



AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 FINAL REPORT

22 March 2023

Summary

Results of Regional Metrology Organisation supplementary comparison AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 concerning the calibration of a Brüel & Kjær 4226 multi-frequency sound calibrator are reported here. Sound pressure level results at frequencies from 31,5 Hz to 16 kHz and nominal sound level settings of 94 dB and 114 dB, were evaluated for consistency, the calculated comparison reference values and Degrees of Equivalence are reported here. The comparison's purpose was to prove technical capabilities supporting the submissions of Calibration Measurement Capabilities in the BIPM Key Comparison Data Base. *The Light Shines* quite far, the new Calibration Measurement Capabilities that may result from this work, underpin some important fundamental acoustic calibration services, e.g., sound calibrators, sound level meters and personal sound exposure meters. These instruments are intrinsic to the effective monitoring and implementation worldwide of human hearing conservation and hearing loss assessment programs, all which impacts quality of life. According to the World Health Organization, nearly 1,5 billion people experience some decline in their hearing capacity during their live [1].

Riaan Nel^{1*}, Henk Potgieter², Danuta Dobrowolska³, Aleksandra Młyńska³, Hany Amir Shawky⁴, Anderson Maina⁵, Kari Ojasalo⁶

¹ National Metrology Institute of South Africa, Meiring Naude Road, Brummeria, Pretoria, 0184, South Africa

² National Physics Laboratory, Hampton Road, Teddington TW11 OLW, United Kingdom (work completed while employed at the National Metrology Institute of South Africa)

³ Central Office of Measures, 00-139 Warsaw, Elektoralna 2, Poland

⁴ National Institute of Standard Egypt, El-Sadat (Tersa) st., El-Haram, Giza, Egypt

⁵ Kenya Bureau of Standards, KEBS Center, Popo Road, South C, Nairobi, Kenya

⁶ VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd, Centre for Metrology MIKES (VTT MIKES), Tekniikantie 1, 02150 Espoo, Finland

^{2,3,4,5,6} Co-Authors

*Pilot Laboratory and corresponding author RNel@nmisa.org

Metrologia 60 (2023) Tech. Supp. 09003 https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/60/1A/09003

1. INTRODUCTION

This is the AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 regional Supplementary Comparison (SC) Final Report as performed under the auspices of the AFRIMETS Technical Committee for Acoustics, Ultrasound and Vibration (AUV). The comparison was undertaken to address the needs from the participating NMIs of two Regional Metrology Organisations (RMOs) for establishing and maintaining measurement equivalence, as afforded by the CIPM Mutual Recognition Arrangement.

This report is in support of the participating NMIs CMC submissions for multi-frequency sound calibrators, known as service category identifier 2.2.1 in the Classification of Services in Acoustics, Ultrasound and Vibration [2].

The AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 SC measurement phase started in July 2018 and was concluded in March 2020. The sound pressure levels, frequencies and total distortion + noise were required to be determined in accordance with IEC 60942: 2017 [3]. Comparison Reference Values (CRVs) and Degrees of Equivalence (DoE) were determined only for sound pressure level results at 94 dB and 114 dB sound level settings.

Five National Metrology Institutes (NMIs) from two different RMOs participated in this comparison i.e., NMISA (AFRIMETS), GUM (EURAMET), NIS (AFRIMETS), KEBS (AFRIMETS) and VTT MIKES (EURAMET).

This report contains the following information relating to the participating NMIs: description of measurement method, measurement results, measurement uncertainties. Results and uncertainties were also evaluated in accordance with IEC 60942: 2017 Annex B - Periodic tests.

2. TECHNICAL PROTOCOL

The comparison Technical Protocol [4] was approved by the CCAUV Key Comparison Working Group (KCWG) and was subsequently published in the BIPM Key Comparison Database (KCDB) in accordance with CIPM MRA-G-11 – Measurement comparisons in the CIPM MRA [5].

This comparison was only concerned with the calibration of a multi-frequency sound calibrator according to IEC 60942: 2017, using a Laboratory Standard ½" Pressure (LS2P) reference microphone [6].

The parameters to be calibrated were 94 dB and 114 dB sound pressure levels, frequency and total distortion plus noise (TD+N), as indicated in Table 1. The reported sound pressure levels were required to be corrected to reference environmental conditions.

Multi-frequency sound calibrator, Brüel & Kjær 4226 s/n: 3003604, was circulated between all participants as the comparison artefact.

Nominal	Parameters determined for 94 dB and 114 dB level settings					
(Hz)	L _p (dB relative 20 μPa)	Measured frequency (Hz)	TD+N (%)			
31,5	x	х	x			
63	х	х	х			
125	х	x	x			
250	х	x	x			
500	х	x	x			
1 000	x	х	x			
2 000	x	х	x			
4 000	х	x	x			
8 000	х	x	x*			
12 500	x	x	x*			
16 000	x	x	x*			

Table 1. Parameters that were required to be determined and reported

*The band pass filter requirements of IEC 60942: 2017 affect the measurable number of harmonics.

Circulation of the comparison artefact and the comparison measurements were performed from July 2018 to March 2020.

3. PARTICIPANTS

The following National Metrology Institutes (NMIs) participated in this comparison:

- National Metrology Institute of South Africa (NMISA), South Africa (Pilot)
- Central Office of Measures (GUM), Poland
- National Institute of Standard Egypt (NIS), Egypt
- Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS), Kenya
- VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd, Centre for Metrology MIKES (VTT MIKES), Finland

4. METHODS

Although the IEC 60942: 2017 edition was specified as the basis according to which the results were to be obtained, variations are to be expected due to varying factors and different approaches to the implementation of the document standard. However, the Brüel & Kjær 4226 could only be calibrated using a LS2P microphone.

Descriptions of each participant's system and calibration method employed are captured in Annex A.

5. ARTEFACT STABILITY

The stability of the Brüel & Kjær 4226 s/n: 3003604 comparison artefact was monitored throughout the measurement phase of the comparison.

Circulation pattern chosen for this comparison was a hybrid-star configuration in which the pilot NMI performed its measurements first, the comparison artefact was then circulated to the participating NMIs, after which it was returned to the pilot NMI for stability measurements.

The Brüel & Kjær 4226 was stable during the measurement phase of the comparison as illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

Figure 1 illustrates all the sound pressure level stability measurements as the deviation from the mean of all stability results for 94 dB (left) and 114 dB (right) settings. Figure 2 illustrates only the sound pressure level stability measurements results at 1 kHz over time as the deviation from the first measurement for 94 dB (left) and 114 dB (right) settings. The blue regions are the pilot's uncertainties (k = 2).



Figure 1. Normalised sound pressure level stability results (94 dB left and 114 dB right).





6. PARTICIPANTS RESULTS

All NMIs reported their results utilising their standard certificate formats and by completing and submitting a provided reporting template. Only the results as reported in the completed reporting templates were used for calculations and reporting purposes of this comparison.

Annexes B and C reports on all the participants' results and their uncertainty matrixes. Annex D reports on all sound pressure level, frequency and distortion results as evaluated in accordance with IEC 60942: 2017 for acceptance limits and maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement.

Figure 3 displays all participants' sound pressure level results as received prior to analysis, for 94 dB and 114 dB sound pressure level settings respectively.



Figure 3. Sound pressure level results from all participants (94 dB top and 114 dB bottom).

7. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Sound pressure level results were evaluated by using the Chi-squared (χ^2) method [7] to identify outliers. Weighted Mean (WM), \bar{x} , values were calculated at each frequency of the 94 dB and 114 dB sound pressure levels using Equation 1. Uncertainties, $u(\bar{x})$, associated with the weighted mean values were calculated as per Equation 2.

Consistency tests were performed with a 5 % probability that a result might be discrepant, according to Equation 3.

Sound pressure level results and associated uncertainties are logarithmic quantities. Errors introduced in the calculations by not converting these logarithmic quantities to linear and back again to logarithmic quantities are of no meaningful significance.

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{x_i}{u_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{1}{u_i^2}}$$
(1)

$$u(\bar{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1/u_i)^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2)

$$Pr\{\chi^{2}(\nu) > \chi^{2}_{obs}\} < 0.05$$
(3)

where

x_i result reported by participant i u_i uncertainty ($k = 1$) reported by participant i for result x_i n number of participants $u(\bar{x})$ uncertainty ($k = 1$) of weighted mean Pr probability of v degrees of freedom $(n-1)$ χ^2_{obs} observed χ^2	\bar{x}	weighted mean (WM)
u_i uncertainty (k = 1) reported by participant i for result x_i n number of participants $u(\bar{x})$ uncertainty (k = 1) of weighted mean Pr probability of v degrees of freedom $(n-1)$ χ^2_{obs} observed χ^2	x _i	result reported by participant <i>i</i>
nnumber of participants $u(\bar{x})$ uncertainty (k = 1) of weighted meanPrprobability ofvdegrees of freedom $(n-1)$ χ^2_{obs} observed χ^2	u _i	uncertainty ($k = 1$) reported by participant <i>i</i> for result x_i
$u(\bar{x})$ uncertainty (k = 1) of weighted mean Pr probability of v degrees of freedom $(n-1)$ χ^2_{obs} observed χ^2	n	number of participants
Pr probability of v degrees of freedom $(n-1)$ χ^2_{obs} observed χ^2	$u(\bar{x})$	uncertainty (k = 1) of weighted mean
v degrees of freedom $(n-1)\chi^2_{obs} observed \chi^2$	Pr	probability of
χ^2_{obs} observed χ^2	v	degrees of freedom $(n-1)$
	χ^2_{obs}	observed χ^2

Outliers were identified, by using the method as described above, for some of the NIS results. Annex C, Tables 16 and 17 indicates the communicated outliers. After consultation with the CCAUV KCWG Chair and careful consideration, the 114 dB sound pressure level results of NIS were excluded from the calculation of the CRV and DoE, as it is unlikely that all sound pressure level results will be exactly equal to the nominal value of 114,00 dB (see Annex B for all participants results).

KEBS requested for other results to be used prior to Draft A and after all participants' measurement results were submitted. None of the originally reported results by KEBS were identified as outliers, thus no other new results were considered, or reported for the calculation of any results in this comparison. This is in line with CIPM MRA-G-11 section 8.1 that only anomalous results identified by the Pilot can be corrected for numerical errors by the respective participant and resubmitted for further evaluation and inclusion in a comparison.

NIS initially submitted all sound pressure level results rounded to one decimal place. The Technical Protocol requirement was that all sound pressure level results should have been reported to two decimal places. NIS was asked to report the results in question to two decimal places, which NIS subsequently submitted. Outliers were subsequently identified and communicated to NIS, upon which no submission of numerically corrected results were received.

NIS requested for new results to be included after the Draft B.1 comparison report were circulated to all participants. No other results of NIS, other than the original decimal anomalous corrected results and identified outliers have been considered in the calculation of reference values for this comparison. This is in line with CIPM MRA-G-11 section 8.1 which ensures the integrity of this AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 comparison and therefore its suitability to support CMCs.

8. COMPARISON REFERENCE VALUES

Comparison Reference Values (CRV), x_{CRV} , were calculated for this supplementary comparison and are not linked to another CIPM or RMO KC.

CRVs and the associated expanded uncertainties, $U(x_{CRV})$, were only calculated for the 94 dB and 114 dB sound pressure levels and not for frequency or distortion. CRVs and the associated expanded uncertainties were calculated only from results which passed the consistency tests as the final weighted mean results.

CRVs 94 dB 4226 s/n: 3003604								
Nominal Frequency (Hz)	U(x _{CRV}) (dB)							
31,5	93,910	0,039						
63	94,003	0,035						
125	94,036	0,033						
250	94,022	0,033						
500	94,017	0,033						
1 000	94,030	0,033						
2 000	94,054	0,033						
4 000	94,054	0,033						
8 000	94,066	0,036						
12 500	94,230	0,042						
16 000	94,072	0,059						

Table 2. Comparison Reference Values and associated uncertainty (k = 2) for 94 dB sound pressure levels

CRVs 114 dB 4226 s/n: 3003604								
Nominal Frequency (Hz)	Sound pressure level (dB re 20 μPa)	U(x _{CRV}) (dB)						
31,5	113,886	0,042						
63	113,983	0,036						
125	114,019	0,033						
250	114,009	0,033						
500	113,999	0,033						
1 000	114,013	0,033						
2 000	114,033	0,033						
4 000	114,004	0,033						
8 000	114,017	0,036						
12 500	114,022	0,042						
16 000	113,734	0,058						

Table 3. Comparison Reference Values and associated uncertainty (k = 2) for 114 dB sound pressure levels

9. DEGREES OF EQUIVALENCE

DoE, d_i , were calculated as per Equation 4, and are reported in the Figures 4 to 8 and Tables 4 to 5 below as the coloured markers; the associated uncertainties, $U(d_i)$, are indicated with uncertainty bars. The uncertainties associated with the CRV, $U(x_{CRV})$, are also indicated as the light blue areas. All uncertainties indicated are for a coverage factor of k = 2. It is noted that it is not necessarily a requirement to report DoE for RMO supplementary comparisons [5].

Where an outlier was identified, the associated results were calculated as per Equations 6 and 7.

$$d_i = x_i - x_{CRV} \tag{4}$$

$$u(d_i) = \left(u_i^2 - u(x_{CRV})^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(5)

$$d_o = x_o - x_{CRV} \tag{6}$$

$$u(d_o) = (u_o^2 + u(x_{CRV})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(7)

where

di	DoE value of participant <i>i</i>
$u(d_i)$	DoE uncertainty (k = 1) of participant i
d_o	identified outlier result
$u(d_o)$	identified outlier uncertainty (k = 1)



Figures 4. NMISA DoE for sound pressure levels 94 dB (left) and 114 dB (right)



Figures 5. GUM DoE for sound pressure levels 94 dB (left) and 114 dB (right)



Figure 6. NIS DoE for sound pressure levels 94 dB. Also shown are d_o and $U(d_o)$. NIS results for 114 dB sound pressure levels were excluded from the calculation of the 114 dB CRVs and DoEs.



Figures 7. KEBS DoE for sound pressure levels 94 dB (left) and 114 dB (right)



Figures 8. VTT MIKES DoE for sound pressure levels 94 dB (left) and 114 dB (right)

Degrees of Equivalence 94 dB										
Nominal	NM	IISA	GUM		NIS		KEBS		VTT MIKES	
Frequency (Hz)	<i>d</i> _{<i>i</i>} (dB)	U(d _i) (dB)	d _i (dB)	U(d _i) (dB)						
31,5	0,004	0,045	0,020	0,070	-0,140	0,104	0,050	0,145	0,060	0,103
63	0,011	0,035	0,007	0,072	-0,153	0,123	-0,012	0,104	0,047	0,094
125	-0,014	0,038	-0,016	0,062	-0,206*	0,087*	0,034	0,062	0,014	0,084
250	-0,019	0,038	-0,002	0,062	-0,182*	0,083*	0,036	0,062	0,008	0,084
500	-0,009	0,038	-0,007	0,062	-0,217*	0,075*	0,017	0,062	0,013	0,084
1 000	-0,009	0,038	0,000	0,062	-0,190*	0,085*	0,007	0,062	0,020	0,084
2 000	0,003	0,038	-0,014	0,062	-0,394*	0,122*	0,004	0,062	0,006	0,084
4 000	-0,048	0,038	0,066	0,062	-0,434*	0,260*	-0,002	0,062	0,046	0,084
8 000	-0,037	0,035	0,044	0,082	-0,076	0,330	0,114	0,104	0,004	0,071
12 500	0,002	0,028	-0,020	0,113	-0,390*	0,262*	0,116	0,165	-0,050	0,113
16 000	-0,055	0,055	0,088	0,105	0,018	0,357	0,101	0,170	-0,032	0,181

Table 4. DoE with associated uncertainties (k = 2) for 94 dB sound pressure levels. *The shaded areas are identified outlier data.

Degrees of Equivalence 114 dB										
Nominal	NIV	IISA	GUM		NIS		KEBS		VTT MIKES	
Frequency (Hz)	d _i (dB)	U(d _i) (dB)								
31,5	-0,005	0,043	-0,006	0,068	-	-	0,027	0,144	0,014	0,102
63	0,003	0,034	-0,003	0,071	-	-	-0,023	0,104	0,007	0,082
125	-0,010	0,038	-0,009	0,062	-	-	0,034	0,062	-0,009	0,084
250	-0,011	0,038	-0,009	0,062	-	-	0,034	0,062	-0,009	0,084
500	-0,007	0,038	0,001	0,062	-	-	0,018	0,062	-0,009	0,084
1 000	-0,004	0,038	-0,003	0,062	-	-	0,012	0,062	-0,003	0,084
2 000	0,016	0,038	-0,023	0,062	-	-	0,007	0,062	-0,023	0,084
4 000	-0,036	0,038	0,056	0,062	-	-	-0,002	0,062	0,026	0,084
8 000	-0,025	0,034	0,033	0,082	-	-	0,108	0,104	-0,017	0,071
12 500	0,005	0,028	-0,022	0,113	-	-	0,117	0,165	-0,062	0,113
16 000	-0,050	0,055	0,076	0,105	-	-	0,105	0,171	-0,014	0,138

Table 5. DoE with associated uncertainties (*k* = 2) for 114 dB sound pressure levels. NIS results were excluded from the calculation of DoEs.

10. CONCLUSIONS

The comparison purpose was to address Regional Metrology Organisations (RMOs) NMI members needs for establishing and maintaining measurement equivalence, in accordance with the CIPM MRA. Five NMIs from 2 different RMOs participated in this comparison.

A Brüel & Kjær 4226 s/n: 3003604 multi-frequency sound calibrator was circulated as comparison artefact. Participants reported the sound pressure level, frequency and TD+N results on the 94 dB and 114 dB sound level settings.

Sound pressure level results were evaluated for consistency using the Chi-squared method. Some results were found to be inconsistent with the Comparison Reference Values. Degrees of Equivalence were determined only for 94 dB and 114 dB sound pressure level results.

Results were evaluated, as a secondary aim of the comparison, in accordance with IEC 60942: 2017 Periodic Tests for conformance with acceptance limits and maximum-permitted uncertainties.

This comparison is considered a success as it can serve to support CMCs from the participants.

11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is with great appreciation that all the participating NMIs are thanked for contributing their time and other resources in making this comparison possible.

12. REFERENCES

- [1] World Health Organization, "World Report on Hearing," 2021. Available: https://www.who.int/publications
- [2] BIPM CCAUV, Classification of Services in Acoustics, Ultrasound and Vibration. 2018. Available: https://www.bipm.org/en/committees/cc/ccauv/publications
- [3] IEC 60942, Electroacoustics Sound Calibrators. (4th ed.) International Electrotechnical Commission, 2017.
- [4] R. Nel, AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 technical protocol: Calibration of a multi function acoustic calibrator. CCAUV, BIPM. 2018. Available: <u>https://www.bipm.org/kcdb/comparison?id=1011</u>
- [5] CIPM MRA-G-11, Measurement Comparisons in the CIPM MRA: Guidelines for Organizing, Participating and Reporting. (1.1st ed.) International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM), 2021. Available: <u>https://www.bipm.org/en/committees/ci/cipm/publications</u>
- [6] IEC 61094-1, Measurement Microphones Part 1: Specifications for Laboratory Standard Microphones. (2nd ed.) International Electrotechnical Commission, 2000.
- [7] M. G. Cox, The evaluation of key comparison data, Metrologia, vol. 39, (6), pp. 589-595, 2002.

NOTE References that appear in the descriptions of a participants' method description and uncertainty matrix do not form part of the main body of text in this report.

NMISA

The sound pressure levels were obtained by using the insert voltage technique, with a Brüel & Kjær 2673 preamplifier and a pressure reciprocity calibrated Brüel & Kjær 4180 LS2aP microphone. The frequency and distortion results were obtained using a Brüel & Kjær 3630 calibration platform with Brüel & Kjær 7794 sound calibrator calibration software.

The Brüel & Kjær 4226 UA-0915 coupler with Brüel & Kjær 4180 microphone and preamplifier were placed inside a pressure chamber that was connected to a buffer tank. The chamber with the buffer tank were pressurised to the nominal reference static pressure of 101,3 kPa. Additionally, the chamber was placed on an optical table with active isolation.

The environmental parameters were measured using a Rotronics Hygroclip probe located inside the pressure chamber and a Vaisala PT330 barometer. The sound pressure level results were corrected to the reference environmental conditions.

The polarizing voltage was 200 Vdc \pm 0,05 Vdc.

The results were evaluated for conformance against IEC 60942: 2017 class 1, Annex B.

GUM

The calibration of sound calibrator was performed at the GUM in accordance with IEC 60942: 2017 standard using the microphone method.

The reference microphone used was the LS2P Brüel & Kjær model 4180, calibrated at the GUM using the reciprocity method according to IEC 61094-2: 2009 in September 2019, i.e. about a month before the measurements were taken.

The LS2P microphone coefficients for ambient pressure, temperature and humidity was a polynomial based coefficients at each frequency of interest. The polarisation voltage of 200 V \pm 0,05 V was applied to the microphone.

Measurements were performed using B&K PULSE analyser system type 3560. For each signal generated by the calibrator the spectrum (FFT) of the voltage at loaded microphone terminals was averaged over a period of 20 s and recorded. Additionally the voltages U_{loop} and U_{out} required for determination of microphone preamplifier attenuation were measured using the CIC (Charge Injection Calibration) method available in the PULSE system. All measurement results were transferred to the Excel spreadsheet used for calculations of attenuation of the preamplifier, sound pressure level, frequency and total distortion + noise.

The sound pressure level of each signal generated by the calibrator was determined over the frequency range 22,4 Hz – 22,4 kHz and corrected to the reference environmental conditions, using the formulas (1) - (4):

$$L_p = 20 \log\left(\frac{U}{U_{\text{ref}}}\right) + 20 \log\left(\frac{U_{\text{loop}}}{U_{\text{out}}}\right) - L_{S,\text{MC}} + \Delta L_{p,\text{corr}} + 20 \log\left(\frac{1}{20 \cdot 10^{-6}}\right), \text{dB}$$
(1)

$$U = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=\min}^{\max} U_i^2}{NPBW}}\right)$$
(2)

$$L_{S,\text{MC}} = L_{S,\text{RC}} + c_{m,ps} \cdot \left(p_s - p_{s,\text{ref}} \right) + c_{m,t} \cdot \left(t - t_{\text{ref}} \right)$$
(3)

$$\Delta L_{p,\text{corr}} = c_{c,ps} \cdot \left(p_{s,\text{ref}} - p_s \right) + c_{c,t} \cdot \left(t_{\text{ref}} - t \right) \tag{4}$$

where:

Lp	-	sound pressure level, at reference conditions, dB
$\Delta L_{p, \mathrm{cor}}$ r	-	correction for the influence of environmental conditions on the sound pressure level of the calibrator, dB
U	-	the voltage at loaded microphone terminals, V
$U_{\rm ref}$	-	reference voltage of 1 V
$U_{\rm loop}/U_{\rm o}$	-	microphone preamplifier attenuation, V/V
ut		
Ls,mc	-	microphone sensitivity level at current environmental conditions, dB re 1V/Pa

Ls,rc	-	microphone sensitivity level at reference conditions, dB re 1V/Pa
Cm,ps,	-	static pressure coefficient of the microphone, dB/kPa,
ps	-	static pressure during measurements, kPa,
$p_{\rm s,ref}$	-	reference value of static pressure of 101,325 kPa,
C _{m,t}	-	temperature coefficient of the microphone, dB/°C,
t	-	temperature during measurements, °C,
$t_{ m ref}$	-	reference temperature of 23 °C,
Cc,ps,	-	static pressure coefficient of sound calibrator, dB/kPa,
C c,t,	-	temperature coefficient of sound calibrator, dB/°C,
Ui	-	voltage at the <i>i</i> -th frequency of the FFT spectrum, V; min /max – minimum /maximum frequency of the analysed signal bandwidth,
NPB W	-	Noise Power Bandwidth, compensates for the fact that the FFT window spreads the energy from the signal component at any discrete frequency to adjacent bins, varies depending on the window used.

The frequency of the signal was calculated as the weighted average of the spectral components including the fundamental component and its vicinity (6).

$$f = \frac{\sum_{i=j-3}^{j+3} U_i^{2} \cdot i \cdot \Delta f}{\sum_{i=j-3}^{j+3} U_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=j-3}^{j+3} U_i^{2} \cdot f_i}{\sum_{i=j-3}^{j+3} U_i^2}, \text{ Hz}$$
(5)

where:

 U_i – voltage at the *i*-th frequency of the FFT spectrum, V

 Δf – distance between successive bins (FFT resolution), Hz

 f_i – center frequency of *i*-th bin, Hz

j – index relating to the bin of maximum value in the spectrum

The total distortion + noise was calculated as a ratio of the root-mean-square of the total distortion and noise components, to the root-mean-square of the entire signal, measured over the frequency range from 22,4 Hz to 22,4 kHz.

Prior to each series of measurements an ambient noise measurements were taken in accordance with Clause B.4.2 of IEC 60942:2017. The sound pressure level was measured by a microphone after coupling to the sound calibrator, but before switching on and did not exceed 51 dB.

During measurements environmental parameters (temperature, humidity and ambient static pressure) were recorded, they were:

Static pressure:	(98,5 – 100,5) kPa
Ambient temperature:	(22,3 – 23,7) °C
Relative humidity	(34 – 52) %

The measurement uncertainty has been determined in accordance with EA-4/02 M: 2013 document. The reported expanded uncertainty is stated as the standard uncertainty multiplied by the coverage factor k = 2, which corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95 %.

<u>Sound calibrator comparison method</u> A sound calibrator with a calibrated sound pressure level of the sound generated by the test object sound calibrator Measure in comparison with the sound pressure level of the generated sound or acoustic calibrators that can generate sound at multiple frequencies, the operation manual will Repeat the measurement of the tonal pressure level at the highest and lowest frequencies.

Sound pressure level in the ½ inch coupler of the calibrator was measured with a laboratory grade condenser microphone LS type 4180 (calibrated by DFM and NIS). The measured sound pressure was compared with that generated in the coupler of calibrator whose output was cross checked against calibrator, with the microphone and at the same ambient conditions. Appropriate corrections for atmospheric pressure conditions during calibration and for the measurement frequency and level response were taken into account. Sound pressure level results are the mean of 10measurements.Results apply directly to the following setting on the calibrator , pressure sound field , function calibration , 94 and 114 dB sound level and microphone group a (frequencies 31.5 Hz- 16kHz) Results for frequency and distortion are the results of 10measuresmts.

Connect the microphone to the sound calibrator and in the instruction manual to stabilize the microphone and the sound calibrator. Have time to specify. Then, for the main sound pressure level and the main frequency, the sound calibrator is generated by averaging for 20 seconds

Measure the sound pressure level of the sound you want to For Class LS calibrators, the standard microphones specified in IEC 61094-2

Sound Calibrator Calibration Software for Calibration Platform 3630 is an automated tool to perform periodic tests of calibrators in accordance with the IEC 60942. The software can perform calibration on any single tone, multilevel calibrators at different frequencies. The system uses the <u>comparison method</u> .PULSE Multi-analyzer System can compare the reference sound pressure level with the sound pressure level generated by the tested calibrator and measure frequency and distortion.

Microphone Method The sound pressure level of the sound generated by the test sound calibrator is calibrated using a microphone or is measured using a microphone system. <u>Insertion voltage</u> method (see IEC 61094-2) or open circuit of microphone. An equivalent method of measuring the path voltage may be used

All sound pressure level and frequency combinations described in the instruction manual will comply with this standard. Sound pressure level measurement with the microphone connected (without repetition), repeat. Actual value of each measured value Deviations from the corresponding specified sound pressure level spread out taking into account the expanded uncertainty of the measurement tolerance limits Do not exceed.

The expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%.

The used microphone was calibrated using primary calibration system (reciprocity techniques) Measurement of pressure sensitivity in accordance with IEC 61094-2:2009 (Reciprocity technique) The measurements have been performed with the

assistance of: Brüel & Kjær Microphone Calibration System

Measurement description for calibration of multifunction acoustic calibrator type 4226 for purposes of the AFRIMETS.AUV.A-S2 comparison.

Measurements were carried out using KEBS procedure MET-15-CP-06 Sound calibrator calibration by insert voltage, in conformance to the requirements of IEC 60942: 2017 and the Technical Protocol AFRIMETS.AUV.V-S2.

The procedure makes use of the following instruments.

- Microphone B&K type 4180 S/No. 2488308
- Insert voltage preamplifier B&K type 2673 S/No. 2416780
- Reciprocity calibration apparatus B&K type 5998 S/No. 2545079
- Band pass filter B&K type 1617 S/No. 2514666
- Reference multi-meter Fluke 8508A S/No. 913152494

The microphone used is a laboratory standard microphone (LS2) calibrated using pressure reciprocity calibration technique fulfilling the requirements of IEC 61094-2:2009 for the modulus of pressure sensitivity level over the frequency range 31.5 Hz to 16 kHz.

Total Distortion was measured using Rohde & Schwarz FSMR Measuring Receiver S/No. 101355.

Calibration was repeated over multiple days and times within the allocated measurement period.

The laboratory conditions, namely temperature, humidity and pressure were monitored continuously during calibration using Fluke Dewk 1620 Thermohygrometer S/No. 465117 and GE Druck Pace 1001 Barometer S/No. 4356695. The average laboratory conditions were

Parameter	Average	Standard deviation		
Temperature	23.4 °C	0.95 °C		
Humidity	49.8 %RH	2.02 %RH		
Pressure	840.1 hPa	1.41 hPa		

The level was then corrected to standard conditions, namely 23 °C, 50 % RH and 101, 325 kPa using pressure and temperature coefficients stated in Table 3 of the Technical Protocol.

VTT MIKES

Description of the method and instrumentation in MIKES

Method

The calibrations were performed according to the so called insert voltage method.

Polynomial based coefficients were used to correct the results to reference ambient conditions.

Most of the measurement hardware and software for performing the measurements and analysing the measurement results are developed in VTT MIKES. Voltages and frequency are measured with two 3458A precision multimeters. TD+noise is measured with HP8903B. During the measurements polarisation voltage was monitored and the value was 200.014 V.

Deviations from the standard

None declared.

Declared parameters

Parameters measured are sound pressure level, frequency and TD+noise.

Calculations

The measurement microphone sensitivity value given in the microphone database represents normal conditions. The measurement program calculates temperature and pressure corrections to be included in the microphone sensitivity value. The equation below shows the sensitivity value in dB for the measurement conditions.

$$M_{dB,Corr} = M_{dB} + \alpha_p (p - p_{ref}) + \alpha_t (t - t_{ref}) + 20 \log \left(\frac{U_{pol}}{200 \text{ V}}\right)$$

where

 M_{dB} is the microphone sensitivity value in normal conditions,

 α_{p} is the logarithmic barometric pressure dependency coefficient,

p is the barometric pressure,

 p_{ref} is the barometric pressure in normal conditions (1013,25 hPa),

 α_t is the logarithmic temperature dependency coefficient,

t is the ambient temperature (°C),

- *t*_{ref} is the temperature in the normal conditions (23 °C),
- *U*_{pol} is the polarisation voltage during measurements (voltage is kept near 200 volts).

The microphone sensitivity in units V/Pa is calculated from the dB value with expression

$$M_{Corr} = 10^{\frac{M_{dB,Corr}}{20}}.$$

The measurement program calculates the sound pressure level with equation

$$L_{calibrator} = 20 \cdot log_{10} \left(\frac{U}{M_{CorrGL_{ref}}} \right) - c_{p,dB} - c_{V,dB},$$

where

U is the measured insert voltage,

G is the ratio U_1/U_2 where U_1 is measured when the sound source is on and U_2 is measured when the insert voltage is applied.

 L_{ref} is the reference sound pressure level 20µPa,

 $c_{\rho,dB}$ is the pressure correction for the sound calibrator,

 $c_{V,dB}$ is the correction due the additional volume of the microphone.

Pressure and microphone volume corrections are performed only to the pistonphones.

The uncertainty calculation was done according to publication *Evaluation of measurement data* – *Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (JCGM 100:2008).*

NMISA

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	р 20 µРа)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD+N (%)		
(П2)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	
31.5	93.914	0.06	31.63	0.01	0.50	0.30	
63	94.014	0.05	63.13	0.02	0.17	0.30	
125	94.022	0.05	125.88	0.04	0.15	0.30	
250	94.003	0.05	251.27	0.08	0.18	0.30	
500	94.008	0.05	502.54	0.15	0.33	0.30	
1 000	94.021	0.05	1 005.1	0.3	0.40	0.30	
2 000	94.057	0.05	1 978.7	0.6	0.45	0.30	
4 000	94.006	0.05	3 957.5	1.2	0.68	0.30	
8 000	94.029	0.05	7 914.9	2.4	0.40	0.30	
12 500	94.232	0.05	12 663.9	3.8	0.32	0.30	
16 000	94.017	0.08	15 829.9	4.8	0.30	0.30	

Table 6. NMISA reported 94 dB results

Table 7. NMISA reported 114 dB results

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	р 20 µРа)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD (%	TD+N (%)		
(112)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM		
31.5	113.881	0.06	31.63	0.01	0.31	0.30		
63	113.986	0.05	63.13	0.02	0.13	0.30		
125	114.009	0.05	125.88	0.04	0.09	0.30		
250	113.998	0.05	251.27	0.08	0.08	0.30		
500	113.992	0.05	502.54	0.15	0.15	0.30		
1 000	114.009	0.05	1 005.1	0.3	0.24	0.30		
2 000	114.049	0.05	1 978.7	0.6	0.31	0.30		
4 000	113.968	0.05	3 957.5	1.2	1.31	0.30		
8 000	113.992 0.05		7 914.9	2.4	0.25	0.30		
12 500	114.027	0.05	12 663.9	3.8	0.09	0.30		
16 000	113.684	0.08	15 829.9	4.8	0.11	0.30		

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	р 20 µРа)	Frequei	ncy (Hz)	TD (۶	TD+N (%)		
(П2)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM		
31.5	93.93	0.08	31.63	0.05	0.5	0.2		
63	94.01	0.08	63.13	0.05	0.2	0.2		
125	94.02		125.9	0.1	0.3	0.2		
250	50 94.02 0.07		251.3	0.1	0.4	0.2		
500	94.01	0.07	502.5	0.1	0.5	0.2		
1 000	94.03	0.07	1 005.1	0.1	0.5	0.2		
2 000	94.04	0.07	1 978.7	0.1	0.6	0.2		
4 000	94.12	0.07	3 957.5	0.1	0.7	0.2		
8 000	94.11	0.09	7 914.9	0.1	0.5	0.2		
12 500	94.21	0.12	12 663.9	0.1	0.4	0.2		
16 000	94.16	0.12	15 829.9	0.2	0.4	0.2		

Table 8. GUM reported 94 dB results

Table 9. GUM reported 114 dB results

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	p 20 μPa)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD (१	TD+N (%)		
(112)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM		
31.5	113.88	0.08	31.63	0.05	0.2	0.2		
63	113.98	0.08	63.13	0.05	0.2	0.2		
125	114.01	0.07	125.9	0.1	0.2	0.2		
250	114.00	0.07	251.3	0.1	0.1	0.2		
500	114.00	0.07	502.5	0.1	0.2	0.2		
1 000	114.01	0.07	1 005.1	0.1	0.2	0.2		
2 000	114.01	0.07	1 978.7	0.1	0.3	0.2		
4 000	114.06	0.07	3 957.5	0.1	1.3	0.2		
8 000	114.05	0.09	7 914.9	0.1	0.3	0.2		
12 500	114.00	0.12	12 663.9	0.1	0.1	0.2		
16 000	113.81	0.12	15 829.9	0.2	0.2	0.2		

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	ρ 20 μPa)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD+N (%)		
(HZ)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	
31.5	93.77	0.111	31.63	0.008	0.44	0.056	
63	93.85	0.128 63.13		0.008	0.03	0.06	
125	93.83	0.081	125.88	0.028	0.05	0.056	
250	93.84	0.076	251.32	0.028	0.07	0.061	
500	93.80	0.068	502.46	0.045	0.07	0.056	
1 000	93.84	0.078	1005	0.298	0.18	0.056	
2 000	93.66	0.117	1983.8	0.267	0.30	0.090	
4 000	93.62	0.258	3960.3	0.427	0.55	0.075	
8 000	93.99	0.332	7922.3	0.427	0.20	0.063	
12 500	93.84 0.259		12660.2	12660.2 0.4		0.056	
16 000	94.09	0.362	15842	2.667	0.10	0.057	

Table 10. NIS reported 94 dB results

Table 11. NIS reported 114 dB results

Frequency setting	L (dB re :	.p 20 μPa)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD+N (%)		
(112)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	
31.5	114.00	0.181	31.63	0.008	0.29	0.056	
63	114.00	0.193	63.13	0.008	0.13	0.056	
125	114.00	0.164	125.89	0.013	0.08	0.055	
250	114.00	0.164	251.3	0.017	0.07	0.055	
500	114.00	0.164	502.49	0.013	0.05	0.055	
1 000	114.00	0.165	1004.9	0.100	0.19	0.055	
2 000	114.00	0.177	1983.8	0.134	0.30	0.056	
4 000	114.00	0.292	3959.9	0.100	1.27	0.060	
8 000	00 114.00 0.338		7921.9	0.100	0.18	0.056	
12 500	00 114.00 0.201		12657.5	0.670	0.05	0.055	
16 000	114.00	0.349	15840.5	0.500	0.14	0.058	

Frequency setting	L (dB re :	.p 20 μPa)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD+N (%)		
(112)	Result (dB)	UoM (dB)	Result (Hz)	UoM (%)	Result (%)	UoM (%)	
31.5	93.96	0.15	31.6	0.2	1.5	0.5	
63	93.99	0.11	63.1	0.2	0.7	0.5	
125	94.07	0.07	125.9	0.2	0.6	0.5	
250	94.06	0.07	251.3	0.2	1.6	0.5	
500	94.03	0.07	502.5	0.2	0.9	0.5	
1 000	94.04	0.07	1005.1	0.2	0.5	0.5	
2 000	94.06	0.07	1978.7	0.2	0.5	0.5	
4 000	94.05	0.07	3957.5	0.2	1.0	0.5	
8 000	94.18 0.11		7914.9 0.2		0.4	0.5	
12 500	94.35	0.17	12663.9	0.2	0.6	0.5	

Table 12. KEBS reported 94 dB results

Table 13. KEBS reported 114 dB results

0.2

0.5

15829.8

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	.p 20 μPa)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD (%	TD+N (%)		
(П2)	Result (dB)	UoM (dB)	Result (Hz)	UoM (%)	Result (%)	UoM (%)		
31.5	113.91	0.15	31.6	0.2	0.3	0.5		
63	113.96	0.11	63.1	0.2	0.1	0.5		
125	114.05	0.07	125.9	0.2	0.1	0.5		
250	0 114.04 0.07		251.3	0.2	0.1	0.5		
500	114.02	0.07	502.5	0.2	0.1	0.5		
1 000	114.03	0.07	1005.1	0.2	0.1	0.5		
2 000	114.04	0.07	1978.7	0.2	0.1	0.5		
4 000	114.00	0.07	3957.5	0.2	1.4	0.5		
8 000	114.13	0.11	7914.9	0.2	0.1	0.5		
12 500	114.14	0.17	12663.9	0.2	0.1	0.5		
16 000	113.84	0.18	15829.8	0.2	0.1	0.5		

16 000

94.17

0.18

0.5

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	p 20 μPa)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD (%	TD+N (%)		
(П2)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM		
31.5	93.97	0.11	31.6	0.1	0.9	0.3		
63	94.05	0.10	63.1	0.1	0.6	0.3		
125	94.05	0.09	125.9	0.1	0.6	0.3		
250	94.03	0.09	251.3	0.1	0.6	0.3		
500	94.03	0.09	502.5	0.1	0.7	0.3		
1 000	94.05	0.09	1 005.1	0.1	0.7	0.3		
2 000	94.06	0.09	1 978.7	0.2	0.8	0.3		
4 000	94.10	0.09	3 957.5	0.4	0.9	0.3		
8 000	94.07	0.08	7 914.9	0.8	0.7	0.3		
12 500	94.18 0.12		12 663.9	1.2	0.5	0.4		
16 000	94.04	0.19	15 829.8	1.5	0.6	0.4		

Table 14. VTT MIKES reported 94 dB results

Table 15. VTT MIKES reported 114 dB results

Frequency setting	L (dB re 2	р 20 µРа)	Freque	ncy (Hz)	TD+N (%)		
(112)	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	Result	UoM	
31.5	113.90	0.11	31.6	0.1	0.3	0.3	
63	113.99	0.09	63.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	
125	114.01	0.09	125.9	0.1	0.1	0.3	
250	0 114.00 0		251.3	0.1	0.1	0.3	
500	113.99	0.09	502.5 0.1		0.1	0.3	
1 000	114.01	0.09	1 005.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	
2 000	114.01	0.09	1 978.7	0.2	0.3	0.3	
4 000	114.03	0.09	3 957.5	0.4	1.4	0.3	
8 000	114.00 0.08		7 914.9	0.8	0.2	0.3	
12 500	113.96	0.12	12 663.9	1.2	0.1	0.4	
16 000	113.72	0.15	15 829.8	1.5	0.1	0.4	

ANNEX C – PARTICIPANTS' UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT MATRIXES

NMISA

	۵۷۸۵۵-4748		94 dB & 114 dB Sound pressure level Frequency (Hz)										
	B&K 4226 s/n: 3003604		31.5	63	125	250	500	1 000	2 000	4 000	8 000	12 500	16 000
Symbol	Standards/Ref Equipment	Qty	<i>u</i> _i (y)	u _i (y)	<i>u</i> _i (у)	u _i (y)	<i>u</i> _i (y)	<i>u</i> _i (y)	<i>u</i> _i (у)	u _i (y)	<i>u</i> _i (y)	u _i (y)	u _i (y)
MP	Reference microphone	-38 dB	0.0250	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150	0.0200	0.0200	0.0300
VP	Polarization voltage accuracy	(200 ± 0,25) V	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055	0.0055
A _{V1}	USB Daq DC accuraccy, Channel 0	± 10 V	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075
A _{V2}	USB Daq DC accuraccy, Channel 1	± 10 V	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075	0.0075
$V_{0,SAM}$	Voltage signal accuracy	± 10 V	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040
V _{1,SAM}	Voltage signal accuracy	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	
G _F	Generator frequency accuracy	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	
$C_{P,Ref}$	Microphone pressure correction (1013 ± 5) mbar		0.0058	0.0058	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029
$C_{t,Ref}$	Microphone temperature correction	(23 ± 1) °C	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012
$C_{H,Ref}$	Microphone humidity correction	50% RH	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
D _{Mp}	Reference microphone sensitivity drift	-38 dB	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017	0.0017
	UUT												
C _{P,UUT}	Source pressure correction	(1013 ± 5) mbar	0.0058	0.0058	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029	0.0029
$C_{t,UUT}$	Source temperature correction	(23 ± 1) °C	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012	0.0012
$C_{h,UUT}$	Source humidity correction	50% RH	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
	Bopootibility COM	dP	0.012	0.014	0.007	0.011	0.007	0.000	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.01
Without ex	ternal generator 94 dB & 114 dB	uВ	0.012	0.014	0.007	0.011	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.018
	$L_{p} U_{(k=2)}$	dB	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.0

Lineartainty component	Standar	d uncerta	inty (in dł	3) at a fre	quency, i	n Hz:					
Concertainty component	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Open-circuit voltage of microphone	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0200
Pressure sensitivity level of microphone	0,0250	0,0250	0,0200	0,0200	0,0150	0,0150	0,0150	0,0150	0,0250	0,0400	0,0400
Change of microphone sensitivity due to drift	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173	0,0173
Influence of polarization voltage	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029
Influence of static pressure on microphone	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007	0,0007
Influence of temperature on microphone	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004	0,0004
Influence of static pressure on calibrator	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137	0,0137
Influence of temperature on calibrator	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012
Rounding	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029	0,0029
Repeatability	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0100	0,0150	0,0200	0,0300	0,0300
Combined uncertainty	0,0365	0,0365	0,0333	0,0333	0,0305	0,0305	0,0305	0,0325	0,0404	0,0557	0,0584
Expanded uncertainty (k=2)	0,0730	0,0730	0,0666	0,0666	0,0611	0,0611	0,0611	0,0650	0,0808	0,1115	0,1167
смс	0,08	0,08	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,09	0,12	0,12

Uncertainty budget of sound pressure level measurement of B&K 4226 multi-frequency calibrator with LS2P microphone

Source of uncertainty A-Reference microphone

Calibration of reference microphone used (Type A, Normal distribution)

The microphone coupled to calibrator is of IEC type LS2, the reported uncertainties lies in the range of 0.015-0.025dB

Drift in sensitivity level between two successive calibrations (Type B, Normal distribution)

The sensitivity levels of the microphones will be seen to drift by a small amount between calibrations, Uncertainties for an interval between calibrations of the reference standard of two times, it lies in the range of 0.0087 - 0.10dB

Difference in sensitivity level between calibration frequencies(Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The sensitivity level of the reference microphone may differ between the frequency at which it is calibrated and the frequency of the calibrator under test. The difference in uncertainty of pressure sensitivity between the frequencies of interest, it lies in the range 0.00080 - 0.115dB

Influence of environmental conditions (static pressure, air temperature, relative humidity)(Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The influence of any deviation from reference environmental conditions on the sound pressure level is well established for most models. It lies in the order of magnitude of (a- pressure $\{0.0016\}$), (b-temperature $\{4.61013E-06 - 0.0030\}$) and (c-humidity- $\{0.00062 - 0.00065\}$) for any error in the applied correction, and estimation of uncertainty.

Polarizing voltage(Type B, Rectangular distribution)

Where the polarizing voltage applied to the microphone strays from the nominal value, an error in the sensitivity level of the microphone is introduced. The uncertainty is 0.00017dB.

NIS

B-calibrator under test

The characteristics of calibrator is known:

Effective load volume of microphone(Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The sound pressure level of the calibrator is measured at the diaphragm of a microphone that is coupled to the calibrator. Therefore, the measured sound pressure level is dependent on the geometry of the microphone and the susceptibility of the calibrator to changes in the effective load volume of the microphone. For convenience, the influence of these effects is discussed in this section. The difference in the level of a CALIBRATOR coupled to microphones of different effective load volumes is well known.

a correction for the difference between the actual effective load volume of the microphone and the nominal value for the CALIBRATOR.

Influence of environmental conditions (static pressure, air temperature, relative humidity)(Type B, Rectangular distribution)

Modern models of electronic sound calibrator generally have very small sensitivities to changes in static pressure, while some models may show widely varying changes in sound pressure level.

Performing the calibration in rooms that provide control temperature to within ± 1 °C of the reference temperature of 23 °C,Relative humidity may also be controlled.

Measurement method

1-Sound pressure level

Repeatability of SPL(Type A, Normal distribution)

laboratory perform ten replications of the measurements in order to establish the repeatability of the test, calculate a Type A uncertainty contribution, based on either the actual results of the repeatability.

Resolution of reported SPL (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

Reporting the sound pressure level to two decimal places of decibels and therefore include an uncertainty term of magnitude.

Error in the voltage (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

uncertainty in calibration of the voltmeter

2-Frequency

Repeatability of frequency (Type A, Normal distribution)

laboratory perform ten replications of the measurements in order to establish the repeatability of the test, calculate a Type A uncertainty contribution, based on either the actual results of the repeatability.

Calibration of DMM(calibration certificate)(Type A, Normal distribution)

TheDMM used is previously calibrated with its certificate and uncertainty value

Rounding error (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The result is reported with a resolution of 0.01 Hz, giving a semi -range of 0.002Hz with a rectangular distribution

Accuracy of the meter to measure frequency (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

adding or subtracting the error value in the calibration certificate of generator at each frequency

3-Total harmonic distortion THD

Repeatability of THD (Type A, Normal distribution)

laboratory perform ten replications of the measurements in order to establish the repeatability of the test, calculate a Type A uncertainty contribution, based on either the actual results of the repeatability.

Resolution (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

How small of change can be read 0.01% with the used device

Accuracy of pulse (Type A, Normal distribution)

Thepulse generator used is previously calibrated with its certificate and uncertainty values

Rounding error (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The result is reported with a resolution of 0.01 %, giving a semi -range of 0.002% with a rectangular distribution

Instability for distortion readings (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

Fluctuation that may be occurred in the reading values during the measurements

Microphone Distortion (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The standard microphone B&K 4180 used has distortion value that can affect the THD% value during the calibration. So, it must be taken in consideration.

Pre-amplifier Distortion (Type B, Rectangular distribution)

The pre-amplifier has a distortion value that must be taken in consideration

										Sound p	pressure level measurements -	B&K 4226 at 9	94 dB	
freque	frequen	cy(Hz) unit	distrib Type	Source of uncertainity										
16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5				
0.025	0.00	0.015	0.01.5	0.01.7	0.015	0.01.5	0.01.7	0.01.7	0.00	0.04			Reteren	ce microphone
0.025	0.02	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.02	0.04	dB	Norma A	Calibration of reference microph	none used
0.1	0.054	0.032	0.016	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.01	0.05	0.012	dB	Norma B	drift in sensitivity level between	n two sucessive
0.116	0.019	0.145	0.12	0.033	0.008	9E-04	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	dB	Rectan _l B	difference in sensitivity level be	etween calibrati
0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	dB	Rectan _l B	influence of static pressure (by	Manufacture)
3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	1E-04	8E-05	4E-05	5E-06	3E-05	dB	Rectan _l B	influence of air temperature (by	Manufacture)
7E-04	7E-04	7E-04	7E-04	6E-04	dB	Rectan _{ B	influence of relative humidity (by Manufactur						
2E-04	dB	Rectanį B	polarizing voltage (by Manufac	ture)										
0.155	0.061	0.149	0.122	0.037	0.019	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.054	0.042		K=2	Combined uncertainity	
													calibrat	or under test
2E-04	dB	Rectan _l B	influence of static pressure (fro	om Inter-compa										
6E-04	dB	Rectan _l B	influence of air temperature (fi	rom Inter-com										
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	dB	Rectan _l B	influence of relative humidity (from Inter-cor
2E-04	dB	Rectangular	Effective volume of sound cali	brator										
6E-04		K=2	Combined uncertainity											
													Measure	ement method
0.089	0.111	0.067	0.029	0.034	0.016	5E-15	0.016	0.021	0.017	0.021	dB	Normal A	repetability of SPL measurement	nts
0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	dB	Rectan _l B	Resolution of reputed SPL	
0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	dB	Rectan _{ B	Error in the voltage	
0.093	0.115	0.073	0.041	0.045	0.033	0.029	0.033	0.036	0.034	0.036			Combined uncertainity	
0.181	0.13	0.166	0.129	0.058	0.039	0.034	0.038	0.04	0.064	0.055			Combined uncertainity	
0.362	0.259	0.332	0.258	0.117	0.077	0.068	0.076	0.081	0.127	0.111			expanded uncertainity Uexp.(@	K=2)

										Frequency	y(Hz)- B&K 4226			
frequer	frequen	frequency	(Hz)											
16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5	()			
												unit		Measurements
1.333	0.2	0.213	0.213	0.133	0.149	0.022	0.013	0.013	2E-15	1E-15		Hz	Normal A	repetability
3E-07		Hz	Normal A	calibration of DMM(calibration certificate)										
0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003		Hz	Rectan ₂ B	rounding error
0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003		Hz	Rectan _{ B	resoltion of DMM
9E-04	7E-04	4E-04	2E-04	1E-04	5E-05	2E-05	5E-06	4E-06	2E-05	2E-05		Hz	Rectan _{ B	accuracy of the meter to measure frequency
1.333	0.2	0.213	0.213	0.133	0.149	0.022	0.014	0.014	0.004	0.004				Combined uncertainity
2.667	0.4	0.427	0.427	0.267	0.298	0.045	0.028	0.028	0.008	0.008				expanded uncertainity Uexp.(@K=2)
										THD tota	harmonic distortion			
frequer	frequency	(Hz)												
16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5	(112)			
10000	12500	0000	1000	2000	1000	200	230	125	05	51.5				
												unit		measurements
0.008	0.004	0.016	0.024	0.035	0.002	0.003	0.014	0.006	0.002	0.004		%	Normal A	repetability
0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003		%	Rectan ₂ B	resolution
0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027		%	Normal A	Accuracy of pulse
0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003		%	Rectan ₂ B	rounding error
0.003	0.003	0.003	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003		%	Rectan ₂ B	Instability for distortion readings
9E-04		%	Rectan ₂ B	Microphone Distortion										
3E-04		%	Rectan ₂ B	Pre-amplifier Distortion										
0.029	0.028	0.032	0.037	0.045	0.028	0.028	0.031	0.028	0.028	0.028				Combined uncertainity
0.057	0.056	0.063	0.075	0.09	0.055	0.055	0.061	0.056	0.055	0.055				expanded uncertainity Uexp.(@K=2)

										Sound	pressu	re leve	el measi	aremer	nts - Ba	&K 42	26 at 1	14dB			Uncertia	anty Budget of Sound pressu	re level measu	ements - B&K 4226 at 114dB	
frequency(F	frequency(Hz)	frequency(Hz)	frequency(I	frequency(I	frequency(F	frequency(H	frequency(frequency(frequency(F	frequency(I	frequency(I)	frequency(H	frequency(I	frequency(I	frequency(I	requency(I	frequency(I	frequency(I	frequency(I	frequency(I	frequency(Hz)	c) unit	distribution Type	Source of uncertainity	
16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5	16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5				
																						_		Reference	microphone
0.025	0.02	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.02	0.04	0.025	0.02	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.02	0.04	dB	NormalA	Calibration of reference micropho	ne used
0.1	0.054	0.0323	0.016	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.01	0.05	0.012	0.1	0.054	0.032	0.016	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.01	0.05	0.012	dB	Normal B	drift in sensitivity level between t	wo sucessive calibrations
0.116	0.0188	0.1445	0.12	0.033	0.008	9E-04	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.116	0.019	0.145	0.12	0.033	0.008	9E-04	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	dB	RectangB	difference in sensitivity level betw	ween calibration frequencies
0.002	0.0016	0.0016	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	dB	RectanįB	influence of static pressure (by M	lanufacture)
3E-04	0.0003	0.0003	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	1E-04	8E-05	4E-05	5E-06	3E-05	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	1E-04	8E-05	4E-05	5E-06	3E-05	dB	RectanįB	influence of air temperature (by M	Manufacture)
7E-04	0.0007	0.0007	7E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	7E-04	7E-04	7E-04	7E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	dB	RectanįB	influence of relative humidity (by	Manufacture)
2E-04	0.0002	0.0002	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	dB	RectangB	polarizing voltage (by Manufactu	re)
0.155	0.0606	0.1488	0.122	0.037	0.019	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.054	0.042	0.155	0.061	0.149	0.122	0.037	0.019	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.054	0.042		K=2	Combined uncertainity	
																								calibrator	r under test
2E-04	0.0002	0.0002	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	dB	RectangB	influence of static pressure (from	n Inter-comparison protocol)
6E-04	0.0006	0.0006	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	dB	Rectan _{ B	influence of air temperature (fro	m Inter-comparison protocol)
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	dB	RectangB	influence of relative humidity (fi	rom Inter-comparison protocol)
2E-04	0.0002	0.0002	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	2E-04	dB	Rectangular	Effective volume of sound calibr	ator
6E-04	0.0006	0.0006	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04	6E-04		K=2	Combined uncertainity	
																								Measuren	nent method
0.075	0.0745	0.0745	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.075	dB	Normal A	repetability of SPL measurements	S
0.029	0.0289	0.0289	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	dB	Rectan _§ B	Resolution of reputed SPL	
0.004	0.0041	0.0041	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	dB	Rectan ₂ B	Error in the voltage	
0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08			Combined uncertainity	
0.174	0.1004	0.169	0.146	0.088	0.082	0.082	0.082	0.082	0.097	0.09	0.174	0.1	0.169	0.146	0.088	0.082	0.082	0.082	0.082	0.097	0.09			Combined uncertainity	
0.349	0.2008	0.338	0.292	0.177	0.165	0.164	0.164	0.164	0.193	0.181	0.349	0.201	0.338	0.292	0.177	0.165	0.164	0.164	0.164	0.193	0.181			expanded uncertainity Uexp.(@K	=2)

										Freque	ency(H	z)- B&	:K 422	6							uncerta	nty Budget of Frequency(Hz	c)- I	3&K 4226		
frequer 16000	frequenc 12500	frequenc 8000	frequer 4000	frequer 2000	frequen 1000	frequer 500	frequer 250	frequer 125	frequer 63	frequen 31.5	frequen 16000	frequen 12500	frequen 8000	frequen 4000	frequer 2000	frequer 1000	frequer 500	frequer 250	frequer	nfrequer 63	frequent 31.5	cy(Hz)				
																						uni	it		Measurements	
0.25	0.3345	0.05	0.05	0.067	0.05	0.005	0.007	0.005	1E-15	6E-16	0.25	0.335	0.05	0.05	0.067	0.05	0.005	0.007	0.005	1E-15	6E-16	Hz		NormalA	repetability	
3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	3E-07	Hz		NormalA	calibration of DMM(calibration certifi	icate)
0.003	0.0029	0.0029	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	Hz		RectanįB	rounding error	
0.003	0.0029	0.0029	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	Hz		RectanįB	resoltion of DMM	
9E-04	0.0007	0.0004	2E-04	1E-04	5E-05	2E-05	5E-06	4E-06	2E-05	2E-05	9E-04	7E-04	4E-04	2E-04	1E-04	5E-05	2E-05	5E-06	4E-06	2E-05	2E-05	Hz		RectanįB	accuracy of the meter to measure frequ	Jency
0.25	0.3346	0.0502	0.05	0.067	0.05	0.006	0.008	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.25	0.335	0.05	0.05	0.067	0.05	0.006	0.008	0.006	0.004	0.004				Combined uncertainity	
0.5	0.6691	0.1003	0.1	0.134	0.1	0.013	0.017	0.013	0.008	0.008	0.5	0.669	0.1	0.1	0.134	0.1	0.013	0.017	0.013	0.008	0.008				expanded uncertainity Uexp.(@K=2)	
frequer	frequenc	frequenc	frequer	frequer	frequen	frequer	freque	frequer	frequer	THD t	otal ha	rmonic	distor	tion frequer	frequer	frequer	frequer	freque	freque	frequer	THD to	otal harmonic distortion cy(Hz)				
16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5	16000	12500	8000	4000	2000	1000	500	250	125	63	31.5					
																						uni	it		measurements	
0.009	0.0008	0.0034	0.009	0.005	0.001	8E-04	8E-04	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.009	8E-04	0.003	0.009	0.005	0.001	8E-04	8E-04	0.001	0.003	0.004	%		Normal A	repetability	
0.003	0.0029	0.0029	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	%		Rectan _{ B	resolution	
0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	%		Normal A	Accuracy of pulse	
0.003	0.0029	0.0029	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	%		Rectan _i B	rounding error	
0.003	0.0029	0.0029	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	%		RectanįB	Instability for distortion readings	
9E-04	0.0009	0.0009	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	9E-04	%		RectanįB	Microphone Distortion	
3E-04	0.0003	0.0003	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	3E-04	%		Rectan _i B	Pre-amplifier Distortion	
0.029	0.0275	0.0277	0.03	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.028	0.029	0.027	0.028	0.03	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.028				Combined uncertainity	
0.058	0.0549	0.0553	0.06	0.056	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.058	0.055	0.055	0.06	0.056	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055				expanded uncertainity $Leyn(\mathbf{a}K-2)$	

KEBS Acoustics and Vibration Laboratory

KEBS

IV-Sound Calibrator Calibration Unc.xlsx

Printed:21-02-22

Uncertainty Budget for sound calibrator level measurement us	ing Refe	rence microph	one B&K 4180, Reciprocity calibration system B&K Type 5998 and Flu	ike 8508A Reference Multi-Meter.													
The measurement model		$L_p = \frac{V_{o(Meas)}}{S_{o(Meas)}}$)))														
Where L _p is the sound output level of the sound calibrator under tes	t.																
Vo(Meas) is the open circuit voltage output of the reference microphon	e at mea	surment condition	ons														
Sources) is the open circuit sensitivity of the reference microphone at	measure	ment conditions															
TempCorr is the temperature correction to standard conditions																	
PressCorr is the pressure correction to standard conditions																	
Contributors	Туре	Distribution	Uncertainty Source/description	Uncertainty u(x _i)	Divisor					Standar	rd Uncertai	inty u(y _i)					Unit
						31.6 Hz	63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	12.5 kHz	16 kHz	_
Microphone calibration uncertainty	В	N	Microphone calibration uncertainty	0.07 dB at 31.6 kHz, 0.04 dB between 31.6 kHz to 8 kHz, and 0.07 dB above 8 kHz	2.00	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	dB
Deviation from sensitivity at measurement conditions	в	R	Maximum deviation from reported measurement conditions for which microphone sensitivity at measurement conditions is valid	0.02 dB below 8 kHz and 0.04 dB above 8 kHz	2√3	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	dB
Low frequency pressure leakage	в	R	Estimate based on expected output	0.03 dB at 31.6 Hz and 0.005 above 31.6 Hz and below 8 kHz, and 0.00 above 8 kHz	2√3	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Microphone sensitiity deviation at specific 4226 frequency	в	R	Microphone sensitivity response curve	Negligible upto 1 kHz, 0.00042 dB at 2 kHz, 0.0012 dB at 4 kHz, 0.0015 dB at 8 kHz, 0.0057 dB at 12.5 kHz, and 0.001 at 16 kHz.	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Reference multimeter calibration	В	N	Reference multimeter calibration certificate	0.041 dB ≤ 63 Hz, 0.033 dB from 125 Hz to 4 kHz, 0.04 dB at 8 kHz, 0.055 dB ≥ 12.5 kHz	2.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	dB
Signal noise and distortion	В	R	From laboratory checks	≤ 0.002 dB	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Polarisation voltage	В	R	From laboratory measurement	Estimated to be less than 0.001 dB for polarization voltage within \pm 0.01 V of 200 V.	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Insert voltage measurement uncertainty	В	R	From reference documentation		2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Resolution	В	R	Reference multimeter effective resolution	0.0003 dB	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Type 5998 gain	В	R	From laboratory measurements	0.2 dB at 31.6 Hz, 0.14 dB at 63 Hz, ≤ 0.05 dB above 63 Hz	2√3	0.06	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	dB
			, ,													4	+
Temperature stabiltiy during measurement	в	R	Difference between maximum and minimum temperature during measurements	< 1 °C translating to less than 0.002 dB	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Frequency dependence of temperature correction coefficient	в	R	Deviation of temperature coefficient from value at 250 Hz	≤ 0.0004 dB upto 4 kHz, < 0.003 dB at 8 kHz, ≤ 0.006 dB from 12.5 kHz to 16 kHz	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
							•						•				
Pressure stability during measurement	в	R	Difference between maximum and minimum pressure during measurements	< 2 hPa translating to less than 0.00055 dB	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	dB
Frequency dependence of pressure correction coefficient	в	R	Deviation of pressure coefficient from value at 250 Hz	≤ 0.006 dB from 31.6 Hz to 2 kHz, 0.004 dB at 4 kHz, 0.13 dB at 8 kHz and 0.23 dB from 12.5 kHz to 16 kHz	2√3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.07	dB
Repeatability	Α	N	Standard deviation of replicated measurements	0.01 dB below 2 kHz, 0.02 dB at 4 kHz, 0.04 dB at 8 kHz to 12.5 kHz, 0.07 dB at 16 kHz	√(N)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	dB
			Combined Standard Uncertainty u _o (y)			0.07	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.09	dB
			Coverage Factor for 95.45%			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
			Expanded Uncertainty U			0.15	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.18	dB
			Stated Values			0.15	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.18	dB
						31.6 HZ	63 HZ	120 HZ	200 HZ	300 HZ	1 KHZ	2 KHZ	4 KHZ	8 KHZ	12.3 KHZ	16 KHZ	1
$\boldsymbol{u}_{o}(\boldsymbol{y})$ is the combined standard uncertainty and is given by	$\sqrt{u(y)}$	$(y_1)^2 + u(y_2)^2 \dots$	$(+ u(y_n)^2)$ The expanded uncertainty U is then given by multiplication.	lying the standard uncertainty $u_o(y)$ by a coverage factor of 2. The unit of uncert	tainty is dE	L.											

KEBS Acoustics and Vibration Laboratory IV-Sound Calibrator Calibration Unc.xlsx

Printed:21-02-22

Uncertainty Budget	for sou	nd calibrator f	frequency measurement using Reference microphone B&K 4180), Reciprocity calibration system B&K Type 5998	and Fluk	e 8508A R	eference i	multimete	r.								
Ocuteibutere		Distribution	Unantainte Sauras/daassintian	Uncertainty u(x)	Divisor					Standa	rd Uncerta	inty u(y _i)					11.14
Contributors	туре	Distribution	Uncertainty Source/description	Uncertainty u(x _i)	Divisor	31.6 Hz	63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	12.5 kHz	16 kHz	Unit
Reference multimeter	В	N	Multimeter frequency calibration certificate	0.16 % maximum across all frequencies	2.00	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	%
Calibration chain	В	R	Maximum distortion of the signal due to pre-amplifier, reciprocity aparatus and band pass filter	0.0025 % at 31.6 Hz, 0.0013 % at 63 Hz, ≤ 0.0007 % above 63 Hz.	2v3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	%
Repeatability	Α	N	Standard deviation of replicated measurements	0.001% at 31.6 Hz, ≤ 0.0002% above 31.6 Hz	√(N)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	%
			Combined Standard Uncertainty u _c (y)			0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	%
			Coverage Factor for 95.45%			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
			Expanded Uncertainty U			0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	%
			Stated Values			0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	%
u _c (y) is the combined st	andard (uncertainty and i	s given by $\sqrt{u(y_1)^2 + u(y_2)^2 \dots + u(y_n)^2}$ The expanded un	ncertainty ${f U}$ is then given by multiplying the standard unc	ertainty u _c	(y) by a cov	rerage facto	or of 2 . The	unit of unce	rtainty is pe	ercent, relat	ive to the fr	equency me	easurement	-		

Uncertainty Budget fo	or sou	nd calibrator (distortion measurement using Reference microphone B&K 4180	, Reciprocity calibration system B&K Type 5998 a	and Rohr	le & Schw	arz R&S F	SMR Mea	suring Re	ceiver.							
Cantributara	Turne	Distribution	Uncertainty Service/deparintian	In a stainty u(vi)	Divisor					Standar	rd Uncertai	inty u(y _i)					Unit
Contributors	Type	Distribution	Uncertainty Source/description	Uncertainty u(xi)	DIVISOR	31.6 Hz	63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	12.5 kHz	16 kHz	Unit
FSMR Receiver Residual noise	В	R	Manufucturer specification	0.007 %	2v3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	%
FSMR Receiver Inherent total harmonic distortion	в	R	Manufucturer specification	<0.2% above 100 Hz, estimated to be <0.5% below 100 Hz	2v3	0.14	0.14	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	%
Microphone system	в	R	Maximum levels based on laboratory checks	0.03 % at 31.6 Hz, 0.02 % at 63 Hz, 0.01 % from 125 Hz to 12.5 kHz, and 0.02 % at 16 kHz	2v3	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	
Repeatability	Α	N	Standard deviation of replicated measurements	≤ 0.2 % across all frequencies	√(N)	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	%
			Combined Standard Uncertainty uc(y)			0.20	0.20	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	%
			Coverage Factor for 95.45%			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
			Expanded Uncertainty U	·		0.41	0.41	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	%
			Stated Values			0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	%
u _c (y) is the combined sta	Indard I	uncertainty and i	s given by $\sqrt{u(y_1)^2 + u(y_2)^2 \dots + u(y_n)^2}$ The expanded ur	ncertainty U is then given by multiplying the standard unce	ertainty u _c	(y) by a cov	/erage facto	or of 2 . The u	unit of uncer	rtainty is pe	arcent, relati	ive to the fre	aquency me	asurement	2		

VTT MIKES

Frequency (Hz)	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Component (dB)											
Microphone sensitivity	0,0400	0,0300	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0400	0,0500
Barometric pressure	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0002	0,0003	0,0006
Pressure dependency	0,0343	0,0342	0,0349	0,0346	0,0345	0,0335	0,0335	0,0335	0,0269	0,0388	0,0758
Temperature	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0093	0,0160	0,0117
Temperature dependency	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Polarisation voltage	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022
Insert voltage	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087
Voltage ratio	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087
Type-A	0,0030	0,0057	0,0041	0,0024	0,0037	0,0057	0,0045	0,0036	0,0039	0,0042	0,0045
Combined	0,0542	0,0472	0,0447	0,0445	0,0444	0,0436	0,0437	0,0436	0,0399	0,0593	0,0924
Expanded (k=2), rounded up	0,11	0,10	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,08	0,12	0,19

Uncertainty budget for level at 94 dB setting

Uncertainty budget for frequency at 94 dB setting

Frequency (Hz)	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Component (dB)											
Measurement	0,0014	0,0028	0,0056	0,0113	0,0225	0,0450	0,0900	0,1800	0,3600	0,5625	0,7200
Specification	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010
Combined	0,0017	0,0030	0,0057	0,0113	0,0225	0,0450	0,0900	0,1800	0,3600	0,5625	0,7200
Expanded (k=2), rounded up	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,4	0,8	1,2	1,5

Uncertainty budget for TD+N at 94 dB setting

Frequency (Hz)	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Component (dB)											
Amplifier chain TD+noise	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,095	0,075	0,075
Calibration specification	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,150	0,150
Combined	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,138	0,168	0,168
Expanded (k=2), rounded up	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,4	0,4

_

Uncertainty budget for level at 114 dB setting

Frequency (Hz)	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Component (dB)											
Microphone sensitivity	0,0400	0,0300	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0250	0,0400	0,0500
Barometric pressure	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0003	0,0002	0,0003	0,0006
Pressure dependency	0,0303	0,0309	0,0313	0,0310	0,0294	0,0300	0,0302	0,0301	0,0243	0,0360	0,0718
Temperature	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0012	0,0093	0,0160	0,0117
Temperature dependency	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Polarisation voltage	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022	0,0022
Insert voltage	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087
Voltage ratio	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087	0,0087
Type-A	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011	0,0011
Combined	0,0517	0,0449	0,0420	0,0417	0,0406	0,0410	0,0412	0,0411	0,0382	0,0575	0,0892
Expanded (k=2), rounded up	0,11	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,09	0,08	0,12	0,18

Uncertainty budget for frequency at 114 dB setting

Frequency (Hz)	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Component (dB)											
Measurement	0,0014	0,0028	0,0056	0,0113	0,0225	0,0450	0,0900	0,1800	0,3600	0,5625	0,7200
Specification	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010	0,0010
Combined	0,0017	0,0030	0,0057	0,0113	0,0225	0,0450	0,0900	0,1800	0,3600	0,5625	0,7200
Expanded (k=2), rounded up	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,4	0,8	1,2	1,5

Uncertainty budget TD+N at 114 dB setting

Frequency (Hz)	31,5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	12500	16000
Component (dB)											
Amplifier chain TD+noise	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,018	0,015	0,015
Calibration specification	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,150	0,150
Combined	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,102	0,151	0,151
Expanded (k=2), rounded up	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,4	0,4

ANNEX C – OUTLIER RESULTS

Results identified as being outliers and that were communicated to NIS.

SPL range	Frequency	Reported SPL results
	125	х
	250	x
	500	х
94 dB	1 000	х
	2 000	x
	4 000	х
	12 500	х

Table 16. Identified outliers of NIS 94 dB sound pressure level results

Table 17. I	dentified	outliers	of NIS	114 dB	sound	pressure	level results
-------------	-----------	----------	--------	--------	-------	----------	---------------

SPL range	Frequency	Reported SPL results
	31.5	х
	63	х
	125	х
	250	Х
	500	х
114 dB	1 000	х
	2 000	х
	4 000	х
	8 000	х
	12 500	x
	16 000	х

Tables 18. 94 dB Sound pressure level results evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing acceptance limits (left). Associated sound pressure level uncertainties evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (right). Green = Pass, Red = Fail.

94 dB	Sound pressure level acceptance limits (IEC 60942: 2017 Table 2, Class 1)					94 dB	Sound pressure level maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (k = 2) (IEC 60942: 2017 Table A.1, Class 1)								
frequency	Class 1		94 dB re 20 μPa (dB)						frequency	Class 1	94 dB sound pressure level				
(HZ)	(± dB)	Lower limit	Upper limit	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES	(HZ)	(dB)	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	
31.5	0.30	93.70	94.30	93.91	93.93	93.77	93.96	93.97	31.5	0.20	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.15	0.11
63	0.30	93.70	94.30	94.01	94.01	93.85	93.99	94.05	63	0.20	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.10
125	0.30	93.70	94.30	94.02	94.02	93.83	94.07	94.05	125	0.20	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09
250	0.25	93.75	94.25	94.00	94.02	93.84	94.06	94.03	250	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09
500	0.25	93.75	94.25	94.01	94.01	93.80	94.03	94.03	500	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09
1 000	0.25	93.75	94.25	94.02	94.03	93.84	94.04	94.05	1 000	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09
2 000	0.35	93.65	94.35	94.06	94.04	93.66	94.06	94.06	2 000	0.25	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.07	0.09
4 000	0.35	93.65	94.35	94.01	94.12	93.62	94.05	94.10	4 000	0.25	0.05	0.07	0.26	0.07	0.09
8 000	0.45	93.55	94.45	94.03	94.11	93.99	94.18	94.07	8 000	0.35	0.05	0.09	0.33	0.11	0.08
12 500	0.50	93.50	94.50	94.23	94.21	93.84	94.35	94.18	12 500	0.50	0.05	0.12	0.26	0.17	0.12
16 000	0.50	93.50	94.50	94.02	94.16	94.09	94.17	94.04	16 000	0.50	0.08	0.12	0.36	0.18	0.19

Tables 19. 114 dB Sound pressure level results evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing acceptance limits (left). Associated sound pressure level uncertainties evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (right). Green = Pass, Red = Fail, White = not evaluated.

114 dB	Sound pressure level acceptance limits (IEC 60942: 2017 Table 2, Class 1)										
frequency	Class 1 114 dB re 20 μPa (dB)										
(HZ)	(± dB)	Lower limit	Upper limit	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS				
31.5	0.30	113.70	114.30	113.88	113.88	114.00	113.91	113.90			
63	0.30	113.70	114.30	113.99	113.98	114.00	113.96	113.99			
125	0.30	113.70	114.30	114.01	114.01	114.00	114.05	114.01			
250	0.25	113.75	114.25	114.00	114.00	114.00	114.04	114.00			
500	0.25	113.75	114.25	113.99	114.00	114.00	114.02	113.99			
1 000	0.25	113.75	114.25	114.01	114.01	114.00	114.03	114.01			
2 000	0.35	113.65	114.35	114.05	114.01	114.00	114.04	114.01			
4 000	0.35	113.65	114.35	113.97	114.06	114.00	114.00	114.03			
8 000	0.45	113.55	114.45	113.99	114.05	114.00	114.13	114.00			
12 500	0.50	113.50	114.50	114.03	114.00	114.00	114.14	113.96			
16 000	0.50	113.50	114.50	113.68	113.81	114.00	113.84	113.72			

114 dB	Sound pressure level maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (k = 2) (IEC 60942: 2017 Table A.1, Class 1)											
frequency	Class 1	114 dB sound pressure level										
(Hz)	(dB)	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES						
31.5	0.20	0.06	0.08	0.18	0.15	0.11						
63	0.20	0.05	0.08	0.19	0.11	0.09						
125	0.20	0.05	0.07	0.16	0.07	0.09						
250	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.16	0.07	0.09						
500	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.16	0.07	0.09						
1 000	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.09						
2 000	0.25	0.05	0.07	0.18	0.07	0.09						
4 000	0.25	0.05	0.07	0.29	0.07	0.09						
8 000	0.35	0.05	0.09	0.34	0.11	0.08						
12 500	0.50	0.05	0.12	0.20	0.17	0.12						
16 000	0.50	0.08	0.12	0.35	0.18	0.15						

Tables 20. 94 dB Frequency results evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing acceptance limits (left). Associated frequency uncertainties evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (right). Green = Pass, Red = Fail.

94 dB	Frequency acceptance limits (IEC 60942: 2017 Table 4, Class 1)												
frequency	Class 1	Frequency (Hz)											
(HZ)	(%)	Lower limit	Upper limit	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES					
31.62	0.7	31.40	31.84	31.63	31.63	31.63	31.6	31.6					
63.10	0.7	62.65	63.54	63.13	63.13	63.13	63.1	63.1					
125.89	0.7	125.01	126.77	125.88	125.9	125.88	125.9	125.9					
251.19	0.7	249.43	252.95	251.27	251.3	251.32	251.3	251.3					
501.19	0.7	497.68	504.70	502.54	502.5	502.46	502.5	502.5					
1 000.0	0.7	993.0	1 007.0	1 005.1	1 005.1	1 005.0	1 005.1	1 005.1					
1 995.3	0.7	1 981.3	2 009.2	1 978.7	1 978.7	1 983.8	1 978.7	1 978.7					
3 981.1	0.7	3 953.2	4 008.9	3 957.5	3 957.5	3 960.3	3 957.5	3 957.5					
7 943.3	0.7	7 887.7	7 998.9	7 914.9	7 914.9	7 922.3	7 914.9	7 914.9					
12 589.3	0.7	12 501.1	12 677.4	12 663.9	12 663.9	12 660.2	12 663.9	12 663.9					
15 848.9	0.7	15 738.0	15 959.9	15 829.9	15 829.9	15 842.0	15 829.8	15 829.8					

94 dB	Frequency maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (k = 2) (IEC 60942: 2017 Table A.2, Class 1)											
frequency	Cla	ss 1	Frequency (Hz)									
(112)	(%)	(Hz)	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES					
31.62	0.2	0.22	0.01	0.05	0.008	0.1	0.1					
63.10	0.2	0.44	0.02	0.05	0.008	0.1	0.1					
125.89	0.2	0.88	0.04	0.1	0.028	0.3	0.1					
251.19	0.2	1.76	0.08	0.1	0.028	0.5	0.1					
501.19	0.2	3.51	0.15	0.1	0.045	1.0	0.1					
1 000.0	0.2	7.0	0.3	0.1	0.298	2.0	0.1					
1 995.3	0.2	14.0	0.6	0.1	0.267	4.0	0.2					
3 981.1	0.2	27.9	1.2	0.1	0.427	8.0	0.4					
7 943.3	0.2	55.6	2.4	0.1	0.427	15.9	0.8					
12 589.3	0.2	88.1	3.8	0.1	0.400	25.2	1.2					
15 848.9	0.2	110.9	4.8	0.2	2.667	31.7	1.5					

Tables 21. 114 dB Frequency results evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing acceptance limits (left). Associated frequency uncertainties evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (right). Green = Pass, Red = Fail.

114 dB	Frequency acceptance limits 114 dB (IEC 60942: 2017 Table 4, Class 1) Expected							114 dB	Frequency maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (<i>k</i> = 2) (IEC 60942: 2017 Table A.2, Class 1)							
frequency	Class 1	Frequency (Hz)						frequency	Cla	ss 1			Frequency (Hz)		
(112)	(%)	Lower limit	Upper limit	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES	(HZ)	(%)	(Hz)	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES
31.62	0.7	31.40	31.84	31.63	31.63	31.63	31.6	31.6	31.62	0.2	0.22	0.01	0.05	0.008	0.1	0.1
63.10	0.7	62.65	63.54	63.13	63.13	63.13	63.1	63.1	63.10	0.2	0.44	0.02	0.05	0.008	0.1	0.1
125.89	0.7	125.01	126.77	125.88	125.9	125.89	125.9	125.9	125.89	0.2	0.88	0.04	0.1	0.013	0.3	0.1
251.19	0.7	249.43	252.95	251.27	251.3	251.3	251.3	251.3	251.19	0.2	1.76	0.08	0.1	0.017	0.5	0.1
501.19	0.7	497.68	504.70	502.54	502.5	502.49	502.5	502.5	501.19	0.2	3.51	0.15	0.1	0.013	1.0	0.1
1 000.0	0.7	993.0	1 007.0	1 005.1	1 005.1	1 004.9	1 005.1	1 005.1	1 000.0	0.2	7.0	0.3	0.1	0.100	2.0	0.1
1 995.3	0.7	1 981.3	2 009.2	1 978.7	1 978.7	1 983.8	1 978.7	1 978.7	1 995.3	0.2	14.0	0.6	0.1	0.134	4.0	0.2
3 981.1	0.7	3 953.2	4 008.9	3 957.5	3 957.5	3 959.9	3 957.5	3 957.5	3 981.1	0.2	27.9	1.2	0.1	0.100	8.0	0.4
7 943.3	0.7	7 887.7	7 998.9	7 914.9	7 914.9	7 921.9	7 914.9	7 914.9	7 943.3	0.2	55.6	2.4	0.1	0.100	15.9	0.8
12 589.3	0.7	12 501.1	12 677.4	12 663.9	12 663.9	12 657.5	12 663.9	12 663.9	12 589.3	0.2	88.1	3.8	0.1	0.670	25.2	1.2
15 848.9	0.7	15 738.0	15 959.9	15 829.9	15 829.9	15 840.5	15 829.8	15 829.8	15 848.9	0.2	110.9	4.8	0.2	0.500	31.7	1.5

Tables 22. 94 dB TD+N results evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing acceptance limits (left). Associated TD+N uncertainties evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (right). Green = Pass, Red = Fail.

94 dB	Maximum total distortion + noise (IEC 60942: 2017 Table 7, Class 1)											
frequency	Class 1	TD+N (%)										
(112)	(%)	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES						
31.5	3.0	0.50	0.5	0.44	1.5	0.9						
63	3.0	0.17	0.2	0.03	0.7	0.6						
125	3.0	0.15	0.3	0.05	0.6	0.6						
250	2.5	0.18	0.4	0.07	1.6	0.6						
500	2.5	0.33	0.5	0.07	0.9	0.7						
1 000	2.5	0.40	0.5	0.18	0.5	0.7						
2 000	3.0	0.45	0.6	0.30	0.5	0.8						
4 000	3.0	0.68	0.7	0.55	1.0	0.9						
8 000	3.0	0.40	0.5	0.20	0.4	0.7						
12 500	3.0	0.32	0.4	0.07	0.6	0.5						
16 000	3.0	0.30	0.4	0.10	0.5	0.6						

94 dB	Total distort	ion + noise ma (IEC	ximum-permi 260942: 2017	tted uncertain Table A.3, Clas	ity of measure is 1)	ment (<i>k</i> = 2)					
frequency	Class 1	TD+N (%)									
(12)	(%)	NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES					
31.5	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.50	0.3					
63	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.50	0.3					
125	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.50	0.3					
250	0.5	0.30	0.2	0.061	0.50	0.3					
500	0.5	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.50	0.3					
1 000	0.5	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.50	0.3					
2 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.090	0.50	0.3					
4 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.075	0.50	0.3					
8 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.063	0.50	0.3					
12 500	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.50	0.4					
16 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.057	0.50	0.4					

Tables 23. 114 dB TD+N results evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing acceptance limits (left). Associated TD+N uncertainties evaluated against IEC 60942: 2017 periodic testing maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (right). Green = Pass, Red = Fail.

114 dB	Maximum total distortion + noise (IEC 60942: 2017 Table 7, Class 1)											
frequency	Class 1	TD+N (%)										
(HZ)	(%)	NMISA GUM NIS KEBS VT										
31.5	3.0	0.31	0.2	0.29	0.3	0.3						
63	3.0	0.13	0.2	0.13	0.1	0.1						
125	3.0	0.09	0.2	0.08	0.1	0.1						
250	2.5	0.08	0.1	0.07	0.1	0.1						
500	2.5	0.15	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.1						
1 000	2.5	0.24	0.2	0.19	0.1	0.2						
2 000	3.0	0.31	0.3	0.30	0.1	0.3						
4 000	3.0	1.31	1.3	1.27	1.4	1.4						
8 000	3.0	0.25	0.25 0.3 0.18 0.1 0.2									
12 500	3.0	0.09 0.1 0.05 0.1 0.1										
16 000	3.0	0.11	0.2	0.14	0.1	0.1						

114 dB Nominal frequency (Hz)	Total distortion + noise maximum-permitted uncertainty of measurement (k = 2) (IEC 60942: 2017 Table A.3, Class 1)					
	Class 1 (%)	TD+N (%)				
		NMISA	GUM	NIS	KEBS	VTT MIKES
31.5	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.5	0.3
63	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.5	0.3
125	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.055	0.5	0.3
250	0.5	0.30	0.2	0.055	0.5	0.3
500	0.5	0.30	0.2	0.055	0.5	0.3
1 000	0.5	0.30	0.2	0.055	0.5	0.3
2 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.5	0.3
4 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.060	0.5	0.3
8 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.056	0.5	0.3
12 500	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.055	0.5	0.4
16 000	1.0	0.30	0.2	0.058	0.5	0.4