Update of the BIPM comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68 of activity measurements of the radionuclide ^{68}Ge to include the 2021 result of the NIM (China)

Carine Michotte[1], Romain Coulon[1], Sammy Courte[1], Vincent Gressier[1], Manuel Nonis[1], Haoran Liu[2], Juncheng Liang[2], Meiling Liu[2], Ming Zhang[2]

[1] Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Pavillon de Breteuil, F-92312 Sèvres Cedex, France.

[2] National Institute of Metrology (NIM), No. 18, Bei San Huan Dong Rd. 100029 Beijing, China.

E-mail: cmichotte@bipm.org

Abstract Since 2013, 6 laboratories have submitted 7 samples of ^{68}Ge to the International Reference System (SIR) for activity comparison at the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM), with comparison identifier BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68. Recently, the NIM (China) participated in the comparison and the key comparison reference value (KCRV) has been updated. The degrees of equivalence between each equivalent activity measured in the SIR or linked to the SIR from the CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 comparison and the updated KCRV have been calculated and the results are given in the form of a table. A graphical representation is also given.

1. Introduction

The SIR for activity measurements of γ-ray-emitting radionuclides was established in 1976. Each national metrology institute (NMI) may request a standard ampoule from the BIPM that is then filled with 3.6 g of the radioactive solution. Each NMI completes a submission form that details the standardization method used to determine the absolute activity of the radionuclide and the full uncertainty budget for the evaluation. The ampoules are sent to the BIPM where they are compared with standard sources of ^{226}Ra using pressurized ionization chambers. Details of the SIR method, experimental set-up and the determination of the equivalent activity A_e, are all given in [1].

From its inception until 31 December 2022, the SIR has been used to measure 1045 ampoules to give 799 independent results for 72 different radionuclides. The SIR makes it possible for national laboratories to check the reliability of their activity measurements at any time. This is achieved by the determination of the equivalent activity of the radionuclide and by comparison of the result with the key comparison reference value determined from the results of primary standardizations. These comparisons are described as BIPM continuous comparisons and the results form the basis of the BIPM key comparison database (KCDB) of the Comité International des Poids et Mesures Mutual Recognition Arrangement (CIPM MRA) [2]. The comparison described in this report is known as the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68 key comparison. The results of earlier participations in this key comparison were published previously [3].

Successful participation in this comparison by a laboratory may provide evidential support for Calibration and Measurement Capability (CMC) claims for ^{68}Ge measured using the laboratory's method(s) used in the comparison or methods calibrated by those used for the comparison. This comparison may also be used to support CMC claims for those radionuclides measured in the laboratory using the same method and having a degree of difficulty at or below that of the radionuclide measured in this comparison as indicated in the current Measurement Methods Matrix (MMM) [4]

2. Participants

Laboratory details are given in Table 1, with the earlier submissions being taken from [3]. The dates of measurement in the SIR given in Table 1 are used in the KCDB and all references in this report.

Table 1: Details of the participants in the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68.

NMI or laboratory	**Previous acronyms or other institutes**	**Full name**	**Country**	**Regional Metrology Organization (RMO)**	**Date of SIR measurement** yyyy-mm-dd
IRA	IER	Institut de Radiophysique	Switzerland	EURAMET	2015-04-22
LNE-LNHB	LMRI, LPRI, BNM-LNHB	Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, List, Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel	France	EURAMET	2015-05-28
LNMRI-IRD	IEA, IPEN[a]	Laboratorio Nacional de Metrologia das Radiações Ionizantes	Brazil	SIM	2013-01-17
NIM	-	National Institute of Metrology	China	APMP	2015-03-23 2021-05-10
NIST	NBS	National Institute of Standards and Technology	United States	SIM	2014-12-15
TENMAK-NÜKEN	TAEK	Turkish Energy, Nuclear and Mineral Research Agency - Nuclear Energy Research Institute	Türkiye	EURAMET	2018-01-04

[a] IEA, IPEN are other institutes of the country.

3. NMI standardization methods

Each NMI that submits ampoules to the SIR has measured the activity either by a primary standardization method or by using a secondary method, for example a calibrated ionization chamber. In the latter case, the traceability of the calibration needs to be clearly identified to ensure that appropriate correlations are taken into account.

A brief description of the standardization methods used by the laboratories, the activities submitted, the relative standard uncertainties and the half-life used by the participants are given in Table 2. The uncertainty budget for the new submission is given in Appendix D attached to this report; previous uncertainty budgets are given in the earlier K1 report [3]. The list of acronyms used to summarize the methods is given in Appendix E.

The half-life used by the BIPM is 270.95(26) days as published in BIPM Monographie 5 vol. 7 [5].

Table 2: Standardization methods of the participants for ^{68}Ge.

NMI or laboratory	**Method used and the acronym**	**Activity A_i/kBq**	**Relative standard uncertainty /10^{-2}**		**Reference date**	**Half-life /d**
			A	**B**	**yyyy-mm-dd**	
IRA	4π plastic scintillator - 4π well-type NaI coincidence counting (4P-SP-PO-NA-GR-CO)[a]	697.7	0.15	0.51	2014-11-14 12:00 UTC	[5]
LNE-LNHB	4π (liquid scintillation) positron-γ anti-coincidence counting (4P-LS-PO-NA-GR-AC)	2295	0.18	0.47	2014-11-14 12:00 UTC	[5]
LNMRI-IRD	4π (liquid scintillation) positron-γ anti-coincidence counting (4P-LS-PO-NA-GR-AC)	867.0	0.05	0.17	2012-04-03 12:00 UTC	270.95(16)
NIM	TDCR (4P-LS-PO-00-00-TD) CIEMAT/NIST (4P-LS-PO-00-00-CN)	1448[b]	0.57		2014-11-14 12:00 UTC	270.95(26) [5]
	TDCR (4P-LS-MX-00-00-TD) CIEMAT/NIST (4P-LS-MX-00-00-CN)	206.0[c]	0.4		2020-11-10 00:00 UTC	270.95(26) [5]
NIST	4π (liquid scintillation) positron-γ anti-coincidence counting (4P-LS-PO-NA-GR-AC)	2244	0.19	0.59	2014-11-14 12:00 UTC	270.95(26) [5]

... Continuation of Table 2.

NMI or laboratory	Method used and the acronym	Activity A_i/kBq	Relative standard uncertainty /10^{-2} A	B	Reference date yyyy-mm-dd	Half-life /d
TENMAK-NÜKEN	Pressurized ionization chamber (4P-IC-GR-00-00-00)[d]	481.3	0.33	1.45	2016-04-01 12:00 UTC	270.95(26) [5]

[a] Ga-68 half-life used was 67.83(20) min
[b] arithmetic mean result from two measurements methods, and combined uncertainty
[c] Weighted mean of TDCR and CIEMAT/NIST results
[d] calibrated at the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Germany in 2014

Details regarding the solutions submitted are shown in Table 3, including any impurities, when present, as identified by the laboratories. When given, the standard uncertainties on the evaluations are shown.

Table 3: Details of each solution of ^{68}Ge submitted.

NMI or laboratory / SIR year	Chemical composition	Solvent conc. /(mol dm^{-3})	Carrier conc. /(µg g^{-1})	Density /(g cm^{-3})	Relative activity of any impurity[d]
IRA 2015	Ge and Ga in HCl	0.5	Ge^{4+}: 65 Ga^{3+}: 65	1.007	-[a]
LNE-LNHB 2015	Ge and Ga in HCl	0.5	Ge^{4+}: 65 Ga^{3+}: 62	1.007	-[b]
LNMRI-IRD 2013	HCl	0.1	-	0.99	-
NIM 2015	Ge and Ga in HCl	0.5	Ge^{4+}: 65 Ga^{3+}: 65	1	-[c]
2021	Ge and Ga in HCl	0.5	$GeCl_4$: 30 $GaCl_3$: 30	1.006	-
NIST 2014	Ge and Ga in HCl	0.5	Ge^{4+}: 65 Ga^{3+}: 62	1.006	-[b]
TENMAK-NÜKEN 2018	$GeCl_4$ and $GaCl_3$ in HCl	0.5	$GeCl_4$: 65 $GaCl_3$: 62	1.006	-

[a] Solution from the CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 comparison diluted by a factor of 3.185 50(13)
[b] Same solution as for the CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 comparison
[c] Solution from the CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 comparison diluted by a factor of 1.504 00(8)
[d] The ratio of the activity of the impurity to the activity of ^{68}Ge at the reference date

4. Results

All the submissions to the SIR since its inception in 1976 are maintained in a dedicated database based on CSV formatted files controlled by the Git version control system [6]. Machine-readable versions of this report (XML and JSON documents) are attached to this document [7]. The latest submission has added 1 ampoule for the activity measurements of ^{68}Ge giving rise to 7 ampoules in total.

The SIR equivalent activity, A_{ei}, for each ampoule received from each NMI, i, including both previous and new results, is given in Table 4. The relative standard uncertainties arising from the measurements in the SIR are also shown. This uncertainty is additional to that declared by the NMI ($u(A_i)$) for the activity measurement shown in Table 2. Although submitted activities are compared with a given source of ^{226}Ra, all the SIR results are normalized to the radium source number 5 [1]. Table 4 also shows the comparison results selected for the KCRV as explained in section 4.1.

Table 4: Results of SIR measurement of ^{68}Ge.

NMI or laboratory / SIR year	Mass m_i /g	A_i /kBq	^{226}**Ra source**	A_{ei} /kBq	**Relative uncert. from SIR** /10^{-4}	u_{ci} /kBq	A_{ei} **for KCRV** /kBq
IRA 2015	3.566 10(21)	697.7	2	15 797[a]	10	86	15 797(86)
LNE-LNHB 2015	3.670 2	2295	3	15 855[a]	8	81	15 855(81)
LNMRI-IRD 2013	3.486 97	867.0	2	15 772	13	36	15 772(36)
NIM 2015	3.596 88	1448	3	15 338[a]	8	88	-
2021	3.691 11(2)	206.0	1	15 663	14	67	15 663(67)
NIST 2014	3.595 5	2244	3	15 829[a]	5	98	15 829(98)
TENMAK-NÜKEN 2018	3.616 4	481.3	1	15 960	22[b]	240	-

[a] Results used to link the CCRI(II)-K2 comparison [3]

[b] Uncertainty dominated by the contribution of the decay correction for ^{68}Ge

The CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 comparison was held in 2018 [8]. The results were linked to the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68 comparison through the measurement in the SIR of at least one ampoule of the CCRI(II)-K2 comparison as explained in [3].

4.1. The key comparison reference value

In May 2013, the CCRI(II) decided to calculate the key comparison reference value (KCRV) by using the power-moderated weighted mean [9] rather than an unweighted mean, as had been the policy. This type of weighted mean is similar to a Mandel-Paule mean in that the NMIs' uncertainties may be increased until the reduced chi-squared value is one. In addition, it allows for a power α smaller than two in the weighting factor. As proposed in [9], α is taken as $2 - 3/N$ where N is the number of results selected for the KCRV. Therefore, all SIR key comparison results can be selected for the KCRV with the following provisions:

(a) results for solutions standardized by only primary techniques are accepted, with the exception of radioactive gas standards (for which results from transfer instrument measurements that are directly traceable to a primary measurement in the laboratory may be included);
(b) each NMI or other laboratory may use only one result (normally the most recent result or the mean if more than one ampoule is submitted);
(c) results more than 20 years old are included in the calculation of the KCRV but are not included in data shown in the KCDB or in the plots in this report, as they have expired;
(d) possible outliers can be identified on a mathematical basis and excluded from the KCRV using the normalized error test with a test value of 2.5 and using the modified uncertainties;
(e) results can also be excluded for technical reasons; and
(f) the CCRI(II) is always the final arbiter regarding excluding any data from the calculation of the KCRV.

Although the KCRV may be modified when other NMIs participate, on the advice of the Key Comparison Working Group of the CCRI(II), such modifications are made only by the CCRI(II) during one of its biennial meetings, or by consensus through electronic means (e.g., email) as discussed at the CCRI(II) meeting in 2013.

Consequently, using the recent result produces an updated KCRV for ^{68}Ge in 2021 of **15 773(30) kBq** with the power α =1.4 that has been calculated using the previously published results, selected as shown in Table 4, for the LNMRI-IRD (2013), NIST (2014), IRA (2015), LNE-LNHB (2015), and the present NIM (2021) result. This can be compared with the previous KCRV value of 15 800(31) kBq published in 2020 [3].

4.2. Degrees of equivalence

Every participant in a comparison is entitled to have one result included in the KCDB as long as the NMI is a signatory or designated institute listed in the CIPM MRA and the result is valid (i.e., not older than 20 years). No recent submission has been identified as a pilot study so the most recent result of each NMI is normally eligible for inclusion on the KCDB platform of the CIPM MRA [2]. An NMI may withdraw its result only if all other participants agree.

The degree of equivalence of a given measurement standard is the degree to which this standard is consistent with the KCRV [2]. The degree of equivalence is expressed quantitatively in terms of the deviation from the key comparison reference value and the expanded uncertainty of this deviation ($k = 2$). The degree of equivalence between any pair of national measurement standards is expressed in terms of their difference and the expanded uncertainty of this difference and is independent of the choice of key comparison reference value.

4.2.1. Comparison of a given NMI result with the KCRV

The degree of equivalence of the result of a particular NMI, i, with the key comparison reference value is expressed as the difference D_i between the values

$$D_i = A_{\mathrm{e}i} - \mathrm{KCRV} \tag{1}$$

and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) of this difference, U_i, known as the equivalence uncertainty; hence

$$U_i = 2u(D_i) \tag{2}$$

When the result of the NMI i is included in the KCRV with a weight w_i, then

$$u^2(D_i) = (1 - 2w_i)u_i^2 + u^2(\mathrm{KCRV}) \tag{3}$$

However, when the result of the NMI i is not included in the KCRV, then

$$u^2(D_i) = u_i^2 + u^2(\mathrm{KCRV}) \tag{4}$$

The introductory text in Appendix A is the one agreed by the CCRI(II) for all the K1 comparisons.

4.2.2. Comparison between pairs of NMI results

The degree of equivalence between the results of any pair of NMIs, i and j, is expressed as the difference D_{ij} in the values

$$D_{ij} = D_i - D_j = A_{\mathrm{e}i} - A_{\mathrm{e}j} \tag{5}$$

and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) of this difference, $U_{ij} = 2u(D_{ij})$, where

$$u^2(D_{ij}) = u_i^2 + u_j^2 - 2u(A_{\mathrm{e}i}, A_{\mathrm{e}j}) \tag{6}$$

where any obvious correlations between the NMIs (such as a traceable calibration, correlations normally coming from the SIR, or from the linking factor in the case of linked comparison) are subtracted using the covariance $u(A_{\mathrm{e}i}, A_{\mathrm{e}j})$ (see [10] for more detail). However, the CCRI decided in 2011 that these pair-wise degrees of equivalence no longer need to be published as long as the methodology is explained.

Appendix B shows the matrix of all the degrees of equivalence as they will appear in the KCDB. It should be noted that for consistency within the KCDB, a simplified level of nomenclature is used with $A_{\mathrm{e}i}$ replaced by x_i. The introductory text is that agreed for the comparison. The graph of the results in Table 5, corresponding to the degrees of equivalence with respect to the KCRV (identified as x_R in the KCDB), is shown in Figure C1. This graphical representation indicates in part the degree of equivalence between the NMIs but obviously does not take into account the correlations between different NMIs. It should be noted that the final data in this paper, while correct at the time of publication, will become out-of-date as NMIs make new comparisons. The formal results under the CIPM MRA [2] are those available in the KCDB.

5. Conclusion

The BIPM continuous key comparison for ^{68}Ge, BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68, currently comprises 5 valid results. The KCRV has been recalculated to include the latest result from the NIM (China). The SIR results, together with the previously published CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 results, have been analyzed with respect to the updated KCRV, providing degrees of equivalence for 17 national metrology institutes. Other results may be added when other NMIs contribute ^{68}Ge activity measurements to this comparison or take part in other linked comparisons.

6. References

[1] Ratel, G. The Système International de Référence and its application in key comparisons, *Metrologia*, 2007, **44**(4), S7-S16.

[2] CIPM MRA: *Mutual recognition of national measurement standards and of calibration and measurement certificates issued by national metrology institutes*, International Committee for Weights and Measures, 1999, pp. 45, Technical Supplement revised in October 2003 (pages 38-41).

[3] Michotte C., Ratel G., Courte S., Nonis M., Iwahara A., Da Silva C.J., Fitzgerald R., Bergeron D.E., Cessna J.T., Laureano-Pérez L., BIPM comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68 of activity measurements of the radionuclide ^{68}Ge for the LNMRI/IRD, NIST, NIM, IRA-METAS, LNE-LNHB and the TAEK, and the linked 2015 CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68 comparison, *Metrologia*, 2020, **57**, Tech. Suppl., 06014.

[4] International Rules for CMC Claims in Ionizing Radiation Metrology, Consultative Committee for Ionizing Radiation metrology (CCRI), *CCRI Doc 3 Version 1.0*, September 2020.

[5] Bé M.-M., Chisté V., Dulieu C., Mougeot X., Chechev V., Kondev F., Nichols A., Huang X., Wang B., *Table of radionuclides*, Monographie BIPM-5, 2013, Vol. 7.

[6] Coulon R., Courte S., Judge S., Michotte C. and Nonis M., Digitalization of the reporting of key comparisons for radionuclide metrology, *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2021, **33** 024003.

[7] Coulon R., Grasso Toro F., Michotte C. Machine-readable data and metadata of international key comparisons in radionuclide metrology, *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2023, **34** 074009.

[8] Cessna J.T. *et al.*, Results of an international comparison of activity measurements of ^{68}Ge, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 2018, **134**, 385-390.

[9] Pommé S. and Keightley J., Determination of a reference value and its uncertainty through a power-moderated mean, *Metrologia*, 2015, **52**(3), S200.

[10] Michotte C. and Ratel G., Correlations taken into account in the KCDB, CCRI(II) working document, 2003, CCRI(II)/03-29.

Appendix A. Introductory text for ^{68}Ge degrees of equivalence

Key comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68

MEASURAND: Equivalent activity of ^{68}Ge

Key comparison reference value: the SIR reference value x_{R} for this radionuclide is 15 773 kBq , with a standard uncertainty, u_{R} equal to 30 kBq (see Section 4.1 of the Final Report). The value x_i is taken as the equivalent activity for a laboratory i.

The degree of equivalence of each laboratory with respect to the reference value is given by a pair of terms: $D_i = (x_i - x_{\mathrm{R}})$ and U_i, its expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$), both expressed in MBq, and $U_i = 2((1 - 2w_i)u_i^2 + u_{\mathrm{R}}^2)^{1/2}$, where w_i is the weight of laboratory i contributing to the calculation of x_{R}.

Appendix B. Table of degrees of equivalence for BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68

Table B1: The table of degrees of equivalence for BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ge-68

NMI i	D_i **/MBq**	U_i **/MBq**
NIST	0.06	0.18
IRA	0.02	0.16
LNE-LNHB	0.08	0.15
TENMAK-NUKEN	0.19	0.48
NIM	-0.11	0.12

Table B2: The table of degrees of equivalence for the CCRI(II)-K2.Ge-68(2018) comparison

NMI i	D_i **/MBq**	U_i **/MBq**
ANSTO	-0.05	0.18
BARC	-0.12	0.10
CIEMAT	-0.09	0.13
IFIN-HH	-0.22	0.31
INER	-0.10	0.12
KRISS	0.19	0.21
LNMRI-IRD	0.00	0.18
NMIJ	0.05	0.23
NPL	0.09	0.23
POLATOM	0.09	0.21
PTB	0.11	0.27
SMU	1.71	0.15

Appendix C. Graph of degrees of equivalence with the KCRV for ^{68}Ge (as it appears in Appendix B of the MRA)



Figure C1. Degrees of equivalence for equivalent activity of ^{68}Ge.

Appendix D. Uncertainty budgets for the activity of ^{68}Ge submitted to the SIR

SIR/SIRTI reporting form - radioactive solution

BIPM.RI(II)-K1 or BIPM.RI(II)-K4

Measurement method	The TDCR method	
ACRONYM	4P-MX-LS-00-00-TD	**Comments:**
Activity concentration at reference date / kBq g^{-1}	55.8300	The measurement was carried out by a custom-bulit TDCR system. The data acquisition was performed by CAEN DT5730 digitizer. The signal processing was implemented offline. The efficiency calculation was performed by gega68 code.
Relative standard uncertainty / 10^{-2}	0.53	
Date of measurement at the NMI (YYYY-MM-DD)	2020-11-10	

For relative methods:

Primary methods or standards used for calibration	
Date of calibration	
Date of primary measurement	

Uncertainty budget

Uncertainty component	Relative uncertainty / 10^{-2}	Evaluation type (A or B)	Comment
Measurement variability	0.220	A	Standard deviation of distributions of five samples.
Background	0.030	B	Uncertainty due to the background subtract.
Weighing	0.090	B	Uncertainty due to the determination of source masses, beyond that encompassed in 'measurement variability'.
Dead time	0.140	B	Sensitivity test
Resolving time	0.160	B	Sensitivity test
Ionization quenching parameter kB	0.140	B	Sensitivity test
Decay correction	<0.01	B	Ge-68 half-life from DDEP
Decay data	0.400	B	EC/beta+ ratio of Ge-68
Combined standard uncertainty	**0.531**		

SIR/SIRTI reporting form - radioactive solution

BIPM.RI(II)-K1 or BIPM.RI(II)-K4

Measurement method	The CIEMAT/NIST efficiency tracing method	
ACRONYM	4P-MX-LS-00-00-CN	**Comments:**
Activity concentration at reference date / kBq g^{-1}	55.7900	The measurment was carried out by Tricarb 3100-TR LSC. The H-3 was used as a tracer. The efficiency calculation was performed by CN2004 code.
Relative standard uncertainty / 10^{-2}	0.60	
Date of measurement at the NMI (YYYY-MM-DD)	2021-02-08	

For relative methods:

Primary methods or standards used for calibration	
Date of calibration	
Date of primary measurement	

Uncertainty budget

Uncertainty component	Relative uncertainty / 10^{-2}	Evaluation type (A or B)	Comment
Measurement variability	0.190	A	Standard deviation of distributions of five samples.
Background	0.030	B	Uncertainty due to the background subtract.
Weighing	0.090	B	Uncertainty due to the determination of source masses, beyond that encompassed in 'measurement variability'.
Tracer	0.400	B	
Dead time	0.100	B	Sensitivity test
Ionization quenching parameter kB	0.150	B	Sensitivity test
Decay correction	0.020	B	Ge-68 half-life from DDEP
Decay data	0.350	B	EC/beta+ ratio of Ge-68
Combined standard uncertainty	**0.600**		

Appendix E. Acronyms used to identify different measurement methods

Each acronym has six components, geometry-detector (1)-radiation (1)-detector (2)-radiation (2)-mode. When a component is unknown, ?? is used and when it is not applicable 00 is used.

Geometry	**acronym**	**Detector**	**acronym**
4 π	4P	proportional counter	PC
defined solid angle	SA	press. Prop. Counter	PP
2 π	2P	liquid scintillation counting	LS
undefined solid angle	UA	NaI(Tl)	NA
		Ge(HP)	GH
		Ge(Li)	GL
		Si(Li)	SL
		CsI(Tl)	CS
		ionization chamber	IC
		grid ionization chamber	GC
		Cerenkov detector	CD
		calorimeter	CA
		solid plastic scintillator	SP
		PIPS detector	PS
		CeBr3	CB

Radiation	**acronym**	**Mode**	**acronym**
positron	PO	efficiency tracing	ET
beta particle	BP	internal gas counting	IG
Auger electron	AE	CIEMAT/NIST	CN
conversion electron	CE	sum counting	SC
mixed electrons	ME	coincidence	CO
bremsstrahlung	BS	anticoincidence	AC
gamma rays	GR	coincidence counting with efficiency tracing	CT
x-rays	XR	anticoincidence counting with efficiency tracing	AT
photons ($x + \gamma$)	PH	triple-to-double coincidence ratio counting	TD
photons + electrons	PE	selective sampling	SS
alpha particle	AP	high efficiency	HE
mixture of various radiation	MX	digital coincidence counting	DC

Examples of methods	**acronym**
4π(PC)β-γ coincidence counting	4P-PC-BP-NA-GR-CO
4π(PPC)β-γ coincidence counting eff. trac	4P-PP-MX-NA-GR-CT
defined solid angle α-particle counting with a PIPS detector	SA-PS-AP-00-00-00
4π(PPC)AX-γ(GeHP)-anticoincidence counting	4P-PP-MX-GH-GR-AC
4πCsI-β,AX,γ counting	4P-CS-MX-00-00-HE
calibrated IC	4P-IC-GR-00-00-00
internal gas counting	4P-PC-BP-00-00-IG