

Protocol for the Key Comparison BIPM.QM-K2.a and b, Carbon Dioxide in air or nitrogen, ambient levels ($350 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to $800 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$)

Coordinating laboratory: Bureau International des Poids et Mesures

Contact person: Joële Viallon, BIPM, Pavillon de Breteuil, F-92312 France, jviallon@bipm.org.

Contents

1	PURPOSE AND SCOPE.....	2
2	PARTICIPANTS.....	2
3	MEASUREMENT SCHEDULE AND REGISTRATION.....	2
4	MEASURAND, QUANTITIES AND UNITS.....	2
5	PREPARATION OF MIXTURES BY PARTICIPANTS	3
5.1	Nominal CO ₂ amount fractions	3
5.2	Matrix Composition for Standards prepared in air (Part a)	3
5.3	Matrix composition for standards prepared in nitrogen	4
5.4	Cylinder characteristics and volume of gas used	4
6	VERIFICATION OF MIXTURES.....	4
6.1	Before shipment	4
6.2	After shipment	4
7	TRANSPORT OF CYLINDERS TO AND FROM THE BIPM	5
8	COMPARISON MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	5
8.1	Preparation of the BIPM comparison facility	5
8.2	Preparation and connection of the cylinders	5
8.3	Analysis of mixtures	6
8.4	Analysis of N ₂ O amount fractions in standards with air matrix	6
9	UNCERTAINTY BUDGETS.....	6
9.1	Uncertainty budgets for the participants standards	6
9.2	Uncertainty budget of the BIPM PVT-CO ₂	6
10	KEY COMPARISON REFERENCE VALUES (KCRVS)	7
11	DEGREES OF EQUIVALENCE.....	7
12	SUPPORT OF CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITIES.....	7
13	REPORTING AND PUBLICATION OF RESULTS.....	8
14	BIBLIOGRAPHY	8

1 Purpose and scope

The Key Comparisons BIPM.QM-K2.a and b are aimed at underpinning the capabilities of the participants to value assign the amount fraction of CO₂ in air (part a) or in nitrogen (part b) in gas standards over the amount fraction range from 350 μmol mol⁻¹ to 800 μmol mol⁻¹, with a method ensuring traceability of the measurements to the SI. The standards to be sent by the participants are reference gas mixtures in high pressure cylinders, further described in section 5 of this protocol.

The comparison is run as a series of bilateral comparisons between each participant and the BIPM. The facility maintained by the BIPM (the so called the CO₂-PVT facility) provides the Key Comparison Reference Value (KCRV) in each case and quantifies CO₂ amount fractions in air or nitrogen samples via measurements of the pressure and temperature of the sample and of the CO₂ extracted from it by cryogenic trapping. Its performance have been validated during the Pilot Study CCQM-P225 [1], and described in detail in a publication [2].

Participants can select one or up to all three of the nominal amount fraction of CO₂ covered by the comparison: 380 μmol mol⁻¹ (acceptable range 350 to 430 μmol mol⁻¹); 480 (430 to 530 μmol mol⁻¹); 800 (530 to 800 μmol mol⁻¹). The standards shall contain CO₂ in a matrix of dry air (part a) or nitrogen (part b), with constraints imposed on the composition of this matrix (see section 5), and in particular the N₂O amount fractions shall be reported by participants with standard uncertainties of 5 nmol mol⁻¹ or better.

2 Participants

BIPM.QM-K2 is open to laboratories listed in Appendix A of the CIPM MRA, available on the BIPM website (<https://www.bipm.org/en/cipm-mra/participation>).

3 Measurement schedule and registration

Laboratories wishing to participate should register their interest using the registration form provided (BIPM.QM-K2-R1) and return this to the coordinator at least three months before the requested comparison date. A date will then be agreed between the laboratory and the coordinator, which may differ from the proposal depending on the workload of the BIPM.

The minimum period for completion of measurements at the BIPM is one week with a maximum of one month. In the event of technical issues which would extend this duration, the coordinator will contact the participant to agree on the way forward. The laboratory should take into account the time for the transport of cylinders to and from the BIPM based on its knowledge of dangerous goods' shipments between its country and France. More details regarding transport of cylinders are provided in section 8.

4 Measurand, quantities and units

The measurand is the amount fraction of carbon dioxide in air (part a) and amount fraction of carbon dioxide in nitrogen (part b), with measurement results being expressed in mol mol⁻¹ (or one of its multiples mmol mol⁻¹, μmol mol⁻¹ or nmol mol⁻¹).

5 Preparation of mixtures by participants

The mixtures are to be prepared and/or analysed by participants using their usual procedure, with the constraints detailed below:

5.1 Nominal CO₂ amount fractions

The participant is required to provide a standard at one or all of the nominal amount fractions summarized in Table 1, and in the matrix for the part (a - air or b - nitrogen) of the comparison they participate in.

Table 1: Nominal amount fractions and acceptable ranges of standards to be submitted for measurement at the BIPM

Standard Submitted	CO ₂ amount fraction nominal value (μmol mol ⁻¹)	CO ₂ amount fraction acceptable range (μmol mol ⁻¹)
1	380	350 to 430
2	480	430 to 530
3	800	530 to 800

5.2 Matrix Composition for Standards prepared in air (Part a)

Standards shall have a dry air matrix, which can be either *scrubbed real air* or *synthetic air* (blended from pure gases). The matrix shall contain the major constituents of air (nitrogen, oxygen, argon) and may contain nitrous oxide and methane at up to ambient amount fractions. The BIPM reference facility results are influenced nitrous oxide amount fraction but only weakly influenced by changes in the amount fractions of the major constituents of air (nitrogen, oxygen, argon). Participants are required to report the nitrous oxide amount fraction in their standards, with a standard measurement uncertainty of 5 nmol/mol or better. In the case that participants are preparing standards for calibration of precise atmospheric measurements using spectroscopic instruments, then these are affected by minor changes in matrix composition, and guidelines for acceptable limits of the amount fraction of the major constituents for such applications are listed in Table 1 and 3. Participants shall report the amount fractions of the major air constituents in their standards.

Table 1: Guideline for matrix composition limits for standards with CO₂ amount fractions below 530 μmol/mol

Species	'Ambient' level amount fraction	Unit	Min amount fraction	Unit	Max amount fraction	Unit
N ₂	0.7809	mol/mol	0.7804	mol/mol	0.7814	mol/mol
O ₂	0.2093	mol/mol	0.2088	mol/mol	0.2098	mol/mol
Ar	0.0093	mol/mol	0.0089	mol/mol	0.0097	mol/mol
N ₂ O	335	nmol/mol	0	nmol/mol	400	nmol/mol
CH ₄	1900	nmol/mol	0	nmol/mol	1900	nmol/mol

Table 2: Guideline for matrix composition limits for standards with CO₂ amount fractions above 530 μmol/mol

Species	Ambient level amount fraction	Unit	Min amount fraction	Unit	Max amount fraction	Unit
N ₂	0.7809	mol/mol	0.7789	mol/mol	0.7829	mol/mol
O ₂	0.2093	mol/mol	0.2073	mol/mol	0.2113	mol/mol
Ar	0.0093	mol/mol	0.0078	mol/mol	0.0108	mol/mol
N ₂ O	335	nmol/mol	0	nmol/mol	400	nmol/mol
CH ₄	1900	nmol/mol	0	nmol/mol	2000	nmol/mol

5.3 Matrix composition for standards prepared in nitrogen

Binary mixtures shall be prepared following the requirements of ISO 6142 and ISO 19229 for preparation of gravimetric standards and purity respectively. A matrix composition table shall be supplied with each cylinder submitted. In particular, attention shall be paid to nitrous oxide amount fractions, that shall be reported and should be below 10 nmol mol, and reported with a standard uncertainty of 5 nmol mol⁻¹ or better.

5.4 Cylinder characteristics and volume of gas used

Mixtures are to be prepared in cylinders with a volume equal or greater than 5 L, with total pressure in the range 100 bar to 150 bar and preferably fitted with a cylinder valve which conforms to one of the standards DIN, BS, AFNOR, CGA or JIS. Participants will be asked to provide this information to the BIPM upon registration. The BIPM measurements will use a minimum of 30 L of gas (at room temperature and pressure) and exceptionally 60 L of gas in case of a problem with one of the measurements runs on the standard.

6 Verification of mixtures

Participants may perform a verification of their mixtures before and after shipment, according to the principles listed below. The mixtures sent for the comparison are expected to be stable over the time period of the comparison, and any allowance for standard instability should be included as a component of the uncertainty submitted by the participants with their value at the start of the comparison. Verification by participants of their standards after completion of measurements at the BIPM, will allow the participant to confirm their uncertainty budget or increase the component accounting for stability.

6.1 Before shipment

Typically, the verification of the mixtures will have be performed within a year prior to their shipment, and participants should use this information for the uncertainty evaluation.

6.2 After shipment

After measurements at the BIPM and prior to the preparation of the Draft A the laboratories will have the opportunity to perform another verification of their standards. The final results shall then be submitted to the BIPM within 4 months after the

comparison measurements at the BIPM. No report will be written until the coordinator is informed of the final values.

7 Transport of cylinders to and from the BIPM

Cylinders shall be shipped to the BIPM no later than one week before the comparison date. The participating laboratory shall cover the cost and organise transport of their cylinders to the BIPM (door to door delivery), and to ensure that proper arrangements are made for local customs formalities. There are three likely scenarios depending on the location of the participating laboratory:

- countries within the E.U.;
- countries outside the E.U. and where the ATA carnet system is recognised;
- countries outside the E.U. but where the ATA is not recognised.

Laboratories are invited to consult the BIPM administrative document ADM-DOU-T-02 – *Information for laboratories shipping equipment to the BIPM for comparisons* - for additional information regarding the steps to be taken in each of the three cases above.

Laboratories are invited to inform the BIPM of its transport and customs arrangements prior to the cylinders leaving their laboratory by completing and returning the BIPM administrative document ADM-DOU-F-02 – *Shipping instructions for comparisons*. Any additional cost associated with custom clearance process which may be applied in case no form has been received will be charged to the participant.

At the conclusion of the comparison period the participants are responsible for the arrangements and costs of shipping the cylinders from the BIPM back to their laboratories. Any cylinders still remaining at the BIPM 4 months after the comparison will be shipped by the BIPM back to the participants at the participants' expense.

8 Comparison measurement procedure

8.1 Preparation of the BIPM comparison facility

The BIPM comparison facility will be prepared for a series of analyses before starting the measurement procedure, meaning that all necessary calibrations of the measuring instruments (pressure gauges and temperature sensors) will have been performed within the appropriate period and that all quality controls will have been realised within one month prior to the measurements. In particular, the volume ratio between the vessels used to handle the CO₂ gas and the sample from which it is extracted will have been monitored carefully.

The BIPM makes use of two quality control mixtures of CO₂ in dry air or nitrogen which are frequently analysed with the facility to check its stability. The two mixtures will be analysed in the weeks before and after the comparison measurement to demonstrate the stability of the facility over the period of the comparison.

8.2 Preparation and connection of the cylinders

After receipt by the BIPM, all cylinders will be allowed to equilibrate at laboratory temperature for at least 24 hours. All cylinders will then be rolled for at least 1 hour to ensure homogeneity of the mixture before being transferred to the BIPM PVT-CO₂

laboratory. The cylinder connector appropriate to the cylinder valve will be provided by the BIPM. If this connector requires a gasket, it will be of an appropriately inert material (typically PCTFE). A pressure reducer will be connected between the cylinder connector and the input tubing of the facility.

8.3 Analysis of mixtures

The BIPM PVT-CO₂ facility samples 6L (at RTP) of gas for each analysis. The first amount of gas sampled will be used for conditioning of the measurement system and not as a measurement result. Standards will then be sampled in successive analysis, until a series of 3 repeated analysis is compliant with the stability criteria, defined by a standard deviation of n measurements below $0.04 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, with $n \geq 3$. Any issue arising during one analysis will set the value of n to zero.

8.4 Analysis of N₂O amount fractions in standards with air matrix

Nitrous oxide amount fractions in CO₂ in air mixtures shall be verified by the BIPM using a N₂O analyser calibrated with standards of N₂O in air which were directly included or calibrated with standards included in the comparison CCQM-K68.2019 [3], allowing measurement of N₂O amount fractions with standard uncertainties of less than 1 nmol mol^{-1} . N₂O amount fractions shall be used in the measurement equation of the PVT-CO₂ system to provide values of CO₂ amount fractions.

9 Uncertainty budgets

9.1 Uncertainty budgets for the participants standards

Participants shall submit the assigned values and uncertainties of their standards at the same time as sending them to the BIPM. A full uncertainty budget shall be submitted to justify the uncertainty submitted, and shall include at least the following components or explanation why they are not relevant:

- a) Components arising from the reference method used for value assignment;
- b) Contributions from additional verification measurements
- c) Contributions from purity assessment
- d) Contributions from correction for CO₂ adsorption in cylinders if any (in this case the size of the correction should also be described in the result submission form)

9.2 Uncertainty budget of the BIPM PVT-CO₂

A complete description of the uncertainty budget of the BIPM facility can be found in [2]. The standard uncertainty $u(x)$ of the CO₂ amount fraction x measured with the PVT-CO₂ can be summarised in one equation:

$$u(x) = \sqrt{(u_1 x)^2 + u_2^2 + \sigma^2} \quad (1)$$

Where u_1 is the relative part of the uncertainty (typical value 2.3×10^{-4}), u_2 is the combination of the uncertainties on the additive corrections without the repeatability (typical value $0.023 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), and σ is the standard deviation of the mean over the repeated measurements, which takes a typical value of $0.02 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ for five successive repeats.

The values of u_1 and u_2 are expected to be constant over time, unless changes are made to the facility. The value of σ is measured during each comparison. These values and any update of the uncertainty budget compared to the publication of 2023 [2] will be reported in the comparison report.

10 Key Comparison Reference Values (KCRVs)

For each standard of the participant, the KCRV is the CO₂ amount fraction measured by the BIPM PVT-CO₂, with its measurement uncertainty calculated following equation (1). The KCRVs will be calculated by the coordinator and reported in the result form of the comparison.

11 Degrees of equivalence

Each comparison will result in three degrees of equivalence, one for each standard, defined as:

$$D_i = x_{i,\text{lab}} - x_{i,\text{KCRV}} \quad (1)$$

Where $x_{i,\text{lab}}$ ($i = 1,2,3$) is the measurement results of the participants for the standard i , and $x_{i,\text{KCRV}}$ is the KCRV for the same standard as defined in section 10. Its associated standard uncertainty is:

$$u(D_i) = \sqrt{u_{i,\text{lab}}^2 + u_{i,\text{KCRV}}^2} \quad (2)$$

where $u_{i,\text{lab}}$ and $u_{i,\text{KCRV}}$ are the measurement uncertainties of the participant and of the BIPM respectively for the standard i .

12 Support of calibration and measurement capabilities

BIPM.QM-K2.a and BIPM.QM-K2.b are Track A comparisons for participating NMIs/DIs as they test core skills and competencies required in gravimetric preparation, analytical certification and purity analysis.

In addition, participation in BIPM.QM-K2.a comparison with standards where the matrix contains the major constituents of air (nitrogen, oxygen, argon) at amount fractions within the ranges defined in Tables 1 and 2 of the protocol, can also be used to underpin capabilities for standards that are intended for calibration of precise atmospheric measurements using spectroscopic instruments. This represents an analytical challenge and is therefore considered as a Track C comparison.

CMCs supported by BIPM.QM-K2 (parts a and b) are for CO₂ amount fractions in air or nitrogen, with minimum values to be clarified after each bilateral comparison with a participant. The GAWG guidance will be followed to calculate the range of CO₂ amount fraction, with a turning point of 10 μmol mol⁻¹, and

- a lower boundary equal to the smallest absolute expanded uncertainty that can be claimed based on the participant result in the comparison,
- an upper boundary equal to 500 mmol mol⁻¹ for all participants.

13 Reporting and publication of Results

The participant reports its measurements results in a copy of the result form BIPM.QM-K2-R2 (Excel workbook) and sends it to the coordinator before the start of the measurements at the BIPM. If the participant decides to verify the mixtures after the comparison, a new version of the results form is created and sent to the coordinator.

Upon completion of the measurements with the BIPM facility, the coordinator analysis the data and verify the absence of anomalies. In case of suspicious results, the coordinator can contact the participant and suggest a verification of its results.

The coordinator is responsible for the preparation of the reports of the comparisons. The first draft, Draft A, can be completed once uncertainties of values that were submitted by participants with their standards are confirmed by participants on return of their standards to them. The draft A report includes the calculation of the KCRVs and the degrees of equivalence. The participant can make editorial changes to the report and suggest more important changes to the description of its standards and measurements techniques if relevant.

The second draft, Draft B, is prepared by the coordinator and reviewed by the CCQM/GAWG following their agreed process.

After consideration of comments received from the CCQM/GAWG by the coordinator, the final report is prepared and sent for submission in the technological supplement of *Metrologia*. The coordinator also communicates the results of the comparison to the BIPM KCDB.

In agreeing to participate in the comparison, the participant agrees for their result to be identified in the comparison's report. The participants are co-authors of the final report publication.

14 Bibliography

- [1] Viallon J., Choteau T., Moussay P., Wielgosz R., Veen A.v.d., Bi Z., Crotwell A., Hall B., Webber E.M. and Hill– Pearce R., 2023, Final report of CCQM-P225a and b, international comparison on carbon dioxide in air and nitrogen at ambient levels (350 $\mu\text{mol/mol}$ to 800 $\mu\text{mol/mol}$), *Metrologia*, **60**, 08029,
- [2] Viallon J., Meyer C.W., Moussay P., Schmidt J., Maxwell S.E., Arrhen F. and Wielgosz R., 2023, A high accuracy reference facility for ongoing comparisons of CO₂ in air standards, *Metrologia*,
- [3] Viallon J., Choteau T., Flores E., Idrees F., Moussay P., Wielgosz R.I., Lim J.S., Lee J., Lee J., Moon D., et al., 2023, CCQM-K68.2019, nitrous oxide (N₂O) in air, ambient level, final report, *Metrologia*, **60**, 08011, 10.1088/0026-1394/60/1A/08011

Registration Form BIPM.QM-K2-R1

Key Comparison BIPM.QM-K2.a and .b

- This form should be completed by laboratories wishing to participate in the Key Comparison BIPM.QM-K2. The protocol of the comparison can be found on the BIPM website (*insert link here*)
- Comparison coordinator and postal address to send the standards:
 - Dr Joële Viallon
 - Chemistry Department
 - Bureau International des Poids et Mesures
 - Pavillon de Breteuil
 - F-92312 SEVRES CEDEX
 - Tel: +33 1 45 07 62 70
 - Email: jviallon@bipm.org
- Please complete and return the form by email **at least three months before the requested comparison date**. Note that further information on the comparison will be sent by email to the contact person(s) only.

Participant information	
Institute (acronym and full name)	
Shipping address	
Contact person(s)	
Telephone	
Email(s)	
Comparison	
Indicate below in which of the two comparisons you are taking part	
Part a, for standards of CO ₂ in dry air	
Part b, for standards of CO ₂ in nitrogen	
Please indicate your preferred date for sending your standards to the BIPM. The date of the comparison will be confirmed by the BIPM in consultation with your laboratory after the submission of your registration forms.	

Standard information
Participants are required to submit a maximum of three standards consisting of high-pressure cylinders. Please state the number of standards, the nominal CO ₂ amount fraction, and any relevant information on the cylinder(s) expected to be shipped, including their volume, pressure, and the type of cylinder valve.
Transport and customs formalities
The participating laboratory is responsible for the transport of their standards to and from the BIPM and ensuring that proper arrangements are made for local customs formalities. For countries outside E.U., the usage of an ATA Carnet (Admission Temporaire/Temporary Admission) is strongly recommended. Please give below an indication on the expected transport arrangements.

Result Form for the comparison

BIPM.QM-K2.a and b, Carbon Dioxide in air (a) or nitrogen (b)

Participating institute information	
Institute	***
Address	***
Contact	***
Email	***
Telephone	***
Comparison part (a/b)	***

Transfer Standards (cylinders) Information	
Number of standards	

Standard #	ID (Serial Number)	Date of preparation	Pressure	(unit)
1	***	***		
2	***	***		
3	***	***		

Content of the form

page 1	General information
page 2	Standards composition

This result form is to be completed by participants in BIPM.QM-K2

Please complete the cells according to their format:

	<i>A numerical value is expected</i>
***	<i>Text is expected</i>

After completion of the appropriate section of this report, please send to Joële Viallon by email (jviallon@bipm.org)

Additional pages can be added if there is not enough space to report information

Cylinders Composition

CO₂ amount fraction

Complete the highlighted cells below with the value of the amount fraction of carbon dioxide measured in each cylinder, expressed in $\mu\text{mol/mol}$, the associated expanded uncertainty and its coverage factor k

Standard #	Cylinder ID	x_{CO_2}	$U(x_{\text{CO}_2})$	k
		$\mu\text{mol/mol}$	$\mu\text{mol/mol}$	
1	***			
2	***			
3	***			

N₂O amount fraction

Complete the highlighted cells below with the value of the amount fraction of nitrous oxide measured in each cylinder, expressed in nmol/mol , the associated expanded uncertainty and its coverage factor k

Standard #	Cylinder ID	$x_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$	$U(x_{\text{N}_2\text{O}})$	k
		nmol/mol	nmol/mol	
1	***			
2	***			
3	***			

BIPM.QM-K2.a (CO₂ in air) - Matrix Gas

Complete the cells below with the composition of the matrix gas

Indicate the amount fractions of the three major compounds

Compounds at trace levels may be indicated as well in the columns (other)

Indicate the unit in the cells

Compound	N ₂	O ₂	Ar	Other	Other	Other
Standard #	(unit)	(unit)	(unit)	(unit)	(unit)	(unit)
1						
2						
3						

Information Sheet BIPM.QM-K2-R3

Key Comparison BIPM.QM-K2.a and .b

Please complete this information sheet, providing at least the information which is listed as mandatory. The replies can replace the text provided as instructions, which will be deleted in the final report of the comparison.

This information sheet completes the result form BIPM.QM-K2-R2 (Excel Spreadsheet), in which results of measurement are to be reported. Please indicate here the name of the result form, with the different versions if applicable:

[*Name of result form*]

Measurements of the participant's standard by the BIPM will start only when a complete version of the result form is received. This information sheet can be sent later, but in any case, prior to the drafting of the comparison report.

Send an electronic version by email to Dr. Joële Viallon (jviallon@bipm.org).

Participating institute information	
Institute	***
Contact	***
Email	***

Transfer Standards (cylinders) Information		
Number of standards		

Standard #	ID (Serial Number)
1	
2	
3	

Mandatory information

1) Traceability of the measurement results

[Instructions below may be removed]

The Key Comparisons BIPM.QM-K2.a and b are aimed at underpinning the capabilities of the participants to measure the amount fraction of CO₂ in air (part a) or in nitrogen (part b) over the amount fraction range from 350 μmol mol⁻¹ to 800 μmol mol⁻¹, with a method ensuring traceability of the measurements to the SI. Explain here how the measurement results reported in the result form are traceable to the SI, including information on:

- The reference method used for value assignment;
- Additional verification measurements;
- Purity assessment of the different gases if applicable;
- Evaluation of CO₂ adsorption in cylinders if any.

Replace this text with your reply.

2) Uncertainty budget

[Instructions below may be removed]

Explain here how the uncertainties associated with the measurement results were obtained. Describe the sources of uncertainty identified, their values, and how they were combined. Express clearly if uncertainties are reported with a confidence factor (*k*) and its value.

Replace this text with your reply.

Additional non-mandatory information

Participants are invited to further describe their standards and methods below. References to articles published in peer-reviewed journals may be provided. The provided information will be added as annex to the comparison report and referred to when relevant to explain the comparison's results.

3) Mixtures composition

[Instructions below may be removed]

Provide here any useful information on the gas mixture composition which is not already provided in the accompanying result form. If the mixtures contain N₂O, describe the source of the gas and measurements performed to estimate its amount fraction if applicable.

Replace this text with your reply.

4) Mixtures preparation

[Instructions below may be removed]

If the mixtures were prepared in your laboratory, describe here the preparation method, including information on the sources of pure gases (with a particular attention to carbon dioxide), the purity of the gases, how they were mixed, and any relevant detail on materials or treatment employed to obtain the mixtures.

If the mixtures were prepared in another laboratory, report here the information provided by this laboratory regarding the mixtures' preparation.

Replace this text with your reply.

5) Second verification of mixtures

[Instructions below may be removed]

If the mixtures were verified after the measurements at the BIPM, describe here the verification procedure, including information on the dates of the measurements, the analytical instruments, their calibration, the calculations performed to obtain the measurements results.

Replace this text with your reply.