

Questionnaire Which May Be Used for the Review of CMCs

A read through of the CMC should be done first to check for terms which are not typical but perhaps not incorrect. Any such identified typographical errors or suggestions for replacement can be returned as part of the review. A comparison with a similar already published and approved CMCs in the KCDB can be quite helpful and instructive.

The following is a simple guide to the central features that should be checked during a CMC review. Documents that you should have available during a review for consultation include but are not limited to : RI_services, MMM(for Radioactivity), CCRI-RMOWG-04-CMCRules, CIPM-MRA-D-04.

NMI/DI:	
Person responsible:	
Metrology area Branch: Service: Sub-service: (Consistent with items in KCDB)	(Please specify area, branch and service to which the information reported below applies)

Review Process			
Proposed CMC	NEW	MOD	
If MODified, are modified fields clearly indicated.	Yes	No	If NO stop review and return to writer.
Supporting Document			
CC or RMO KC or Supplementary comparison	Yes	No	Comparison identifier

If NO what is the support provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documented results of past CC, RMO or other comparisons (including bilateral) • Knowledge of technical activities by other NMIs, including publications • On-site peer-assessment reports • Active participation in RMO projects • Other available knowledge and experience 			Acceptable forms of support according to CIPM MRA D-04. If NO support provided stop review and return to writer.
Does the uncertainty in the provided support match or is greater than that stated in the declared CMC?	Yes	No	If NO return for comment or revision.
Is the Measurand Quantitiy consistent with those approved by the RI Services?	Yes	No	The only applies to NEW CMCs. If NO return for revision.
Is the traceability consistent with that provided by the support document?	Yes	No	If NO return for comment or revision.
Are the upper/lower limits either supported by documentation or consistent with other published CMCs or those similar to your NMI/DI CMCs of the same kind or reasonable given your technical experience.	Yes	No	If NO return for comment or revision.
Is there supporting documents indicating the validity of the QMS?	Yes	No	If NO return for comment or revision.