	42
<b>PAWG</b>	0	1	0	2	0	0	1
<b>SAWG</b>	0	4	2	2	0	0	8
<b>Sum</b>	16	159	53	174	0	149	551

The geopolitical situation that developed in Europe in 2023 has not changed. EURAMET members informed the KCWG again that they will not participate in the review of CMCs from Russia and Belarus during the review cycle XXV (2024). This decision affected 53 CMCs under JCRB review submitted by VNIIM for gas analysis. The CCQM KCWG guidelines require that 3 RMOs/reviewers actively participate in the JCRB review process for each submitted CMC. With the support of the remaining RMO TC Chairs (who enlisted their experts in Gas analysis),

the JCRB review process for Chem-Bio CMCs was not seriously impacted also for the CMCs submitted by VNIIM.

Once again, the review Cycle XXV (2024) showed a significant reduction in the time to complete the JCRB review of CMCs by using KCDB 2.0. The issue of the “premature approval” of CMCs because RMO TC Chairs accepted the CMCs during the JCRB review process when the appointed KCWG WG representatives in the specific RMO did not complete the review of his/her assigned CMCs yet was handled better by the RMO TC Chairs during Cycle XXV. During this review cycle the RMO TC Chairs also handled the acceptance of the CMC to be reviewed much better and remembered to raise their hand for the JCRB review of CMCs within the 3-week window.

The growth of chemistry and biology CMCs seems to have slowed down in recent years to a constant rate of about 400 to 700 CMCs per year from 2021. Some NMIs/DIs deleted several CMCs, and some of these deleted CMCs were replaced by a few broader scope claims. However, many other NMIs/DIs continue to show a steady increase in the number of CMC submissions. This points to the fact that the future growth rate of Chemistry and Biology CMCs is still determined by the national preference and decisions of individual members.

### **Update on the review of Chemistry and Biology CMCs during Cycle XXVI (2025)**

During the Cycle XXVI (2025) review of the Chemistry Biology CMCs, 720 new/revised CMC claims were received from the RMOs. Also, some CMCs from previous review cycles are still in process in the KCDB in 2025. Notably, 41 CMCs from SIM from several countries cover different technical WGs and several service categories. The breakdown of the CMC submission in terms of CCQM Service Categories, CMCs submitted by RMO and how the CMCs are applicable to the work of the different technical working groups (WGs) of the CCQM is presented in **Tables 4 to 6**.

**Table 4a: No. of new and revised Chemistry and Biology CMCs in Cycle XXVI (2025)**

<b>(20 March 2025)</b>	<b><u>New</u></b>
<b>1. High purity chemicals</b>	28
<b>2. Inorganic solutions</b>	8 (+6)
<b>3. Organic solutions</b>	24
<b>4. Gases</b>	303 (+19)
<b>5. Water</b>	162 (+6)
<b>6. pH</b>	7 (+1)
<b>7. Electrolytic conductivity</b>	7 (+1)
<b>8. Metal and metal alloys</b>	2
<b>9. Advanced materials</b>	7
<b>10. Biological fluids and materials</b>	32 (+2)
<b>11. Food</b>	130 (+6)
<b>12. Fuel</b>	0
<b>13. Sediments, soils, ores, and particulates</b>	0
<b>14. Other materials</b>	10
<b>15. Surfaces, films, and engineered nanomaterials</b>	0
<b>Sum</b>	<b>720</b>

**Table 4b: No. of new and revised Chemistry and Biology CMCs in Cycle XXVI (2025) (per category and sub-category)**

<b>CATEGORIES</b>	<b>CATEGORY NAME</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>	<b>SUB-CATEGORIES</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>High purity chemicals</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1.1 Inorganic compounds</b>	<b>6</b>
			<b>1.2 Organic compounds</b>	<b>13</b>
			<b>1.3 Metals</b>	<b>2</b>
			<b>1.4 Isotopics</b>	<b>6</b>
			<b>1.5 Other</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Inorganic solutions</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2.1 Elemental</b>	<b>6</b>
			<b>2.3 Other</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Organic solutions</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3.3 Pesticides</b>	<b>3</b>

CATEGORIES	CATEGORY NAME	NUMBER	SUB-CATEGORIES	NUMBER
			<b>3.4 Other</b>	21
<b>4</b>	<b>Gases</b>	303	<b>4.1 High purity</b>	82
			<b>4.2 Environmental</b>	160
			<b>4.3 Fuel</b>	51
			<b>4.4 Forensic</b>	5
			<b>4.6 Other</b>	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Water</b>	162	<b>5.1 Fresh water</b>	82
			<b>5.2 Contaminated water</b>	6
			<b>5.3 Sea water</b>	64
			<b>5.4 Other</b>	10
<b>6</b>	<b>pH</b>	7		7
<b>7</b>	<b>Electrolytic conductivity</b>	7		7
<b>8</b>	<b>Metal and metal alloys</b>	2	<b>8.3 Precious metals</b>	2
<b>9</b>	<b>Advanced materials</b>	9	<b>9.4 Ceramics</b>	5
			<b>9.5 Other</b>	4
<b>10</b>	<b>Biological fluids and materials</b>	32	<b>10.1 Blood serum</b>	6
			<b>10.2 Renal fluids</b>	1
			<b>10.4 Tissues</b>	23
			<b>10.7 Other</b>	2
<b>11</b>	<b>Food</b>	130	<b>11.1 Nutritional constituents</b>	10
			<b>11.2 Contaminants</b>	106
			<b>11.3 GMOs</b>	2
			<b>11.4 Other</b>	12
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>720</b>

**Table 4C: No. of 'not yet approved' CMCs submitted before Cycle XXVI (2025)**

<b>(20 March 2025)</b>	<u><b>Number</b></u>
<b>16. High purity chemicals</b>	4
<b>17. Inorganic solutions</b>	6
<b>18. Organic solutions</b>	1
<b>19. Gases</b>	34
<b>20. Water</b>	6
<b>21. pH</b>	1
<b>22. Electrolytic conductivity</b>	1
<b>23. Biological fluids and materials</b>	2
<b>24. Food</b>	6
<b>Sum</b>	61

**Table 5: No. of submitted Chemistry and Biology CMCs by RMO in Cycle XXVI (2025)**

<b>2025</b>	<b><u>New</u></b>	<b><u>Revised</u></b>	<b><u>Re-instated</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
<b>AFRIMETS</b>	22	64	0	86
<b>APMP</b>	87	147	0	234
<b>COOMET</b>	35	73	0	108
<b>EURAMET</b>	79	101	0	180
<b>GULFMET</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>SIM</b>	49	63 (+41)	0	112
<b>Sum</b>	272	448 (+41)	0	720

**Table 6: No. of submitted Chemistry and Biology CMCs by RMO and WG in Cycle XXVI (2025)**

<b>WORKING GROUP</b>	<b><u>AFRIMETS</u></b>	<b><u>APMP</u></b>	<b><u>COOMET</u></b>	<b><u>EURAMET</u></b>	<b><u>GULFMET</u></b>	<b><u>SIM</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b>
<b>CAWG</b>							
<b>EAWG</b>		3	1	5		13 (+2)	22
<b>EAWG/IAWG</b>							
<b>GAWG</b>	63	69	70	100		1 (+19)	303
<b>IAWG</b>	20	86	17	47		89 (+18)	259
<b>IRWG</b>		6					6
<b>NAWG</b>		6	4	1			11
<b>OAWG</b>	3	64	16	27		8 (+2)	118
<b>PAWG</b>						1	1
<b>SAWG</b>							
<b>Sum</b>	86	234	108	180		112 (+41)	720

### **Issues with the sources of metrological traceability of submitted CMCs**

The continued discussions on the draft document to look at issues related to establishing metrological traceability for Chemistry and Biology CMCs focuses on the complications

surrounding the definition of the measurand. This is still a very relevant issue for complex larger biological molecules where the uncertainty in terms of the definition of the measurand is a significant contributor to the measurement uncertainty. This issue complicates the establishment of metrological traceability because the measurement process involves an intricate network of measurements and therefore also a complicated uncertainty budget for the measurement result.

An action from the KCWG meeting in April 2024 was to task Dr Tang Lin Teo from HSA to develop two case studies for measurements within the OAWG measurement space where the definition of the measurand is problematic, and it is difficult to establish metrological traceability. HSA developed two (2) case studies that were shared with the KCWG members for questions and comments. All the comments received from the KCWG members were incorporated into an update of the case studies.

### **Re-review of existing CMC claims**

The re-review strategy previously looked at CMCs that were approved before 2010. There are still 122 CMCs in the KCDB that fall into this category. The remaining “old” CMCs are split between several service categories. If we look at CMCs approved before 2015, there are 1492. The inorganic solutions and food categories had the most CMCs published before 2015.

The schedule for the re-review of Chemistry and Biology CMCs has been adjusted to include all CMCs published during or before 2015. The big technical WGs (GAWG, IAWG and OAWG) have been tasked to discuss possible service categories for re-review of CMCs during Cycle XXVI (2025) with their respective WGs. For the coming cycle, a proposal was made to re-review Cat. 5 (Water) and Cat 9 (Advanced materials) for IAWG and Cat. 11 (Food) for OAWG. The GAWG was asked to also propose the re-review of some CMCs for the upcoming Cycle XXVI in 2025.

In response to the question from the CCQM strategic planning working group (SPWG) about whether the KCWG will continue with the current approach for the re-review of CMC claims, i.e., to move the re-review schedule up to start re-reviewing all CMC claims that were approved before 2015, the KCWG has tasked the WG representatives from GAWG, IAWG and OAWG to consider what new evidence will become available to support CMC claims in 2026. The plan will be to advise NMIs/DIs on what new evidence will become available and what CMC claims will be impacted by the new evidence so that the responsibility will be returned to the NMIs/DIs to decide how they will manage their CMCs.

At the next KCWG meeting in April 2025, the WG representatives from the IAWG, GAWG and OAWG will give feedback on what evidence will become available during 2025 to support CMCs in 2026. The KCWG will also have further discussions on how to develop clear rules and guidelines in terms of the greying out of CMCs to manage the upkeep of valid CMCs in the KCDB.



## **Matters arising from the previous KCWG meeting (2024)**

During the feedback from the JCRB Executive Secretary, the issue of comparison studies registered in the KCDB and older than five (5) years was raised. Five comparison studies were identified and discussed during the meeting. It has now been confirmed that the supplementary comparisons of SIM.QM-S3 and SIM.QM-S4 have been completed, and SIM is currently working on updating the status of these comparisons in the KCDB. It has been confirmed that CCQM-K133 has been completed and approved for equivalence. CCQM-K110 still needs to be marked as suspended in the KCDB. CCQM-K144 is progressing. An enquiry was made by the JCRB Executive Secretary at the beginning of March 2025 to identify the current “old” comparisons in the KCDB. A few additional comparisons have been identified and are being followed up on. These include CCQM-K26b.2019, APMP.QM-K90, APMP.QM-S18 and EURAMET.QM-S12.

There are still some outstanding CMCs from previous review cycles. Notably, the 41 CMCs from SIM are indicated in Tables 4 to 6 above. The rules and guidelines in terms of greying out of CMCs have been discussed extensively in the OAWG during 2024. The topic will be introduced at the KCWG meeting in 2025 by Dr Mark Lewin from the OAWG to be discussed in line with the proposed new strategy from the KCWG to return the responsibility for the re-review of CMCs to the NMIs/DIs and only play an oversight role with more strict application of rules in terms of greying out of old CMCs.

Several suggestions were made for the improvement of the KCDB and proposed to the KCDB Office for consideration:

- To remove the requirements to include a comment when a reviewer or TC Chair simply wants to approve a CMC;
- To send a notification to the writer when all the RMOs who agreed to review the CMC have completed the JCRB review of the CMC;
- To add more information about the uncertainty conventions, as discussed, to the KCDB help pages and also update the CMC webform with more clear information about the uncertainty convention;
- To allow for more than one (1), ideally three (3) rounds of revision during the JCRB review.

## **KCWG membership**

The current KCWG membership that will be responsible for the Cycle XXVI (2025) review of Chemistry and Biology CMCs has been confirmed by the RMO TC Chairs and is presented in **Table 7**.

**Table 7: Confirmed membership of the KCWG for Cycle XXVI (2025)**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Representation</b>
Angelique Botha	KCWG Chair
Alvin Fung	KCWG Vice Chair
John Molloy	KCWG Rapporteur
Robert WIELGOSZ	BIPM
Stéphanie MANIGUET	BIPM
<b>APMP</b>	
Tang Lin TEO Jin-Sang Jung Byungjoo Kim Kazumi Inagaki Kyoung Seok Lee Takuya Shimosaka	TCQM Chair
<b>EURAMET</b>	
Teemu Näykki Béatrice Lalere Bernhard Niederhauser Steffen Seitz Heidi Goenaga-Infante	TCMC Chair
<b>AFRIMETS</b>	
Angelique Botha Hanan Klich Ibrahim Tahoun Randa Nasr Ahmed Yamani Caleb Luvonga	TCQM Chair
<b>COOMET</b>	
Yury Kustikov Olga Efremova Narine Oganyan Alena Sobina Yury Kopyltsov (RF, VNIIM)	TCQM Chair
<b>SIM</b>	
Bryan Calderón José Luis Ortiz Aparicio Bruno C Garrido Patricia Grinberg Andreia Lima	TCQM Chair
<b>KCWG WG representatives</b>	
Carla Divieto	CAWG
Alena Sobina	EAWG
Christina Cecelski	GAWG
Maré Linsky	IAWG
Philip Dunn	IRWG
Liana Dong	NAWG

Mark Lewin	OAWG
Liqing WU	PAWG
Alex Shard	SAWG
Li-Lin Tay	SAWG

\*\*\*\*\*

Angelique Botha

CCQM KCWG Chair